USCA4 Appeal: 23-4568 Doc: 39-4 Filed: 03/08/2024 Pg: 1 of 378

CASE NO. 23-4568(L), 23-4595

# IN THE United States Court of Appeals FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

V.

TAEYAN RAYMOND WILLIAMS,

Defendant-Appellant.

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND AT GREENBELT

JOINT APPENDIX - VOLUME IV OF VI (Pages 1600 - 1973)

Alfred Guillaume III LAW OFFICES OF ALFRED GUILLAUME III 6305 Ivy Lane Suite 700 Greenbelt, MD 20770 202-321-0549 ag3law@gmail.com Brent E. Newton ATTORNEY AT LAW 19 Treworthy Road Gaithersburg, MD 20878 202-975-9105 brentevannewton@gmail.com

Counsel for Appellant Taeyan Williams (23-4658)

Counsel for Appellant Scott Williams (23-4595)

Counsel for Appellee on Inside Cover

USCA4 Appeal: 23-4568 Doc: 39-4 Filed: 03/08/2024 Pg: 2 of 378

Leah B. Grossi
William Moomau
OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
Southern Division
6406 Ivy Lane
Suite 800
Greenbelt, MD 20770
301-344-4433
leah.grossi@usdoj.gov
william.moomau@usdoj.gov

Thomas E. Booth U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE Criminal Division, Appellate Section 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Room 1511 Washington, DC 20530 202-514-5201 thomas.booth@usdoj.gov

Counsel for Appellee

USCA4 Appeal: 23-4568 Doc: 39-4 Filed: 03/08/2024 Pg: 3 of 378

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

# $\underline{\textbf{VOLUME IV OF VI}}$

	JA Page
Transcript of Proceedings Jury Trial Volume VII	
Before The Honorable Theodore D. Chuang	1.600
2023.05.03	1600
KYLE SIMMS	
Continued Direct by Mr. Moomau	1608
MITCHELL DRITTERMAN	
MITCHELL DINTERMAN	1,600
Direct by Mr. Hanlon	1609
KERRY GEORGE	
Direct by Mr. Hanlon	1628
Cross by Mr. Crawley	
Redirect by Mr. Hanlon	
Recross by Mr. Crawley	
OSCAR DIAZ	
Direct by Mr. Moomau	1671
Cross by Mr. Nieto	
Cross by Mr. Hawks	
Redirect by Mr. Moomau	
BRANDON DRUMMOND	
Direct by Mr. Hanlon	1707
Cross by Mr. Guillaume	
Cross by Mr. Hawks	
Redirect by Mr. Hanlon	
	1733

Franscript of Proceedings Jury Trial Volume VIII Before The Honorable Theodore D. Chuang	
2023.05.04	1754
JULIE KEMPTON	
Direct by Mr. Hanlon	
Cross by Mr. Guillaume	1808
Cross by Mr. Hawks	
Redirect by Mr. Hanlon	
ROMY FRANCO	
Direct by Mr. Hanlon	1817
KYLE SIMMS	
Direct by Mr. Moomau	
Cross by Mr Nieto	1926

```
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
                      FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND
 2
                          SOUTHERN DIVISION
 3
       UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
            Plaintiff,
                                      )
 4
                                      )
                                         CRIMINAL CASE NUMBER:
            vs.
 5
                                              TDC-18-0631
       SCOTT ANTHONY WILLIAMS and
                                              VOLUME VII
       and TAEYAN RAYMOND WILLIAMS,
 6
            Defendants.
 7
 8
                       TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
 9
                              JURY TRIAL
                 BEFORE THE HONORABLE THEODORE D. CHUANG
10
                      UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
                        Wednesday, May 3, 2023
                          Greenbelt, Maryland
11
12
                         APPEARANCES
13
       FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
            OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
14
                 BY: LEAH GROSSI, ESQUIRE
                 BY: WILLIAM MOOMAU, ESQUIRE
15
                     6406 Ivy Lane, Suite 800
                     Greenbelt, Maryland 20770
16
17
                 BY: MICHAEL HANLON, ESQUIRE
                      36 S. Charles Street, Fourth Floor
18
                      Baltimore, Maryland 21201
19
       FOR THE DEFENDANTS:
            For Scott Anthony Williams:
20
                 BY: KWASI HAWKS, ESQUIRE
                     THE HAWKS FIRM
                     8705 Colesville Road
21
                     Suite 162
22
                     Silver Spring, MD 20910
23
                 BY: DWIGHT E. CRAWLEY, ESQUIRE
                     LAW OFFICE OF DWIGHT CRAWLEY
                     1300 I. Street, NW
24
                     Suite 400e
25
                     Washington, DC 20005
```

```
(Appearances, Continued)
 1
 2
            For Taeyan Raymond Williams:
                 BY: ALFRED GUILLAUME, III
 3
                      LAW OFFICES OF ALFRED GUILLAUME, III, LLC
                      1350 Connecticut Avenue NW
 4
                      Suite 308
                      Washington, DC 20036
 5
                 BY: CHRISTOPHER NIETO, Esquire
 6
                      LAW OFFICE OF CHRISTOPHER C. NIETO, LLC
                      1 North Charles Street, Suite 1301
 7
                      Baltimore, Maryland 21201
 8
            ***Proceedings Recorded by Mechanical Stenography***
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

USCA4 Appeal: 23-4568 Doc: 39-4 Filed: 03/08/2024 Pg: 7 of 378

VII-3

1		IND	EX		
2	WITNESS	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
3					
4	Kyle Simms				
5	By Mr. Moomau:	8			
6	Mitchell Dinterman				
7	By Mr. Hanlon:	10			
8	Kerry George				
9	By Mr. Hanlon:	29		63	
10	By Mr. Crawley:		60		64
11	Oscar Diaz				
12	By Mr. Moomau:	72		107	
13	By Mr. Nieto:		95		
14	By Mr. Hawks:		106		
15 16	Brandon Drummond	108		136	
17	By Mr. Hanlon: By Mr. Guillaume:	108	129	136	
18	By Mr. Hawks:		134		
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

## PROCEEDINGS

(8:58 a.m.)

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: The matter now pending before this Court is Criminal Action Number TDC-18-0631, United States of America v. Scott Anthony Williams and Taeyan Raymond Williams. We are here today for the purpose of a jury trial. Counsel, please identify yourselves for the record.

MS. GROSSI: Good morning, Your Honor. Leah Grossi,
Michael Hanlon, and William Moomau on behalf of the
Government. Here with us at counsel's table is Kyle Simms
with the Maryland State Police.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MR. HAWKS: Good morning, Your Honor. Kwasi Hawks and Dwight Crawley on behalf of Mr. Scott Williams, who is seated between us.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MR. GUILLAUME: Good morning, Your Honor. For the record, Alfred Guillaume and Christopher Nieto on behalf of Mr. Taeyan Williams, seated to my left.

MR. NIETO: Good morning, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Good morning to everyone, the parties and the members of the audience.

So I see that I have some new exhibits and it's not just one or two, it's about, I don't know, ten or so. Some of these are dated quite a while ago. I thought I said that the exhibit

list is the exhibit list. Why should I even accept exhibits this late in the trial?

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}$  . HANLON: Your Honor, there are two categories. One is the immunity letters.

THE COURT: Well, you knew they were testifying anyway so I'm not sure why those weren't on the list to start with.

MR. HANLON: Understood, Your Honor. The other ones, at least a number of them are the DNA reports which were previously marked as ID exhibits and we've sort of moved them to the exhibit-exhibit list. That was precipitated by a conversation I had after court yesterday with defense counsel. Defense counsel indicated they would like to move in the first of those DNA reports as evidence. That is fine. If one is coming in, it seemed to me last evening that we should probably not put in one and not the others. That is the reason for that category of exhibits.

THE COURT: Why would one put in one and -- how are they different, I guess?

MR. HANLON: Well, they address different data, different items. The first DNA report was -- and I'm going to get the numbers wrong, Your Honor -- 1 through 22 and they were compared in various ways for DNA profiles and for the toothbrush item. And then the subsequent reports were all different items that would be submitted for follow-up

examination or eliminations as they came in. 1 2 THE COURT: So why are any of these admissible to 3 start with? 4 MR. HANLON: Your Honor, I -- honestly, I'm not 5 opposed to the defense bringing in the first one. The 6 Government really is -- I won't say --THE COURT: You weren't planning to? 7 8 MR. HANLON: No, they were brought in as ID 9 exhibits. 10 THE COURT: So Mr. Hawks, why do we need this report? Typically -- it's basically an expert report so 11 12 they're usually hearsay. Actually, I think you're protected 13 from them under the rules generally, these law enforcement reports. Obviously if you want them then that's a different 14 15 issue, but why should we allow this? Because then again, the Government is going to say we should include the rest which 16 17 seems like a relatively fair proposition, but now we're having 18 testimony by document, not by witness. 19 MR. HAWKS: Your Honor, the document -- there is an 20 extensive slideshow that the --21 THE COURT: They're ready? Okay. MR. HAWKS: -- that the defense received late on the 22 23 eve of trial. And upon inspection of that document, there is 24 actually a single piece of information not reflected in that 25 slide show that is reflected. I intend to elicit it on

cross-examination, but I would also like to make it very clear 1 2 that that's not an extraneous finding, it's a key finding of 3 the report and that --4 THE COURT: Okay. Well, I mean, we're going to have 5 to deal with that later since I don't think everybody was 6 ready to go at 8:45. So let's call the jury in. This isn't 7 the first witness anyway, right? So we can sort this out at a 8 later point? 9 MR. HANLON: That's correct, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: We can call the jury in. Why is the door always open, is that new protocol or --11 12 A U.S. MARSHAL: No, sir. 13 THE COURT: Because every day it's open for some 14 reason. Okay, thank you. 15 (The jury entered the courtroom at 9:03 a.m.) 16 THE COURT: Thank you, everyone. Please be seated. 17 Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome back. And we're 18 here for another day of testimony. I think when we left off 19 yesterday we were in the middle of hearing some recordings. I 20 don't know, is the plan to continue with those or to call a 21 witness now, Mr. Moomau? 22 MR. MOOMAU: Your Honor, the Government is prepared 23 to play the final two calls and then start with testimony. 24 THE COURT: Okay. So we're going to go back to those 25 calls. Remember the instruction that the captions you see on

these recordings is not evidence, they're just there to assist 1 2 you. If you see any difference between or if you perceive any 3 difference between what you hear and what you see on the 4 screen, the evidence is the recording and you should rely on 5 that. 6 Okay, Mr. Moomau, do you want to call Sergeant Simms back 7 to just address some of the --8 MR. MOOMAU: Yeah, the Government would call 9 Sergeant Simms to the stand to answer any questions, if 10 necessary. 11 THE COURT: Okay, go ahead. So Sergeant Simms, I 12 think the oath from yesterday is still applicable or it is still applicable, so just keep that in mind. 13 14 Mr. Moomau, whenever you're ready. 15 (Witness, previously sworn.) 16 MR. MOOMAU: And for the record, Your Honor, the 17 Government is going to be playing now captioned call ID 33. 18 The call that has been admitted un-captioned that will be --19 the jury would have will be 532. This is on Exhibit 529 listed 20 as call 5 made on June 8, 2018. 21 (Audiotape was played.) 22 MR. MOOMAU: Moving it forward to approximately one 23 minute and 20 seconds. 24 (Audiotape was played.) 25 DIRECT EXAMINATION

```
BY MR. MOOMAU:
 1
 2
            And Sergeant Simms, were you familiar with the voices on
       Q.
 3
       ID Exhibit 33, which is also Exhibit 532 with the captions?
 4
       Α.
            Yes.
 5
            And in the captions where it said "S," who is that?
       0.
 6
            The defendant, Scott Williams.
       Α.
 7
            And when it said "PC"?
       Q.
 8
       Α.
            Pattiann Chaplin.
 9
            And "R"?
       0.
10
            Ricardo Carty.
11
                 MR. MOOMAU: Now playing ID 35, the captioned
12
       version of Exhibit 533. Listed on Exhibit 529 it is call 7 on
13
       June 9, 2018.
14
                  (Audiotape was played.)
15
                 MR. MOOMAU: Moving forward to approximately 1
       minute 30 seconds.
16
17
                  (Audiotape was played.)
18
       BY MR. MOOMAU:
19
            And Sergeant Simms, on that particular call did you
20
       recognize the voices?
21
            Yes, sir.
       Α.
            And the "S" and the "PC"?
22
       Q.
23
            Again, "S" was the defendant, Scott Williams, and "PC"
       Α.
24
       was Pattiann Chaplin.
25
                 MR. MOOMAU: Your Honor, that's all for those
```

```
exhibits.
 1
 2
                            Okay, thank you very much. Sergeant
                 THE COURT:
 3
       Simms, you can return back to your regular seat.
 4
                 (Witness excused.)
 5
                             Your Honor, the United States calls
                 MR. HANLON:
       Mitchell Dinterman to the stand.
 6
 7
                 THE COURT:
                             Okay.
 8
                 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Sir, please come forward.
 9
       Remain standing and please raise your right hand.
10
                 (Witness, sworn.)
                 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: You may be seated, sir. Speak
11
12
       clearly into the microphone. Please state your first and last
13
       name.
                 THE WITNESS: Mitchell Dinterman.
14
15
                 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: And spell your first and last
16
       name for the record, sir.
17
                 THE WITNESS: Mitchell is M-i-t-c-h-e-l-l, Dinterman
18
       is D-i-n-t-e-r-m-a-n.
19
                 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Thank you, sir.
20
                 DIRECT EXAMINATION
21
       BY MR. HANLON:
22
            Mr. Dinterman, good morning to you.
23
           Good morning.
       Α.
24
            Sir, you have a copy of your report with you; is that
25
       correct?
```

USCA4 Appeal: 23-4568 Doc: 39-4 Filed: 03/08/2024 Pg: 15 of 378

Dinterman - direct

VII-11

1 A. Yes, I do.

2

3

4

5

6

7

13

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HANLON: Your Honor, the report is ID 26. I've conferred with counsel that the witness would have it. I'm not sure his copy is stamped, but it is ID 26, for the record.

THE COURT: Okay, thank you.

### BY MR. HANLON:

- Q. Sir, what do you do for a living?
- A. I'm a crime scene section manager for the Maryland State
  Police Forensic Sciences Division.
- 10 Q. What do you do as a crime scene section manager?
- A. I manage all the crime scene investigators in the entire department. And as such, I am the technical leader of the

section and the quality assurance manager for the section.

- Q. How long have you worked in law enforcement, sir?
- 15 A. 41 years.
- Q. Could you discuss for all of us your training in law enforcement, including your educational background?
  - A. I have an associates degree in criminal justice. I was a sworn member of the Department, was transferred into the Forensic Sciences Division as a crime scene investigator when I was a trooper in 1990. After that I received extensive training in crime scene investigation, blood stain pattern analysis, bullet trajectory analysis.
  - Q. Mr. Dinterman, I'm sorry, the last two phrases you used with respect to your training, what was that?

VII-12

- A. Bullet trajectory analysis and blood stain pattern analysis.
  - Q. Thank you, sir.

3

9

19

20

- A. I was promoted numerous times. I retired after 27 years as a sworn member as the assistant commander of the division.
- Six months later I was asked to return as a crime scene supervisor and then I was promoted to my current position where I am now.
  - Q. What are your duties, sir, in your current position?
- A. Again, I manage the entire section. I do scheduling, I

  write our Standard Operating Procedures, do our quality

  assurance, respond to corrective actions when necessary, train

  personnel, maintain the training program for my personnel.
- 14 Q. What is quality assurance in this context, sir?
- A. Quality assurance is to ensure that we follow our

  Standard Operating Procedures and that our Standard Operating

  Procedures follow sound scientific principles and accepted

  principles in the forensic field.
  - Q. Now in addition to the duties you describe, do you also do crime scene analysis work yourself on some level in your current position?
- A. Yes. I currently do blood stain pattern analysis and bullet trajectory analysis.
- Q. Mr. Dinterman, approximately during the course of your career, how many times have you conducted a crime scene

1 analysis?

4

5

6

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. I would say probably in excess of a thousand times, probably.
  - Q. And how many times have you done a blood stain pattern analysis, approximately?
  - A. I would say between 20 to 30 times.
- 7 Q. Do you testify about these matters on occasion?
- 8 A. Yes, I do.
- 9 Q. Have you been qualified as an expert witness in previous court proceedings?
- 11 A. Yes, I have.
- Q. What are the areas in which you've been qualified to testify as an expert?
  - A. I've been qualified in three separate counties in Circuit Court for the state of Maryland: In Carroll, Howard, and Somerset Counties as blood stain pattern analyst. That was five times, five separate occasions. I've been qualified as a bullet trajectory analyst five times, several counties also in Circuit Court in the state of Maryland as well as Circuit Court for Baltimore City.

MR. HANLON: Your Honor, the Government would tender the witness as an expert in the fields of blood stain analysis, including blood stain pattern analysis.

THE COURT: Any issues?

MR. HAWKS: No, Your Honor.

MR. GUILLAUME: No, objection.

THE COURT: Mr. Dinterman is qualified as an expert in crime scene analysis including blood stain pattern analysis.

MR. HANLON: Thank you, Your Honor.

### BY MR. HANLON:

- Q. Mr. Dinterman, can you tell us what blood stain pattern analysis is and how it relates to crime scene analysis, in general?
- A. Blood stain pattern analysis is the study of fluid dynamics related to blood. There are certain characteristics of fluids when they're airborne, there's physical properties that allow us to look at different stains, different patterns to interpret what may have caused those stains or sequence of events related to those stains. It enables us to be able to see certain aspects of what may have occurred or what may not have occurred related to a crime scene.
- Q. And can you give us some examples of things that you may try to determine by looking at an item of suspected fluid at a location that might be blood?
- A. Certain patterns are consistent with certain actions such as a very violent act may cause blood to spatter and when it does, it causes a certain pattern depending on the type of action that caused it. For instance, a bullet which is very high velocity, a spatter pattern related to that because of

Dinterman - direct

the actual trauma and speed of that will cause droplets to be very tiny, as opposed to if the trauma was the result of a blunt force trauma like something like a baseball bat or things like that you would still see similar characteristics, but the characteristics would be different in size and shape.

There are also things that we look for such as transfer patterns which would be where an object touched blood such as a shoe and then that shoe if you were to take a step would leave a transfer pattern on the floor which is a pattern that resembles the shoe. Or if you brushed up against something there could be a pattern in the wall that would show that there was movement involved with that blood lane.

- Q. Are there certain types of tests that can be done to determine chemically the particular presence of something like blood or other fluid, sir?
- A. Yes. We use two different presumptive tests for blood in our section, in our crime scene section.
- Q. What are the presumptive tests that your section uses for blood?
- A. Our section uses phenolphthalein which is a presumptive test for blood. That's done with using chemicals that we drop on a swab or filter paper that's been saturated with the substance we're testing and/or we use luminol.
- Q. Now what is -- how does luminal work as a testing substance?

Luminol is a presumptive test that we use that is used 1 2 usually on stains that are not highly visible. The way luminol 3 works is we will spray a mixture of water, an oxidizer and 4 luminol crystals that are mixed into a spray bottle and we'll 5 spray that onto the surface where we suspect the blood stains are. The reagent, the oxidizing reagent, something like 6 7 hydrogen peroxide reacts with the iron in hemoglobin when the 8 blood gets present on the object. That reaction, that 9 oxidation causes the luminol crystals or the molecules in the 10 luminol crystals to become energized or active. It excites 11 those molecules and causes them to give off a glow that's 12 visible to the naked eye. 13 Now how about phenolphthalein, sir, how does phenolphthalein work as a presumptive test? 14 15 The way phenolphthalein works is my people would take a Α. sample of what they think is possibly blood. When they take 16 17 that sample they will apply three separate chemicals to that 18 sample. It's either on a cotton swab or piece of filter 19 paper. And what happens is when they apply those three 20 chemicals, they will get a visible reaction of a pink. It's 21 not a glow, it would just turn the sample pink instantaneous. 22 Now when you do blood stain pattern analysis, Mr. 23 Dinterman, did you go to -- do you go to scenes yourself, do 24 you look at photographs? Is it a combination? How does that 25 work?

VII-17

I have responded to scenes in the past when they had an 1 2 idea that they wanted blood stain pattern analysis conducted, 3 but most of my examinations are done after the fact because it 4 wasn't determined at the time that the criminal investigation 5 or crime scene investigation was being conducted that they 6 were going to be looking into blood stain pattern analysis. 7 So much of mine is done after the fact. My personnel are 8 actually trained by me in the proper way to document crime 9 scenes photographically and with sketching so that I can --10 would enable me in doing an interpretation of a blood stain 11 pattern case. 12 Now you mentioned the people who work in your section. Is 13 a lady named Linda Idso one of the people who work in your section? 14 15 Yes, she's one of my crime scene technicians. Are there any advantages or disadvantages to using 16 Q. 17 photographs for a blood stain analysis as opposed to going to 18 a scene personally and looking at the stuff? 19 There are many advantages to using photographs. Α. 20 Especially with having digital cameras now, my people have 21 their cameras set to a point where for me to examine a stain, 22 it allows me to actually zoom in on that stain using a 23 computer and high resolution monitor that allows me to see 24 without having to use a magnifying glass which makes it easier 25 to make interpretations as to what I'm looking at.

VII-18

Sir, at some point did you receive photographs in 1 2 connection with this case to conduct a blood stain pattern 3 analysis?

- Α. Yes, I did.
- 5 And did you review various photographs? Q.
- 6 Yes, I examined those images. Α.
- 7 Let me ask you about a few of them. Let me begin with Q. 8 what is in evidence as Exhibit 265 for this trial. And Mr.
- 9 Dinterman, I believe it would be referenced as P0720233 in 10 your report.
- 11 Α. Yes.

- 12 Do you see the image? 0.
- 13 I see the image, yes, sir.
- Keep the microphone right in front of you while you look 14 15 at the screen. It's sometimes -- thank you, sir. Is this one 16 of the images you received in connection with your work in
- 17 this case?
- 18 Yes, this is one of the images.
- 19 What observations and conclusions did you reach from a 0.
- 20 blood stain pattern point of view about the image in Exhibit
- 21 265?
- 22 There is what appears to be possible blood stain on the
- 23 frame area of the vehicle itself, the door frame just below
- 24 the roof line. You can see it in the image, this pattern has
- 25 characteristics that I would say are common with or what you

VII-19

would expect to see in a transfer pattern. I see no signs of 1 2 droplets or fluid running down the side of the frame which would be more indicative if it was a higher volume of blood. 3 4 And the droplets would be indicative of a type of spatter 5 pattern, but I don't see those characteristics to demonstrate

- Mr. Dinterman, if you touch your screen you might be able Q. to draw a circle, if you'd like, to mark where the item was that you were talking about.
- It's in that area there that I circled.

the characters of a possible transfer stain.

MR. HANLON: Your Honor, for the record a circle in the middle of the screen here.

> THE COURT: Okay.

## BY MR. HANLON:

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Sir, you mentioned something called a "transfer pattern" Q. a couple of times. Can you tell us what that is?
- A transfer pattern is when an object that is coated or covered with blood touches another object that is clean and transfers some of the blood from the bloody object to the clean surface.
- Let me show you what is in evidence as Exhibit 266. And this I believe is referenced for your information, Mr.
- 23 Dinterman, as P0720235. Do you see the image on your screen?
- 24 Α. Yes.
- 25 Is this one of the images that you received in connection

1 with your work in this case?

A. Yes, it is.

- Q. If you would, sir, can you tell us what observations and conclusions you reached with respect to this photograph, 266?
- 5 A. This is the actual window frame of the door that
- 6 coincides with the opening that we saw in the previous image.
- 7 | There's a stain at the top of the window frame on the metal
- 8 portion that coincides with the location of the stain on the
- 9 car frame itself. And again --
- Q. And Mr. Dinterman, not to interrupt, you can circle it if
- 11 you'd like.
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- 13 Q. Please continue, sir. I didn't mean to interrupt you.
- 14 A. This, again, coincides with the stain on the previous
- image. Again, this shows -- demonstrates the same
- 16 characteristics that were in the other image. It appears to be
- 17 a transfer stain.
- 18 Q. Now sir, you've alluded to this and I want to ask you to
- 19 | talk specifically in terms of what you saw in 265 and 266. Can
- 20 you explain again the connection, if any, that you observed
- 21 between the two stains in those two photos?
- 22 A. These two stains being both transfer stains and both
- 23 coincide, the door frame and the door itself is indicative of
- 24 a transfer stain either being first applied to the door frame
- above the window or to the vehicle frame below the door. And

VII-21

when the door is closed, that causes the blood to then again transfer from one side of the vehicle to the other, either from the door to the vehicle frame or from the frame to the vehicle door.

- Q. And the last point. Are you able to state an opinion as to whether the fluid came from the door and got to the frame or came from the frame and got to the door?
- A. I cannot.

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Are you in a position to talk about what the source is for this --
- 11 A. I cannot.
- Q. You've referred to it as "blood" a couple of times. What is it about it -- leaving aside the source -- what causes you to think that this material in 266 is blood?
  - A. It has physical properties of what you would expect to see if it was blood. It's a very dark maroon, almost black fluid and has -- it's got the red tint to it. So it's what I would expect to see. I've been to well over a thousand crime scenes throughout my career and as a sworn police officer when I worked as a trooper on the road where I've seen blood.
  - Q. Now Mr. Dinterman, do you occasionally see rust in metallic surfaces that you observe in photographs or crime scenes?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Given rust's coloration, do you have an opinion as to

VII-22

whether or not this material could be alternatively described 1 2 as rust as opposed to blood?

- No, I do not believe so. If I remember the vehicle correctly in all the images I saw, I saw no evidence of rust throughout the vehicle. It appeared to be relatively new.
- 6 Also, rust usually has more of an orange or brownish tint to 7 it than the dark maroon or red color that you would expect to 8 see in a blood stain.
  - In the photographs you observed, did you see any evidence of any kind of breakdown of metals or anything like that on the vehicle?
- 12 No, I did not.

3

4

5

9

10

11

19

20

- But you were -- you were not present during the full 13 14 crime scene analysis for this vehicle, the actual crime scene 15 where people were looking at it; is that correct?
- I walked through the garage several times when asked 16 17 to look at something by crime scene tech Idso, but no, I was 18 not present the entire time.
  - During the times that you were present, did you see any Q. metallic degradation or breakdown on the vehicle?
- 21 No, I did not.
- 22 Let me show you what's been entered into evidence as 23 Exhibit 247. Is this one of the images that were provided to 24 you for your analysis, sir?
  - Yes, this is an image of the luminol reaction.

Dinterman - direct

Q. Now sir, what observations and conclusions, if any, did you reach with respect to the image in Exhibit 247?

- A. In this image I noted that I saw several patterns that are consistent with showing movement throughout the fluid. If you look at the image, the part that's glowing from the luminol you can see that there are lines that are running parallel to the side of the vehicle that would be these lines in this area here.
- Q. Do you need to start over, Mr. Dinterman? I can clear the screen for you. It will be enlarged for you. Do you want to start over?
- A. Yes. You can see these lines throughout the fluid here. That's consistent with either a bloody object being moved one way or the other through the vehicle either from the back of the vehicle toward the front or the front of the vehicle toward the back. I'm unable to determine which direction, but that shows that there's movement. If a bloody object was just laying in the back of this vehicle you would see no linear pattern like that. It would just appear to be like a puddle or pooling.
- Q. What, if any, other observations did you make with respect to this image, sir?
- A. There were also some linear patterns closer to the upper portion of the back seat.
- Q. Would it be useful for me to clear out the screen and let

you draw on it?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- A. Yes, please. It's in the area here just below the top of the seat. I noted several linear patterns, again, that are consistent with movement that actually went across in this manner, across the top portion of the back of the seat. To me those images, those patterns were consistent with an object moving across the car as opposed to front to back. And they resembled what I believed to possibly be caused by fingerprints or fingers. Not fingerprints, but fingers or knuckles because they were parallel lines.
- Q. Did you observe anything that to your experience, Mr. Dinterman, looked like fingerprints in the blood that could be recovered or anything?
- 14 A. No, I did not.
- 15 Q. Any other observations from this photograph?
- I also noted the pattern at the rear of the car which was 16 17 -- this is the portion, the plastic trim portion that's 18 actually underneath the lift gate, when you close the lift 19 gate. That pattern also as you can see there's some -- looks 20 like some running of the fluid. That seemed to me to be 21 consistent with the linear pattern that I said showed movement 22 either toward the front or toward the back of the vehicle as 23 if an object had been either pooled across, had been pooled 24 across that area of the bumper, either in or out of the 25 vehicle depositing blood on that trim piece.

VII-25

Now Mr. Dinterman, let me ask you this: With respect to 1 2 the glowing parts sort of closer to the bottom of the image, I 3 want to ask you a question in order to make sure I understand 4 something. Luminal is itself, it's a fluid, right? 5 Α. Yes. 6 When luminol is applied to a surface, are there circumstances where the luminal itself might run a little bit 7 8 or something like that? 9 Yes. What happens is when they apply the luminal, they 10 have to continuously spray because the luminal only fluoresces 11 for a short period of time. So to keep it luminescing so that 12 they can expose it long enough because they're doing it in 13 complete darkness, they have to continually spray. The more they spray the more liquid builds up on that surface and if 14 15 there's any kind of pitch to that surface, meaning if it's tilted one way or the other the actual luminal will start to 16 17 run. And when the luminal runs, therefore that will glow and 18 you'll see that running pattern in the luminol. 19 In your analyses do you take into consideration how Q. 20 luminol itself acts in making your observations? 21 Yes. Α. 22 Does luminol react to -- you mentioned the luminal reacts 23 -- well, let me ask another question. The glow in this exhibit which is Exhibit 247, do you recognize the nature of this 24

glow, sir, from your work?

VII-26

Yes. It's consistent with being blood.

- 2 Now luminol reacts with a particular element for its Q. 3 glow; is that right?
  - Yes. It typically reacts with the iron that's in the blood.
  - Now I'm not a scientist, but rust has iron, right? 0.
- 7 I'm sorry? Α.

1

4

5

6

8

9

- Does rust have iron? Q.
- Yes, rust has iron, absolutely.
- 10 Again, going back to the rust subject, based on your review of the photographs and things like that, do you have an 11 12 opinion as to whether or not the luminol might have been
- 13 reacting to rust in the surfaces?
- Given that this was inside of the vehicle and the fact 14 15 that I did not observe any rust anywhere on the vehicle in any 16 of the images and when I walked through, and the fact that 17 most of this is on a plastic -- the portion at the bottom of 18 the image is over a plastic surface and what is on the back of 19 the seat and the cargo compartment was actually carpet, I do 20 not believe that there was any rust present.
  - Based on all of your observations that you've talked about today and generally in this case, have you reached any opinion, sir, about generally what occurred with respect -- as shown by the blood stain pattern analysis that you've testified here?

21

22

23

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

Q. What are those conclusions?

A. My conclusion is that a bloody object was either pulled into the vehicle from the rear or pulled out of the vehicle from the rear. And at some point an individual or something had to be in the rear passenger's side of the vehicle and pulled that pattern that went across parallel to the back of the car toward the passenger rear door, actually appears to be possibly from a hand. I saw what appeared to be possible marks by fingers. That individual would have either leaned on the door frame or on the rear door as they exited before closing the door and closing the door caused the transfer pattern.

MR. HANLON: Just a moment, please, Your Honor.

- Q. Mr. Dinterman, one more question or two about luminol.
- Does luminol ever react in your experience to cleaning fluids?
- A. Yes. Luminol is a presumptive. It reacts to other things
- other than the iron in blood. It reacts to certain chemicals,
- those specially used in cleaning such as bleach.
- 19 Q. Are you familiar with the way -- have you seen luminal
- 20 | react with bleach or cleaning substances? Are you familiar
- 21 with how it reacts?
- 22 A. Yes. I've received no less than three trainings specific
- 23 | to luminol and the application specific to luminol and
- 24 documentation. And in those trainings we were exposed to what
- 25 the chemical's reaction looks like and what the blood reaction

```
looks like. And when I train my staff, I train them on how to
 1
 2
       photograph luminol. They're given both a sample with bleach
 3
       and a sample with known blood so that they can see the
 4
       difference in the reaction.
 5
            What are some of the differences in the reaction of
 6
       luminol with bleach versus blood?
 7
            The reaction with bleach and/or cleaning agents usually
       Α.
 8
       has what I refer to as a sparkling or a starburst effect. When
 9
       it's sprayed on the bleach you can actually see its
10
       fluorescence, but in a different manner. Instead of being the
       even bluish glow that you see with blood, it will have a lot
11
12
       of bright specs in it.
            Going back to Exhibit 247 which remains on your screen,
13
14
       do you have an opinion as to whether or not this luminol
15
       reaction is from blood or bleach?
                 This is more consistent with the reaction to blood.
16
17
                 MR. HANLON: One more moment, Your Honor. Thank you,
18
       Mr. Dinterman. No further questions on direct.
19
                 THE COURT: Okay, cross-examination.
                            None, Your Honor.
20
                 MR. HAWKS:
21
                 MR. GUILLAUME: No questions, Your Honor.
22
       you.
23
                 THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Dinterman. Thank you very much
24
       for coming to testify. We appreciate your testimony. You can
25
       step out now.
```

1 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 2 (Witness excused.) 3 MR. HANLON: Your Honor, the United States' next 4 witness is going to be Kerry George. 5 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Sir, please come forward and please take the stand. Remain standing and please raise your 6 7 right hand. 8 (Witness, sworn.) 9 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: You may be seated, sir. Speak 10 clearly into the microphone. Please state your first and last 11 name. 12 THE WITNESS: Kerry George. 13 THE CLERK: And spell your first and last name for 14 the record. THE WITNESS: K-e-r-r-y George, last name 15 16 G-e-o-r-g-e. 17 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Thank you, sir. 18 THE COURT: If you could just move the microphone closer to you and move yourself closer to the microphone, we'd 19 20 appreciate it. 21 Mr. Hanlon. 22 MR. HANLON: Thank you, Your Honor. 23 DIRECT EXAMINATION 24 BY MR. HANLON: 25 Mr. George, good morning to you.

George - direct

VII-30

- 1 A. Good morning.
- 2 Q. Can you tell us how old you are?
- 3 A. I'm 45.
- 4 Q. And where were you born and where did you grow up, Mr.
- 5 George?
- 6 A. I was born in Grenada, grew up in Grenada.
- 7 Q. And how old were you when you came to the United States?
- 8 A. 26, I believe.
- 9 Q. What brought you here to the U.S?
- 10 A. A better life, the American dream.
- 11  $\parallel$  Q. What kind of work have you done to support yourself?
- 12 A. I do IT work.
- 13 Q. Information technology?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. And what kind of IT work specifically do you do?
- 16 A. Computer repairs; cell phone sales and repairs;
- 17 electronic devices repairs and installations.
- 18 Q. Do you have your own business, sir, or do you work for
- 19 somebody?
- 20 A. I have my own business.
- 21 Q. And how long have you been in business for yourself?
- 22 A. 2007, thereabout.
- 23 Q. Approximately.
- 24 A. 15 years thereabout.
- 25 Q. Sir, do you know an individual named Scott Williams?

George - direct VII-31

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Do you see Mr. Scott Williams in court today?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Would you please point -- identify him by where he's
- 5 sitting and describe what he's wearing for the record?
- 6 A. Gray jacket, white shirt, right there.
- 7 MR. HANLON: Your Honor, for the record identifying
- 8 the defendant, Scott Williams.
- 9 THE COURT: Yes.
- 10 BY MR. HANLON:
- 11 Q. Mr. George, how do you know Scott Williams?
- 12 A. I met Scott, he came to do a job one time, some duct work
- for this business that I was at. That's how we met initially.
- 14 Q. Can you tell us about how long ago that was, what the
- 15  $\parallel$  business was that first brought you in contact with Mr.
- 16 Williams?
- 17 A. This was about maybe 12, 13 years ago, give or take.
- 18 Q. I'm sorry to interrupt you.
- 19 A. I said give or take.
- 20 Q. And it was a business thing, he did some sort of work for
- 21 you?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23  $\parallel$  Q. Was it for you personally or a company you worked for?
- 24 A. A company.
- Q. How did your relationship, if any, develop with Mr.

1 Williams after that time?

- 2 A. After that time we kept in contact. He did several other
- 3 jobs and we became pretty close. We became friends pretty
- 4 much.
- 5 Q. Have you remained friends with him since that time?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. Have you been to Mr. Scott Williams' house?
- 8 **A.** Yes.
- 9 Q. Has he been to your home?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. What kind of occasions have you gotten together with Mr.
- 12 Williams on?
- 13 A. Social occasions, birthdays, cookouts, work-wise, as
- 14 well.
- 15 Q. Have you done any kind of work for Mr. Scott Williams
- 16 during the time that you've known him?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. What kind of work have you done for Scott Williams during
- 19 that period?
- 20 A. Cell phone repair, computer repair, sales as well. Also
- 21 electronic devices, setup installations.
- 22 Q. Have you ever met any members of his family, Mr. George?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. What members of Mr. Scott Williams' family have you met?
- 25 A. His children, his wife, girlfriend, however you want to

VII-33

1 put it.

- 2 Q. Have you met an individual named Taeyan Williams?
- 3 Α. Yes.
- 4 And Mr. Taeyan Williams, do you see him in court today? Q.
- 5 Α. Yes.

9

- 6 If you would, please tell us where he's sitting and 7 describe his clothing.
- 8 He's sitting right here, black jacket.
  - MR. HANLON: Your Honor, for the record identifying -- identifying the defendant, Taeyan Williams.
- 11 THE COURT: Yes, it will.
- 12 BY MR. HANLON:
- Based on how he was introduced to you, Mr. George, what 13 14 is Scott Williams' relationship with Taeyan Williams?
- His father. 15 Α.
- 16 Has there ever been a point during the course of the time
- 17 that you've known Scott Williams, Mr. George, in which Scott
- 18 Williams has ever asked you to delete information from his
- 19 cell phone?
- 20 Α. Yes.
- And let me ask you about say prior to the 2018 time 21
- 22 frame, what were the occasions on which Mr. Scott Williams
- 23 asked you to delete information from his cell phone?
- 24 It was actually several occasions. He loses his phones Α.
- 25 regularly. Between him and his kids -- sorry, go ahead.

```
1
            Let me clarify the question. Has he ever told you why he
       Q.
       wanted information deleted from his cell phone?
 2
 3
       Α.
            No.
            But he's asked you to delete information from his cell
 4
       Q.
 5
       phone?
 6
            Correct.
       Α.
 7
                 MR. HANLON: Your Honor, can I confer with counsel,
 8
       briefly?
 9
                 THE COURT:
                              Okay.
10
                  (Counsel conferred privately off the record.)
11
       BY MR. HANLON:
            Approximately how many times, sir, prior to say 2018 or
12
13
       so, did you delete information for Scott Williams from his
14
       cell phone?
15
            Five to ten.
       Α.
16
            Did there come a point, sir, in which you came to learn
17
       that Mr. Scott Williams had been -- had gotten arrested?
18
       Α.
            Yes.
19
            How did you come to find out that information, just in
       terms of time frame?
20
21
            I got a phone call.
       Α.
22
            Who was the phone call from?
23
            From Scott.
       Α.
24
            And do you recall what was said during the phone call?
       Q.
25
       Α.
            Vaguely.
```

- Q. Do you recall whether or not this was a phone call where it was just you and him or whether or not anyone else was on the phone?
- 4 A. You have to be more specific.
- Q. Was it just -- during the phone call that you described, was it just you and Mr. Williams talking to each other or was
- 7 there another person on the phone with you?
- A. It depends which particular instance you're speaking about.
- 10 Q. So there are multiple?
- 11 A. Yes, correct.
- Q. During the first call, the one where you found out that something had happened with Mr. Williams, was that just him or
- was there anyone else on the call?
- 15 A. There was somebody else on the call.
- 16 Q. Do you recall who the other person was on the call?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And who was the other person on the phone call?
- 19 A. Shelly.
- Q. Now who is Shelly?
- 21 A. This is his significant other.
- 22  $\parallel$  Q. Is this someone else that you've met personally?
- 23 A. Yes, correct.
- Q. Do you know if Shelly goes by any other names or is known
- 25 by any other names?

VII-36

```
1
            Yes.
       Α.
 2
       Q.
            And what other names is she known by?
 3
       Α.
            I know one other, Patti.
 4
            Now during -- was there also a time in which you went to
       Q.
 5
       Mr. Williams' house to conduct some repairs in the wake of
       this arrest?
 6
 7
            Correct.
       Α.
 8
            What was the nature of the repair work that you went to
 9
       do at Scott Williams' house?
10
            I had to repair his door that was broken down.
       Α.
11
            Did you end up repairing the door?
       Q.
12
            Correct.
       Α.
13
            Now let me -- what I'd like to do now and what the
14
       Government would like to do, Your Honor, is play a portion of
15
       ID-31 which corresponds to Exhibit 531 which is in evidence.
16
                             Is this one of the ones we just played?
                 THE COURT:
17
                 MR. HANLON: It is, Your Honor. We're going to jump
18
       to a particular part of it.
19
                 THE COURT:
                              Okay.
20
                 MR. HANLON: Your Honor, at this point we are
21
       playing the call from approximately 4:03. What I'm going to
22
       ask my colleague to do is play it until we hear some voices
23
       and I'm going to ask the witness to identify the voices. So
```

(Whereupon the audiotape was played.)

Ms. Grossi, please go ahead.

24

## 1 BY MR. HANLON: 2 Q. We've stopped at four minutes and twelve seconds. Mr. 3 George, do you recognize the voices on that call? 4 Α. Yes. 5 Who were the voices that we just heard? 6 That was Scott and Shelly. Α. 7 Was there another voice that we heard on that call? Q. 8 Α. Yes, myself. 9 So do you recall participating in this call with Mr. 10 Williams and Shelly was on? 11 Yes. Α. MR. HANLON: I'll ask my colleague now to resume 12 13 playing, Your Honor, thank you. 14 (Whereupon the audiotape was played.) 15 MR. HANLON: We stopped at four minutes and 36 16 seconds in. 17 BY MR. HANLON: 18 Mr. George, let me ask you a couple of questions. Did you 19 hear a reference to the word "password" a moment ago? 20 Α. Yes. 21 And there's also a reference to "Gucci 225." Do you recall that? 22 23 Yes. Α.

Can you tell for us based on your participation in this

call what was being discussed during this call with you, Scott

24

```
1
       Williams, and the lady?
 2
       Α.
            You'd have to play further.
 3
       0.
            I'm sorry, sir?
 4
       Α.
            You'd have to play further.
 5
                 MR. HANLON: Ms. Grossi, if you could play further.
 6
                  (Whereupon the audiotape was played.)
 7
       BY MR. HANLON:
 8
            We've stopped at 5:05 in the call, sir. Can you tell what
 9
       the context is of the conversation now?
10
            It was about me getting into his iCloud account.
       Α.
11
            And based on this conversation, what was your
       Q.
12
       understanding of what Mr. Williams was asking you to do with
13
       his iCloud account?
14
            He wanted me to log into it and delete it.
15
                 MR. HANLON: We'll resume the call, Your Honor.
16
                  (The audiotape was played.)
17
       BY MR. HANLON:
18
            We stopped at 5:40. Mr. George, you mentioned that
19
       "everything is gonna be gone." Did you hear your voice say
20
       that?
21
            Correct.
22
            And what were you trying to communicate to Mr. Williams
23
       when you said that?
24
            He was asking me to get some information from it, but I
```

told him once I delete the iCloud, everything will be gone.

VII-39

1 Now the information he was asking you to get, during the Q. 2 call was he asking you to relay that information to someone? 3 No, I don't believe so. MR. HANLON: Ms. Grossi, if you can resume. You can 4 5 resume. Resume playing, Your Honor. 6 (Whereupon the audiotape was played.) 7 BY MR. HANLON: 8 At six minutes and four seconds in we've stopped. Mr. 9 George, did you have an understanding of the meaning of the 10 phrase "Taeyan Taeyan" when it was mentioned during this call? 11 Yeah, talking about his son. Α. 12 And which son specifically, sir? 0. 13 Α. Taeyan. 14 MR. HANLON: We're resuming playing, Your Honor. 15 (Whereupon the audiotape was played.) 16 BY MR. HANLON: 17 At some point you got off -- strike that. Did there come 18 a point, Mr. George, where you got off the call? 19 Α. I believe so. 20 Now based on what was said during this conversation, did 21 you take any action? 22 Yes. Α. 23 What did you do? Q. 24 I proceeded to log into the iCloud and delete it. Α. 25 Now when you talked about logging into the iCloud, which

VII-40

1 iCloud account did you log into?

- 2 A. The one I was given instructions to get into.
- 3 Q. And again, to be very specific, whose iCloud was it that
- 4 you logged into?
- 5 A. Scott's iCloud.
- Q. And when you say that you received instructions, what
- 7 exactly were the instructions that you received to log into
- 8 that iCloud?
- 9 A. To log into the iCloud and delete what's in there, as he
- 10 said.
- 11  $\parallel$  Q. Now during the course of this call, do you recall using
- 12 the phrase "credentials"?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. What did you mean when you used the phrase "credentials"?
- 15 A. His iCloud information; e-mail and password.
- 16 Q. And how did you get those credentials in order to log in?
- 17 A. As the recording stated, he'd give it to me.
- 18 Q. By "he," who do you mean that gave you the credentials?
- 19 A. Scott.
- 20 Q. Sir, do you recall your phone number during the time
- 21 frame in question?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23  $\blacksquare$  Q. What was your phone number at the time in question?
- 24 A. Do I need to say my phone number?
- 25 Q. For the record, sir, yes, you do.

- 1 A. Okay. 202-486-9003.
- Q. That was the phone number that you were using during the
- 3 time frame of the call that we just heard; is that correct?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. How long have you used that phone number?
- 6 A. Always, 15 years.
- 7 Q. Do you still use that phone number?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. Did there come a point, sir, in which you attended a
  10 court appearance -- one moment, please, Your Honor -- that you
- 11 | attended a court appearance and saw Mr. Williams in court?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. What were the circumstances under which you attended a court appearance?
- 15 A. He was arrested and this is where I actually made a
- 16 couple of calls and found out to see where he was going to be,
- he was going to be at a particular courthouse. So yes, I
- 18 showed up to see what was going on.
- 19 Q. That last part, sir, I just want to make sure I
- 20 understand. You made a couple of phone calls? Did I hear you
- 21 correctly?
- 22  $\blacksquare$  A. Yes, because he got arrested and I called to see exactly
- what was going on. And I was informed that that was where he
- 24 was going to be.
- 25 Q. And at some point did you actually go to court and watch

```
1
       a court proceeding?
 2
       Α.
            Correct.
 3
            And did you actually at one point come to this
       courthouse?
 4
 5
       Α.
            Correct.
 6
            And watch a court proceeding?
 7
       Α.
            Yes.
 8
       Q.
            Do you recall what kind of court proceeding it was?
 9
                 MR. CRAWLEY: Objection, as to relevance.
10
                 THE COURT: How is this relevant?
11
                 MR. HANLON: I'll withdraw it, Your Honor.
12
       BY MR. HANLON:
13
            Do you recall seeing Mr. Williams in court?
14
       Α.
            Yes.
            Do you recall seeing Mr. Williams represented by an
15
16
       attorney?
17
                 MR. CRAWLEY: Objection, Your Honor, as to
18
       relevance.
19
                 THE COURT: What's the relevance?
20
                 MR. HANLON: We'll have to approach, Your Honor.
21
                 THE COURT:
                              Okay.
22
                  (Counsel approached the bench.)
23
                 THE COURT: Okay, so where is this line of
24
       questioning going?
25
                 MR. HANLON: Your Honor, the defense knows from the
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

George - direct

grand jury testimony I think that Mr. George received a note from the attorney representing Mr. Williams at the time. It was none of the attorneys here and I don't think that the attorney in that case did anything wrong, they just passed a note for their client. The note was to Mr. George. The note is not super clear, Your Honor. There's a reference to pants and some other stuff. And then subsequent to that Mr. George went to the Bristolwood house, if memory serves, found a cell phone of Mr. Williams' and deleted more information. There was also -- per the witness' grand jury testimony, there was also a phone call with Mr. Williams during the same time frame which there was discussion of this. THE COURT: Do we have the note or not? MR. HANLON: We do. Ultimately what happened, Your Honor, is that the witness appeared in grand jury and testified about all of this stuff and provided --THE COURT: Mr. George did? MR. HANLON: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. MR. HANLON: Now I will say, Your Honor, that the witness saw Mr. Williams in court, saw counsel in court, but did not personally see Mr. Williams pass the note to counsel.

the courtroom after Mr. Williams left or if it was outside,

proceeding and then subsequently and I don't know if it was in

He did not see that. What happened was he saw a court

```
1
       counsel passed -- provided this note.
 2
                 THE COURT: Are you trying to get either him to
 3
       describe or are you going to offer the note itself or you just
       want to say what did he do after he got the note?
 4
 5
                 MR. HANLON: I do plan to offer the note, Your
 6
       Honor, and show it to him. I will say that the note is now
 7
       self-explanatory.
 8
                 THE COURT: Is it written by Scott Williams?
 9
       his handwriting?
10
                 MR. HANLON: We can't confirm that it's his
11
       handwriting, Your Honor. Neither can the witness.
12
                 THE COURT:
                            It came from his counsel?
13
                 MR. HANLON: Yes, Your Honor.
14
                 THE COURT: Who are we talking about?
                 MR. HANLON: It's a member of the Public Defender's
15
16
       office, Your Honor.
17
                 THE COURT: What hearing was this? Just for my --
18
                 MR. HANLON: Based on the context, I believe it was
19
       the initial appearance. I would want to verify that.
20
                 THE COURT: All right. So Mr. Crawley, what's the
21
       issue?
22
                 MR. CRAWLEY: Yes, Your Honor. First, as to the
23
       note, the Government has conceded that they can't substantiate
24
       that it was my client who wrote this note. So without that, I
25
       don't know how that has any bearing. I don't know what this
```

1 attorney -- what was in that attorney's head when they 2 actually gave this note over. So with that being said, that's 3 not relevant to anything concerning what my client would have known or done. 4 5 But more importantly, Your Honor, if the Government is 6 only seeking to ask about what other steps did he take, 7 meaning this witness to delete information, I think they can 8 ask that question. But beyond that, to get into all of this 9 speculative stuff concerning a note that we don't know who the 10 author is, how it was written, what it was intended for, I 11 think that's objectionable. THE COURT: If it's an exhibit, how come we haven't 12 13 all looked at it? Is it on the exhibit list? 14 MR. HANLON: It's in the exhibit list, Your Honor. I 15 forgot the number. 16 Are you going to offer it through him? THE COURT: 17 MR. HANLON: That was my intention, yes. 18 Okay, well this falls in the category of THE COURT: 19 things that probably should have been raised earlier. But in 20 any event, so again, why do you need him to describe the court 21 hearing? 22 MR. HANLON: To describe the court hearing, no, Your 23 Honor. The fact that there was --24 THE COURT: Not the content, but the fact that he 25 got a note from the attorney.

George - direct

VII-46

MR. HANLON: Based on the context, Your Honor, right after the court hearing where Scott Williams is there and suddenly he's getting a handwritten note, I think it's a reasonable inference that the note came from Mr. Williams, based on the context.

THE COURT: Okay, I'm going to allow at least -- I don't know about the note itself, I haven't looked at it, but in terms of the course of conduct, I'm going to allow it.

Because the fact that he gets a note may affect what he ends up doing. The fact that he gets it from the person he understood to be the attorney makes it more likely that this is a statement coming from Mr. Williams. The attorney is a representative of the client, so he's getting a note from that person, as opposed to some other random person in the courtroom. It does make a difference.

We don't need to get into who the attorney is. If it's helpful to clarify it's not one of current counsel, I think someone should do that. And then in terms of certainly as Mr. Crawley said what he ended up doing next, that's fair game. As to the content of the note, I'll have to look at it and see where we are.

MR. HAWKS: Your Honor, in an abundance of caution I feel like I should put on the record -- and I'm sorry for the two, but just --

THE COURT: I'm really trying to follow this rule,

```
1
       but --
 2
                 MR. HAWKS:
                            Your Honor, the public defender in
 3
       question was my spouse, just for the full situational
       awareness to the Court.
 4
 5
                 THE COURT: Okay, that's helpful.
                              Your Honor, I just want to clarify, I
 6
                 MR. HANLON:
 7
       don't think any attorney did anything wrong, including the
 8
       public defender.
 9
                            The jury is not going to care about
                 THE COURT:
10
              You all are concerned about that. They're not going to
11
       be.
12
                 MR. HANLON:
                             Understood.
13
                 THE COURT: Okay.
14
                 MR. HANLON:
                              Thank you, Your Honor.
15
                 (Counsel returned to their trial tables.)
16
                 THE COURT:
                            Sorry for the delay, ladies and
17
       gentlemen. Mr. Hanlon.
18
       BY MR. HANLON:
19
            Mr. George, so going back to this court proceeding with
       Mr. Scott Williams, at some point -- and just to be very
20
21
       clear, the attorneys involved in that proceeding on the
22
       defense side were none of these attorneys here today; is that
23
       correct? None of them were representing Mr. Scott Williams or
24
       Mr. Taeyan -- or none of them were representing Mr. Scott
25
       Williams?
```

```
1
            No, sir.
       Α.
 2
       Q.
            At some point did you receive anything following that
 3
       court proceeding or during the court proceeding from the
       person you understood to be Scott Williams' attorney at the
 4
 5
       time?
 6
            Yes, correct.
       Α.
 7
                 MR. HANLON: Your Honor, at this point the Government
 8
       would move to admit Exhibit 417-B as in Bravo.
 9
                            Any issues?
                 THE COURT:
10
                 MR. CRAWLEY: Subject to our earlier objection, Your
11
       Honor.
12
                 THE COURT:
                            417-B?
13
                 MR. HANLON: B as in Bravo.
14
                 THE COURT: Have you given me a copy of it?
15
                 MR. HANLON:
                              Your Honor, it is in the exhibit set.
16
       It's in the Court's exhibit set.
17
                 THE COURT: I just have straight up 417. I don't
18
       have 417-B.
19
                 MR. HANLON:
                              A moment please, Your Honor. We'll look
20
       for it, Your Honor. In the meantime, Your Honor, I'm going to
21
       confer. I have a copy here and I can certainly provide it to
22
       the Court. Does counsel have a copy? Counsel appears to have
23
       a copy. Would the Court like a copy?
24
                 THE COURT: Yes, I would.
25
                 MR. HANLON: May I approach, Your Honor?
```

```
1
                 THE COURT:
                             Yes, and hand it to the clerk.
 2
                 MR. HANLON: And Your Honor, begging the Court's
 3
       indulgence, I'm hoping the Court will allow me to put it on
 4
       the screen once the Court has had an opportunity to review it.
                 THE COURT: Well, just for the witness only?
 5
 6
                 MR. HANLON: You know, Your Honor, we have an
 7
       electronic copy; apologies.
 8
                 THE COURT:
                            Well, you can show it to the witness
 9
       first until we decide what we're doing with it.
10
                 MR. HANLON: Certainly, Your Honor.
11
                 THE COURT: Okay, I have it. Do you want to lay a
12
       foundation? I think there may be an objection to this.
13
                 MR. HANLON: Certainly, Your Honor.
14
                 THE COURT: Okay, go ahead.
15
                             A moment please, Your Honor, so I can
                 MR. HANLON:
16
       make sure I do the technology properly. Ms. Solomon, is the
17
       system set up to show things to the witness, but not to the
18
       jury?
19
                 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Yes, it is.
20
                 MR. HANLON: Thank you, ma'am. Would it be possible
21
       to put for the witness, Exhibit 417-B, as in Bravo?
22
       BY MR. HANLON:
23
            Do you recognize this piece of paper, sir, or these
24
       pieces of paper?
25
       Α.
            Yes.
```

VII-50

- 1 Where have you seen this piece of paper before? Q. 2 Α. This is what was given to me at the court appearance. 3 And I'll ask you -- I'm going to ask you a direct question here. Did you receive this piece of paper from a 4 5 particular person? Don't worry about their name, but from a 6 particular person? 7 Yes, correct. 8 And without naming the person, who was the person in 9 question? 10 MR. CRAWLEY: Objection to the form of the question. 11 THE COURT: Overruled. 12 BY MR. HANLON: 13 Like what was their job? What were they doing in the 14 court proceeding? 15 This was the representative for Scott. Α. 16 Say that again, sir. Q. 17 The representative for Scott. Α. 18 Do you -- you have no reason to believe that that person Q. 19 knew what the note was -- or what the paper said or what its 20 meaning was? 21 MR. CRAWLEY: Objection, calls for speculation. 22 THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. HANLON: 23
- - You -- again, do you recognize this document? Q.
- 25 Α. Yes.

VII-51

1 MR. HANLON: Your Honor, the United States moves for 2 the admission of Exhibit 417-B as in Bravo. 3 THE COURT: Other than saying you recognize it, what 4 else have you had him say about this? 5 MR. HANLON: Let me lay more foundation, Your Honor. THE COURT: Let's do that. 6 7 BY MR. HANLON: 8 What did you understand this piece of paper to mean? 9 MR. CRAWLEY: Objection, Your Honor. That doesn't go 10 to foundation. 11 THE COURT: That could go to content. I'll sustain 12 that. 13 THE WITNESS: Would you like me to read it? 14 MR. HANLON: No, hold on. BY MR. HANLON: 15 16 Do you -- yes or no, sir, did you take any action based 17 on this piece of paper? 18 Α. Yes. 19 What action did you take based on this piece of paper? 20 That, again, would go towards me reading it. Would you 21 like me to read it? 22 Just tell me what the action was, for now. What action 23 did you take after receiving this piece of paper? 24 Α. Caution. 25 Did you go to -- did you go to a location based on this

VII-52

1 piece of paper?

- 2 Α. Yes.
- 3 Where did you go based on this piece of paper, Mr.
- 4 George?
- 5 To the house, to Scott's house. Α.
- 6 And to be very clear, sir, whose house was that?
- 7 Α. Scott's house.
- 8 Full name if you would, sir? Q.
- 9 Scott Williams' house. Α.
- 10 And what did you do at Scott Williams' house? Q.
- 11 I went to his house and I went to his room and went to Α.
- 12 retrieve his contents.
- 13 Now what contents of Scott Williams' did you retrieve
- 14 from his room on that occasion?
- 15 His wallet and a cell phone. Α.
- 16 And why did you retrieve a cell phone of Scott Williams?
- 17 What caused you to do that?
- 18 Because this is what was in his pants pocket. Again, it
- 19 goes towards me reading --
- 20 Let me ask you this: Is there a connection, Mr. George,
- 21 between the language in the note and the action you took about
- 22 retrieving a cell phone?
- 23 Α. Yes.
- 24 What is that connection? Let me ask you a different way.
- 25 Would describing the note allow you to describe the connection

```
1
       between the note and the cell phone?
 2
       Α.
            Yes.
 3
                 MR. HANLON: Your Honor, the United States moves for
       the admission of Exhibit 417-B.
 4
 5
                 MR. CRAWLEY: We maintain our objection.
 6
                 THE COURT: I'm not going to allow it at this point.
 7
       I still think we need to know more about how this note came
 8
       about.
 9
                 MR. HANLON: Understood, Your Honor. I'll move on.
10
       BY MR. HANLON:
11
            You mentioned you retrieved a cell phone?
12
                 MR. CRAWLEY: I would ask that the note be taken
13
       down, Your Honor.
14
                 THE COURT: I'm not sure if he's still trying to lay
       a foundation, so we'll leave it up through this line.
15
16
                 MR. HANLON: Your Honor, I'd like to move on, but
17
       for now let's see where else it goes.
18
                 THE COURT:
                            Okay.
19
       BY MR. HANLON:
20
            You mentioned retrieving a cell phone?
21
            Correct.
22
                 MR. HANLON: Approach the witness, Your Honor?
23
                 THE COURT:
                             Okay.
24
       BY MR HANLON:
25
            Mr. George, I'm going to approach and show you an item
```

t VII-54

- 1 which has been -- you could take it, Mr. George, just for a
- 2 moment. Take a look at it and let me know when you're done.
- 3 A. Okay.
- 4 Q. Do you recognize the item that I just showed you which is
- 5 in evidence as Exhibit 679, sir?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. What is this item?
- 8 A. It's a cell phone.
- 9 Q. Have you seen this particular cell phone before?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11  $\blacksquare$  Q. Where have you seen this particular cell phone before?
- 12 A. This is the cell phone I retrieved from his pants.
- 13 Q. And from whose pants did you retrieve this cell phone,
- 14 Exhibit 679?
- 15 A. Mr. Williams.
- 16  $\parallel$  Q. When you retrieved -- when you retrieved Exhibit 679 from
- 17 Mr. Scott Williams' pants, what was your intention of what you
- 18 were going to do with it?
- 19 A. My intention?
- 20 Q. Yes, sir.
- 21 A. At that point I didn't have any intention. This is the
- 22 contents of what was in his pants pocket on his person.
- 23  $\blacksquare$  Q. Did you ultimately do anything with Exhibit 679?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 Q. What did you do with Exhibit 679?

- 1 I did a reset on it. Α.
- 2 Q. Now what do you mean by "a reset" in the context of a
- 3 cell phone?
- 4 I reset the phone. Α.
- 5 What does resetting a phone do to the phone in terms of Q.
- 6 the data --
- 7 Reset to factory. Α.
- 8 Let me finish, sir, and apologies. Say that again.
- 9 would resetting the phone due to the data already on the
- 10 phone?
- 11 Erases the data. Α.
- 12 Data would include text messages? 0.
- 13 Α. Data.
- 14 Q. Would it include text messages?
- 15 Yes, that's data. Α.
- 16 Would it include contacts? Q.
- 17 Α. Data.
- 18 Would it include contacts? Q.
- 19 Α. Everything on the phone would be deleted, data.
- 20 Q. Including the contacts, Mr. George?
- 21 Α. Everything.
- 22 Why did you erase the data on the phone? Q.
- 23 Because this is what I was instructed to do. Α.
- 24 Who instructed you to erase the data on this phone? Q.
- 25 Α. Mr. Williams.

- 1 Q. And just for clarity of the record, sir, which Mr.
- 2 Williams?
- 3 A. Scott Williams.
- 4 | Q. And when did Scott Williams instruct you? How did Scott
- 5 Williams instruct you to erase the data on the phone?
- 6 A. Through phone calls.
- 7 Q. Did you still occasionally have phone calls with Mr.
- 8 Scott Williams during this time frame?
- 9 A. Yes, of course.
- 10 Q. After erasing the data, what did you do with this cell
- 11 phone?
- 12 A. I kept it.
- 13 Q. Ballpark, Mr. George, about how long did you keep the
- 14 cell phone?
- 15 A. 2019 at the time of his arrest, up until I give it to you
- 16 guys.
- 17 Q. And you anticipated my next question, sir. Did there come
- 18 a time when you provided a cell phone, Exhibit 679 to anybody?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. How did that come about?
- 21  $\blacksquare$  A. The police came to my house asking questions and they
- asked do I have any contents of him and yes, I give them a
- 23 cell phone.
- 24 Q. Did you -- as part of that discussion, did you sign a
- 25 form allowing the police to get the cell phone and check the

```
1
       cell phone?
 2
       Α.
            Yes.
 3
                 MR. HANLON: Your Honor, the Government would move to
       admit Exhibit 417-A as in alpha.
 4
 5
                 THE COURT: Again, I don't have any copies of this.
 6
       Do you want to put it on the screen just for the witness?
 7
                 MR. HANLON: Of course, Your Honor.
 8
                 THE COURT: Or just give me a copy. That would be
 9
       easier.
10
                 MR. HANLON: Yes, of course, Your Honor. Approach,
11
       Your Honor, the deputy clerk?
                 THE COURT: Yes. Okay, any objection to 417-A?
12
13
                 MR. CRAWLEY: No objection, Your Honor.
14
                 THE COURT: Okay, Exhibit 417-A is in evidence.
       BY MR. HANLON:
15
16
            Do you see the piece of paper on the screen in front of
17
       you, Mr. George?
18
       Α.
            Yes.
19
            And what are we looking at?
20
       Α.
            Maryland State Police consent to search and seizure.
21
            Is this a form you signed to let the police take the cell
22
       phone that I've shown you a couple of times?
23
            Correct.
       Α.
24
            There is roughly in the middle of the screen, sir, a
25
       signature. It's sort of the middle right part of the screen,
```

```
1
       signature of person consenting to search. Is that your
 2
       signature there?
 3
       Α.
            Yes.
            Mr. George, when you went to the house that you
 4
       Q.
 5
       described, how did you know where to find or where to look to
       find the cell phone, Exhibit 679?
 6
 7
            I was told where to find it.
 8
            I'm sorry, sir?
       Q.
 9
            I was told where to find it.
10
            And who told you where to find the cell phone?
       Q.
11
            I was given a piece of paper with instructions.
       Α.
12
            Is it the piece of paper -- the piece of paper that you
13
       were given, is it a piece of paper that you've seen today?
14
       Α.
            Yes.
                              Your Honor, moving again for the
15
                 MR. HANLON:
16
       admission of 417-B.
17
                 THE COURT: Still objecting?
18
                 MR. CRAWLEY: Oh, yes, sir. Absolutely.
19
                 THE COURT:
                            Objection is overruled. Exhibit 417-B is
       in evidence.
20
21
                 MR. HANLON: Madam Deputy, it can be seen by the
22
       jury; is that correct?
23
                 THE COURT:
                             Yes.
24
                 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Yes.
25
                 MR. HANLON: Thank you.
```

VII-59

#### BY MR. HANLON:

- 2 Is this the piece of paper that you were handed that you
- 3 talked about a couple of times today, Mr. George?
- 4 Α. Yes.

- 5 Is there a reference to pants on the note?
- 6 Α. Yes.
- 7 If you would, please circle the reference to pants on the
- note. Indicating with a green circle; is that right, Mr. 8
- 9 George?
- 10 Α. Correct.
- 11 Let me show you what has been -- Your Honor, the
- Government moves to admit 549-C as in Charlie. 12
- 13 THE COURT: Any issues with 549-C?
- 14 MR. HANLON: Your Honor, I'm sorry. Were there no--
- 15 MR. CRAWLEY: No objection.
- BY MR. HANLON: 16
- 17 Putting it up, hopefully it can be seen on your screen.
- 18 Do you see that, Mr. George?
- 19 Α. Yes.
- 20 Do you recognize this piece of paper?
- 21 Yes. Α.
- 22 This is a piece of paper that was provided to you prior
- 23 to trial; is that correct?
- 24 Α. Correct.
- 25 And is it your understanding based on this piece of

USCA4 Appeal: 23-4568 Doc: 39-4 Filed: 03/08/2024 Pg: 64 of 378

George - cross VII-60

1 paper, Exhibit 549-C, that what you said in court and during

- 2 meetings with law enforcement won't be used against you unless
- 3 you make false statements or lie under oath; is that correct?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 MR. HANLON: Your Honor, that's all my questions on

6 direct.

7

- THE COURT: Okay, who wants to go first on cross?
- 8 MR. CRAWLEY: I'll go.
  - CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 10 BY MR. CRAWLEY:
- 11 Q. Good afternoon.
- 12 A. Good afternoon, good morning.
- Q. Oh, yeah. I'm jumping the gun. Time flies. So Mr.
- George, you were asked about this letter earlier or this note,
- 15 correct?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. And, in fact, you testified that you came to this court
- 18 building in 2019, correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. And that was the time that you received the note that's
- 21 | listed here, correct?
- 22 A. Correct.
- Q. And above that note there appears to be a business card,
- 24 correct?
- 25 A. Correct.

George - cross

- Q. And, in fact, it was that person that gave you that note, correct?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. And, in fact, you had talked about previously with the
- 5 Government and/or law enforcement, I'll call law enforcement
- 6 police officers, et cetera, et cetera, the fact that you knew
- 7 | that Scott Williams was arrested in 2018, correct?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. But you also knew that he got out on bond at some point
- 10 between 2018 and 2019, correct?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12  $\blacksquare$  Q. And so that means he was out on the street and you and
- him could interact one-on-one, correct?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15  $\parallel$  Q. Now at some point when he's out on bond, he has a new
- 16 cell phone, correct?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 | Q. And this was after the alleged crimes that were committed
- 19 in 2018, correct?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21  $\parallel$  Q. Now so when you see here there's some instructions, there
- 22 appears to be some instructions, correct?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 Q. It's safe to say that you and Scott enjoyed a very good
- 25 relationship, right?

George - cross

VII-62

Correct. Α.

- 2 Q. And your relationship is so strong that when Scott would
- 3 engage in certain behavior like, for instance, infidelity, you
- would try to help him cover that up, right? 4
- 5 Α. By all means.
- 6 But infidelity isn't a crime, is it?
- 7 No, sir. Α.
- 8 And it's safe to say that you enjoy your freedom,
- 9 correct?
- 10 Α. Of course.
- 11 And you enjoy your freedom more than you care about Scott
- 12 Williams, correct? You enjoy your freedom more than you care
- 13 about lying for Scott Williams, correct?
- 14 MR. HANLON: Objection, Your Honor.
- 15 THE COURT: It's a complicated -- sustained as to
- 16 form.
- 17 BY MR. CRAWLEY:
- 18 You're not going to come to court under oath and lie for
- 19 Scott Williams, are you?
- 20 Α. No.
- You're not going to take a phone and try to hide evidence 21
- 22 of a crime for Scott Williams, are you?
- 23 Α. No.
- 24 You may help him and assist him in hiding an extra --
- 25 well, relationship affair because he's not married to Patti

```
1
       Chaplain, is he?
 2
       Α.
            No.
 3
            But you're not going to say, for instance, discard a body
       for Scott Williams, are you?
 4
 5
            Absolutely not.
       Α.
            You're not going to when confronted by law enforcement,
 6
 7
       not turn over the phone, correct?
 8
       Α.
            No.
 9
            You gave them the phone?
10
       Α.
            Absolutely.
11
            And you didn't know about them wanting the phone until
12
       2021 because that's the date they came and got the phone,
13
       correct?
14
       Α.
            Correct.
15
                 MR. CRAWLEY: No further questions.
16
                             Okay, Mr. Guillaume.
                 THE COURT:
17
                 MR. GUILLAUME: No questions, thank you.
18
                            Okay. Any redirect, Mr. Hanlon?
                 THE COURT:
19
                 MR. HANLON:
                             Just one question, Your Honor.
20
                 REDIRECT
                                   EXAMINATION
21
       BY MR. HANLON:
22
            Mr. George, you were asked a number of questions about
23
       things you would do for Scott Williams. I just want to ask you
24
       one question. Did you, not would you, did you delete
25
       information from Scott Williams' cell phone for Scott
```

George recross

VII-64

1 Williams? 2 Α. Yes. 3 Thank you, Your Honor. Nothing further. MR. HANLON: Anything else just on that? 4 THE COURT: 5 RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. CRAWLEY: 6 7 2019 you were given a phone? 8 Α. Yes. 9 You deleted the information from that phone? 10 Yes, as well as other previous occasions as well. Α. 11 But not with the understanding that there was criminal Q. 12 content on the phone, correct? 13 Α. No. 14 That's correct, right? 15 Correct. Α. 16 Thank you. Q. 17 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. George, thank you very much 18 for coming to testify. You're free to step out now. Thank you. 19 THE WITNESS: May I ask a question, Judge? May I 20 stay for the rest of the proceeding? MR. CRAWLEY: We had initially called him for a 21 22 We no longer wish to use him as a witness. Unless 23 the Government has an objection, we ask that he would be able 24 to attend this trial going forward as any other citizen, Your 25 Honor.

THE COURT: Well, why don't we take the mid-morning break now since it's about 10:40.

Ladies and gentlemen, again, thank you for your attention. Do not discuss the case during the break. Just relax, enjoy the break. We'll see you back here at 5 minutes to 11. Thank you very much.

# (Whereupon the jury exited the courtroom at 10:40 a.m.)

THE COURT: Thank you, everyone. Please be seated.

Mr. George, you can at least go to the back of the courtroom.

You don't need to stay there.

#### (Witness stepped down.)

THE COURT: So the issue is just whether Mr. George will be recalled for any reason and you're saying you will not ask for that, Mr. Crawley?

MR. CRAWLEY: That is my understanding, Your Honor, after speaking with Mr. Hawks that we do not anticipate calling this individual as a witness for our case.

THE COURT: Does anyone else have that plan?

MR. HANLON: Sitting here right this minute, Your Honor, I don't anticipate that we're going to call Mr. George again. The problem is things always happen and I can't guarantee that something is not going to come up. Counsel is absolutely correct, every citizen has the right to attend court proceedings. Most witnesses are told not to for exactly this reason. None of the Government's witnesses, for

instance, are hanging out.

THE COURT: He is one of the Government's witnesses, if I recall.

MR. HANLON: Yes, that's correct, Your Honor, but he's friendly to the defense, which is fine.

THE COURT: Why don't we take this step by step. Mr. George, I'm going to ask you to leave the courtroom. Someone will let you know whether you can come back in today or at other points, but if you could step out while we're having this discussion, that's fine. Go outside of the courtroom, you can be out in the back room or in the hallway. Either way. Okay, thank you.

### (Witness exited the courtroom.)

THE COURT: So it's an unusual scenario because Mr.

Crawley saying they're not going to call him means at later

points they want to call him we would likely say no, you can't

do that anymore. Mr. Guillaume has no interest?

MR. GUILLAUME: Correct.

THE COURT: This doesn't matter for you. So for the Government, obviously, if he stays in the courtroom with the consent of everyone else, it doesn't necessarily mean he can't be recalled, I just don't know whether by sitting here whether that's something that's perceived as helping the Government or helping the defense. So that's the trickiness here.

MR. HANLON: And Ms. Grossi reminds me, there is

1 another witness for the Government, Mr. Smothers, who has been 2 here since his testimony and that's an accommodation we 3 reached and that is in fairness, something --4 THE COURT: Well, the issue, my assumption is you're 5 not calling Mr. Smothers again. 6 MR. HANLON: That's correct, that's correct. 7 THE COURT: So you right now have no plan to call 8 Mr. George, correct? 9 MR. HANLON: That's correct. 10 THE COURT: So is there a scenario where you think 11 you might call him? 12 It's very unlikely, Your Honor. MR. HANLON: 13 THE COURT: I guess the question, Mr. Crawley, is if 14 for some reason unanticipated now they wanted to recall him, 15 would you object, given that you asked to have him in the 16 courtroom and Mr. Hanlon didn't really want him in the 17 courtroom, but if I allow him to stay would you object if that 18 came up? 19 MR. CRAWLEY: Well, Your Honor, no more than we 20 would have objected to Mr. Smothers being here and him needing 21 to be recalled as a witness. 22 THE COURT: Except that you're asking to allow Mr. 23 George to stay here and Mr. Hanlon is actually opposing it, 24 which is different. 25 MR. CRAWLEY: Yes, Your Honor, I agree that's a

1 little bit of a distinction here. But we're asking -- well, 2 first of all, we asked to release him as our witness. 3 THE COURT: Fair enough. 4 MR. CRAWLEY: He specifically wanted to address the 5 Court. We figured out and knew exactly what he wanted to 6 address the Court with, so we just went ahead and made that, 7 but --8 THE COURT: Okay, so you're not really doing it for 9 him? 10 MR. CRAWLEY: He's just like a citizen just asking 11 can I be here, no different than any other citizen. 12 THE COURT: I think the issue is if the Government 13 is planning to recall him, then he shouldn't be in the 14 courtroom. If you're not planning to, he should be allowed to 15 stay. If there's a scenario under which you think you might 16 recall him, I guess the question is, you know, how realistic 17 is that? We can always cross the bridge later as to whether 18 it has any impact on whether anyone would object to it. I 19 guess that is the question. I agree, the clarification is you 20 weren't sponsoring his request, but at the same time, if for 21 some reason -- and you all know the case better than I do --22 he were recalled, would you object if he was allowed to stay 23 in the courtroom or would you --

MR. CRAWLEY: Highly unlikely, Your Honor, highly unlikely that we would object. But we would know -- there are

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

so many scenarios that can occur in the world. And as the Court has taken up on many issues whereby you have reserved without knowing what those issues would be, but to your point he's listening to a lot of information going forward. I expect there will be multiple civilian witnesses, at least two, and some law enforcement witnesses today. So he's going to gather a lot of information that he probably otherwise wouldn't have, but I'm not going to object to that based on my understanding of the evidence. I'm not going to object to him hearing that. As far as I know he's not planning to come back tomorrow. It may just be, I'm here today, I just want to stick around and see how it goes in support of my friend and that's it. That's my understanding. So to that point, we would not object, Your Honor. Okay, well understanding that wasn't an absolute, but it was a -- no, I mean, and you're right. I

absolute, but it was a -- no, I mean, and you're right. I mean, if you're not prepared to absolutely waive that objection then you shouldn't, but given where we are now, Mr. Hanlon, what's your position?

MR. HANLON: Your Honor, so it's a tough spot for
the Government.

THE COURT: Obviously.

MR. HANLON: Because it is exceedingly unlikely that anybody is going to call Mr. George again, but I can't say it's 100 percent.

THE COURT: Well, how is it different than all the other witnesses we've had come in, all the law enforcement agents?

MR. HANLON: It's not, except that my practice is always for all of my witnesses, there's an occasional exception like victim's family, but I've told all of my witnesses, I think I told them, when you're done testifying, leave. You're still under the rule on witnesses. Don't talk about what's going on, don't watch court because you never know what's going to happen.

THE COURT: I see. Okay, well so again, exceedingly difficult, but what is your position?

MR. HANLON: Unless the defense is willing to waive any challenge to future testimony by the witness on the grounds of him being in the courtroom which if it is so unlikely that this is going to be an issue, the defense should be willing to do, the Government would be opposed to any possible witness being in court. If the defense is willing to say we won't object, then I have no real reason to prevent him from being in court. He is a citizen.

THE COURT: Okay, well, I mean, you're throwing the ball back to the other side. I think -- I mean, does he know anything else other than --

MR. CRAWLEY: We will waive, Your Honor. If that's going to make it easy for them to answer the question, we will

1 waive any future objection to Mr. George being called, if 2 necessary. 3 THE COURT: Well, that's very gracious of you. again, obviously you can cross-examine him if some scenario 4 5 arises as to what he might have known or what he might have gleaned from being in here. Okay, so we'll allow Mr. George to 6 7 stay in the courtroom. Okay, we'll see you back here at 11:00. 8 Thank you very much. 9 (Recess was taken from 10:47 a.m. to 11:03 a.m.) 10 THE COURT: You can ask the next witness to come 11 into the courtroom while we're waiting for the jury. 12 (The jury reentered the courtroom at 11:05 a.m.) 13 THE COURT: Thank you, everyone. Please be seated. 14 Welcome back, ladies and gentlemen. I believe we have another witness. 15 16 Mr. Moomau, who is the next witness? 17 MR. MOOMAU: Yes, Your Honor. The witness would be 18 Oscar Diaz. 19 THE COURT: Thank you. 20 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Mr. Diaz, please come forward 21 to the witness stand. Remain standing and please raise your 22 right hand. 23 (Oscar Diaz, a witness called on behalf of the 24 Government, was duly sworn.) 25 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: You may be seated, sir. Speak

1 clearly into the microphone. Please state your first and last 2 name. 3 THE WITNESS: Oscar Diaz. THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: And spell your first and last 4 5 name for the record. THE WITNESS: O s-c-a-r D-i-a-z. 6 7 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Thank you, sir. 8 DIRECT EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. MOOMAU: 10 Good morning, sir. Q. 11 Good morning. Α. Sir, did you grow up in the Howard County area of 12 13 Maryland? 14 Α. Yes, I did. Did you go to school there growing up? 15 16 Yes. Α. 17 What high school did you graduate from? Q. 18 Oakland Mills. 19 Do you remember what year that was? 20 Yeah, that was 2013. Α. I'm going to have to ask you to sit as close to the 21 22 microphone as you can please, sir. 23 Α. Okay. 24 Thank you. So sir, when you were going to high school 25 when you were about that age, were you friends with a person

1 named Taeyan Williams?

- 2 A. Yes, I was.
- 3 Q. And were you classmates?
- 4 A. Yeah, we played sports and shared similar classes.
- 5 Q. And what name did you know Mr. Williams by?
- 6 A. Tae, Taeyan.
- 7 Q. Did you also know persons name Dominick Clark and Brandon
- 8 Drummond?
- 9 A. Yes, we were all friends.
- 10 Q. And do you remain friends?
- 11  $\blacksquare$  A. Yes, we do.
- 12 Q. So after graduating from high school, did you stay in
- 13 touch with Taeyan Williams?
- 14 A. Yeah, we remained friends and stayed in touch. Our friend
- 15 Dominick went to school in West Virginia, so we all still hung
- 16 out and partied.
- 17 Q. And then around 2016 through 2018, where were you living?
- 18 A. I was still living in Columbia, but this time downtown
- 19 Columbia.
- 20 Q. And during that time period, 2016 through 2018, what type
- 21 of work were you doing?
- 22  $\parallel$  A. So I had just moved and I was doing construction at the
- 23 time and then it kind of stopped, but I was basically doing
- 24 construction around 2016, doing roofing.
- Q. And at some point did that stop?

A. Yes.

1

- Q. And what did you do then to support yourself?
- 3 A. Well, after that we -- well, I basically sold drugs.
- 4 Q. Now you understand that you're going to be testifying
- 5 today about some unlawful activity that you were engaged in?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And did you ask for some type of letter from our office
- 8 that what you would say would not be used against you?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. I want to show you on the screen in front of you Exhibit
- 11 549-B. It's a two-page document.
- 12 MR. MOOMAU: Your Honor, at this time the Government
- would move into evidence, Exhibit 549-B.
- 14 **THE COURT:** No issues?
- MR. NIETO: No, Your Honor.
- 16 | THE COURT: Okay, Exhibit 549-B is in evidence.
- 17 BY MR. MOOMAU:
- 18 Q. Sir, do you recognize 549-B?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Is that the letter we've been referring to?
- 21 A. Yes, it is.
- 22  $\parallel$  Q. And do you understand that as long as you're truthful
- 23  $\parallel$  with your testimony, what you say today will not be used
- 24 against you?
- 25 A. Yes, that's correct.

1 Q. But if you would not be truthful, you could be prosecuted

- 2 for offenses related to untruthful testimony. Do you
- 3 understand that?
- 4 A. Yes, I do.
- 5 Q. All right. And was that letter provided to your attorney?
- 6 A. Yes, it was.
- 7 Q. And is that Mr. Justin Lake?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Thank you. So Mr. Diaz, from your relationship with
- 10 Taeyan Williams, do you know where he was living during 2017
- 11 and 2018?
- 12 A. Yes, Taeyan was staying in West Virginia.
- 13 | Q. And do you know what town he was staying in there?
- 14 A. He stayed in an apartment.
- 15  $\parallel$  Q. Right, but do you know what town or city he was staying
- 16 in?
- 17 A. Oh, Morgantown, Morgantown.
- 18 Q. And is the person that you know as Taeyan Williams
- 19 present in the courtroom?
- 20 A. Yes, he is.
- 21 Q. Can you just tell us where he's seated and the type of
- 22 clothing he has on?
- 23 A. He's to the left of me, in the middle between two
- 24 gentlemen. He's wearing a suit, gray suit.
- MR. MOOMAU: Your Honor, can the record reflect the

1 witness has identified Mr. Taeyan Williams? 2 THE COURT: Yes, it will. 3 MR. MOOMAU: Thank you. BY MR. MOOMAU: 4 5 Did you ever meet Mr. Taeyan Williams' father? Q. Yes, I did. 6 Α. 7 And where was that at? Where do you remember meeting him Q. 8 at? 9 Um, well, we met over by -- it would be his house. Α. 10 And where is that house at? Q. 11 Off of 295 in Laurel, Maryland. Α. 12 I'm showing you what has been admitted as Exhibit 45. 13 you recognize Exhibit 45? 14 Α. Yes, I do. 15 And the house with the pin in it, 10301 Bristolwood 16 Court, is that the house you're talking about? 17 Α. Yes, it is. 18 And when you met Mr. Williams at that house, were you Q. with Taeyan Williams also? 19 20 Α. Yes. 21 Every time? Q. The first time I met him, yes. 22 Α.

- 23 And is the person that you knew as Taeyan Williams'
- 24 father in the courtroom?
- 25 I believe so. I don't really remember him very well, but

1 I believe so.

- Q. And which person is that? If you could point and identify him by the clothing he's wearing.
- A. He would be to the left of me in between two gentlemen with a gray suit and dreads.
- 6 MR. MOOMAU: Could the record reflect the witness
  7 has identified Mr. Scott Williams?
- 8 THE COURT: Yes, it will.

## BY MR. MOOMAU:

- Q. The home that's shown there in Exhibit 45, had you ever
- been inside of there?
- 12 A. Just once, yes, to go just to Taeyan's room.
- 13 Q. And where was Taeyan's room?
- 14 A. If I remember correctly, it was in the back of the house,
- 15 I'm thinking downstairs in the basement, if I remember
- 16 correctly.
- Q. So the only time you had been there, was that with Taeyan
- 18 Williams?
- 19 A. Inside the house, yes.
- Q. Yes, sir. Okay. Had you ever been there outside the home
- 21 without Taeyan Williams?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. You had mentioned that Taeyan Williams was living in
- 24 Morgantown, West Virginia. Had you ever visited with him
- 25 there?

- 1 A. Yes, I did.
- 2 Q. Approximately how many times?
- 3 A. About four to five.
- 4 Q. And in Morgantown, do you remember whether Taeyan
- Williams was living in a house, apartment, or some other type
- 6 of place?
- 7 A. Yeah, he lived in a nice apartment from the first time we
- 8 met. He moved to a better community and everything like that,
- 9 very nice apartment, you know, for Morgantown.
- 10 Q. And in Morgantown were you aware of if Taeyan Williams
- 11 had a job?
- 12 A. No.
- Q. Were you aware of what he was doing to support himself?
- A. Well, yeah. He would sell weed, but that's about it and I
- know sometimes he was taking classes, but wasn't so sure.
- 16 Q. Okay. Sir, back in 2016 through 2018 did you have a
- 17 cellular telephone?
- 18 A. Yes, I did.
- 19 Q. Do you remember during that period if you ever switched
- off or got a new phone, new number or anything?
- 21 A. Yeah, I had two phones at the time.
- 22  $\parallel$  Q. I want to show you what's been admitted as Exhibit 338,
- 23 lines 11 -- go to line 11 first. Do you recognize the number
- 24 there?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. What's that?
- 2 A. It's 443-603-8461.
- 3 Q. I mean, do you recognize the number?
- 4 A. Yes, I do.
- 5 Q. What's that?
- 6 A. That's my phone.
- 7 Q. Okay. And then showing you the next page, line 12. Do you
- 8 recognize on line 12?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And what's on line 12?
- 11 | A. It's my other phone number, 443-518-6146.
- 12 Q. And would you use in some manner, your phone to
- communicate with Taeyan Williams back during that time period,
- 14 2016 through 2018?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16  $\parallel$  Q. And how would you communicate with him during that time?
- 17 A. Um, calls, texts, FaceTime, social media.
- 18 Q. And when you say "social media," you mean different
- 19 applications?
- 20 A. Like Snapchat, social media.
- 21 Q. Okay. Do you know what Wickr is?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you ever use that back during that time period to
- 24 communicate with Mr. Taeyan Williams?
- 25 A. I used Wickr, but I'm not sure if I remember correctly

using it with Taeyan. I used Wickr way before that time, but I have used it.

- Q. Okay. Do you know if Taeyan Williams used it?
- 4 A. I don't know.
- Q. Sir, you had indicated at some point and I don't know
- 6 maybe after the construction work something happened there,
- 7 you started supporting yourself by selling items; is that
- 8 correct?

- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And what were you selling?
- 11 A. Weed mainly, at the time.
- 12 Q. And were there persons who supplied you with -- and by
- "weed," you're talking about what?
- 14 A. Marijuana.
- 15 | Q. And during that time were there persons who supplied you
- 16 with the marijuana that you were selling?
- 17 A. What was the question?
- 18 Q. During that time period when you were selling marijuana,
- 19 right when you got started, were there persons who were
- 20 supplying you with that?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And are any of them in the courtroom?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. And who is that?
- 25 A. Taeyan.

Q. And between 2016 and 2018 was it only marijuana that you obtained from Taeyan Williams to sell?

A. No.

- 4 Q. What else?
- 5 A. Eventually progressed to cocaine.
- Q. When you would get these substances from Taeyan Williams,
- 7 did you have to pay him up front or would they be fronted to
- 8 you and then you would sell and pay back later?
- 9 A. A little bit of both.
- 10 Q. During that time when you first started, did you have
- 11 another supplier also?
- 12 A. I did.
- 13 Q. And did something happen to that supplier?
- 14 A. Yeah. After a while he was no longer accessible so he
- 15 | stopped. I couldn't get to him, so I had to find a new source.
- 16 Q. And was that pretty much the source you used then, Taeyan
- 17 Williams?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19  $\parallel$  Q. So when do you think that was, like in the 2016/18 time
- 20 period?
- 21  $\blacksquare$  A. It would be around 2017, in the summertime.
- 22  $\parallel$  Q. And starting then, what type of, like, quantities -- I
- 23 know things vary, but what type of quantities were you buying
- 24 of marijuana and cocaine from Mr. Taeyan Williams?
- 25 A. About two to three pounds of marijuana and about two

1 ounces of cocaine.

- 2 Q. How often?
- 3 A. It started off about once a month and then it gradually
- 4 changed to probably one-and-a-half times, two times a month.
- Q. So the two to three pounds then you would sell that, pay
- 6 Taeyan Williams, and then get some more?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Same with the cocaine?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And did you ever talk -- you were friends and socializing
- with Taeyan Williams during that time period, correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Did you ever talk to him if he was distributing to other
- 14 persons who were selling?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. During that time period, 2017 and into 2018, did Taeyan
- 17 Williams ever tell you where he was getting the marijuana and
- 18 cocaine --
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. --that he would provide to you? And during this time
- 21 | period you say Taeyan Williams was living in Morgantown, West
- 22 Virginia?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And would he come back to Maryland, though?
- 25 A. Oh, yeah. He would come back every once in a while, yes.

1 Q. But if you had to say was he in West Virginia more or

- Morgantown more or was he in Maryland more, what would you
- 3 say?

- 4 A. He would be more in West Virginia.
- 5 Q. And during the times that he was in West Virginia he was
- 6 still supplying you with marijuana and cocaine?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. So how would you get that? During the times that Taeyan
- 9 Williams was in Morgantown, West Virginia, where would you
- 10 pick it up at?
- 11 A. I would pick it up at Taeyan's dad's house.
- 12 Q. And how would you pick it up there? What were the
- 13 instructions?
- 14 A. Well, I mean, I would just go there. Sometimes I would
- 15 just go to the car and get it out of the car.
- 16  $\parallel$  Q. And who would tell you, like, what car to get it out of
- 17 and where it would be?
- 18 A. It would be Taeyan.
- 19 Q. And what about paying for it?
- 20 A. Whatever money I had I would just leave it in there.
- 21  $\mathbb{Q}$ . In the car?
- 22 A. Yeah.
- Q. And during these times, though, Taeyan Williams was in
- 24 Morgantown, West Virginia?
- 25 A. Sometimes, yes.

Q. Did you ever pick the substance, like the cocaine or marijuana, up from anyone in person at the house or would it

always just be in a vehicle?

A. Just the car.

- 5 Q. What about paying the money?
- 6 A. One time or two times I would say that I handed -- hand
- 7 to hand contact with the money.
- 8 Q. And who was that person?
- 9 A. That would be Taeyan's dad.
- 10 Q. Did you ever pay Taeyan Williams or his dad
- electronically for any cocaine or marijuana by using like a
- 12 Cash App or something like that?
- 13 A. From what I really remember, I mainly did cash
- 14 interactions.
- 15 Q. Okay. I'd like to show you now what has been admitted as
- 16 | Exhibit 352. It might take you a while to get focused on it,
- 17 | but looking at this message, particularly the message at the
- 18 | very bottom where it's a message to you that says, "you don't
- 19 need any more girl," what does that mean?
- 20 A. Basically it means if I don't need any more cocaine.
- 21  $\parallel$  Q. And showing you the next page, page 2 of 5. Do you
- 22 remember with Taeyan Williams there ever being like issues
- 23 with the quality of maybe the marijuana?
- 24 A. Yeah. Not all the time everything was always the best.
- Q. All right. But this time you're talking about cocaine. Do

1 you remember this particular conversation?

- A. Looking at it, yes, I do.
- Q. What are you talking about there where the message from
- 4 you, "Is it different?"
- 5 A. I just wanted to know if it's different. And based on
- 6 the message that I sent, it was probably not that good of a
- 7 quality.

- Q. Okay. And then Taeyan said, "What, yeah it's on point as
- 9 always." What does that mean?
- 10 A. It's that the quality is good.
- 11 Q. And then going on down to the -- okay, starting right
- 12 there with the message to you that says "You got your whip,"
- 13 what does that mean?
- 14 A. Do I have my car.
- Q. And then you said, "Yup." And then just continue with the
- 16 | statement. "Go to my house in Laurel," that's to you. And you
- 17 said "Okay, bet." And then "leaving now." What are we talking
- 18 about?
- 19 A. I guess I needed to drop off some money and get some
- 20 stuff, so he asked if I had the car and if I could drive down
- 21 to his house in Laurel.
- 22 | Q. And continuing on page 3. Just a continuation of the same
- 23 conversation. I see the message to you, "Look in the Benz, the
- 24 driver's seat. I left it there for you." What's being talked
- 25 about there?

A. At the time what I was getting was left in the car, in the Benz.

- Q. Now sir, in April of 2018, do you remember where you were
- 4 | living at?
- 5 A. Yeah, Columbia.
- 6 Q. Were you living in a house or an apartment?
- 7 A. I was living in an apartment downtown.
- 8 Q. Do you remember the address or street, at least?
- 9 A. Lynx Lane.
- 10 Q. And is that L-y-n-x?
- 11 A. L-y-n-x, correct.
- 12 Q. So I want to take you back to a date in April of 2018,
- 13 April 6, 2018. Do you remember that particular day?
- 14 A. Yeah.
- 15  $\parallel$  Q. And what were you doing on that particular day?
- 16 A. Um, I had just woken up. I think I was waiting for Taeyan
- 17 to meet up with me that day because I needed to get some more
- 18 product.
- 19 Q. And had you and Mr. Taeyan Williams been talking, like,
- 20 for a day or so or before that day about meeting up?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you remember any particular time of the day you were
- supposed to meet up?
- 24 A. Um, no. Just in the afternoon. No exact time, just
- sometime in the afternoon, before the nighttime.

- 1 Q. And you said "re-up," what do you mean?
- A. I need to get some more weed or whatever I was getting at the time.
- Q. Was there another reason also that you were going to meet with Taeyan Williams?
- A. Um, not necessarily. Besides the norm, like get the product and everything like that.
- Q. Okay. Well, was there a plan to -- did Taeyan Williams have a car that he drove?
- 10 A. No, not that day. He had -- I believe he was Ubering that day.
- Q. But was there a plan for you to take him anywhere or did that just develop when he got there?
- A. Yeah, so I was going to take him that day down to
- Virginia, to Tysons Corner so he can get some shoes because he was going to go to a party.
- Q. So your friend, Taeyan Williams, he had -- back then he had a dog, right?
- 19 A. Yes, Bolt.
- 20 Q. Bolt?
- 21 A. Bolt.
- Q. And he would -- Bolt would accompany Taeyan a lot of places, correct?
- 24 A. Yeah, Taeyan would take Bolt everywhere.
- Q. So on that particular date you had mentioned that Taeyan

1 Williams came to the apartment where you were living?

A. Yes.

- 3  $\blacksquare$  Q. And you said he got there by Uber?
- 4 A. Yeah.
- 5 Q. And did he have Bolt with him?
- 6 A. Yes, he did.
- Q. So the address, 10400 Cross Fox Lane, was that close to where you lived?
- 9 A. Yeah, it's right next door. It was the place called Splashdown that was a water park.
- 11 Q. And is that a location where people would get off or --
- A. Yeah, it's the location I would just give all my friends and everything like that so they could meet me.
- Q. And approximately how far was that location, the water park from where you lived?
- 16 A. About a minute. It was right next to it.
- MR. MOOMAU: At this time the Government would move for the admission of Exhibit 527.
- 19 **THE COURT:** Any issues?
- MR. HAWKS: No objection.
- MR. NIETO: No, Your Honor.
- 22 THE COURT: Okay, Exhibit 527 is in evidence.
- 23 BY MR. MOOMAU:
- Q. Showing you now what's been admitted as 527. Do you see
- 25 anything on 527 that you recognize?

A. Yes.

- Q. Okay, looking at the red pin, is that the area you described where you would tell people to come to?
- A. Yeah. So the red pin is Splashdown and then my house is on the other corner.
- Q. And would that be kind of the way that you would walk to it from Splashdown?
- A. Yeah, that's the front of it. That day we actually met up right by the garage, which is near that Splashdown and just walked in and walked around through the building because it's all connected, but that's similar, the same path you would
- take to go from one end of the building to the other.
- Q. Right, thank you. Now sir, when Mr. Williams, Taeyan
  Williams came there on April 6th, did he have anything with
- 15 him?
- 16 A. He had Bolt and a backpack.
- 17 Q. And what was in the backpack?
- 18 A. Some weed.
- 19 Q. Anything else?
- 20 A. Yes, coke.
- 21 Q. How much weed and how much coke?
- 22 A. About the same thing that I usually get, three pounds and
- 23 two ounces.
- Q. Did you give him any money?
- 25 A. Yes, I did.

Q. Approximately how much money do you remember giving

- 2 Taeyan Williams?
- 3 A. I'd say like \$3,000 to \$4,000.
- 4 Q. Did you all sample any of the product that Mr. Taeyan
- 5 Williams had given to you?
- A. Yeah, we smoked. We got high.
- 7 Q. And did you -- how did that marijuana compare to previous
- 8 marijuana that you had been obtaining from Taeyan Williams?
- 9 A. It was getting better. It was good in quality this one
- 10 and it did the job.
- 11  $\parallel$  Q. Approximately how long do you think you and Taeyan
- 12 Williams smoked there?
- 13 A. I would say about two hours. Not all smoking, you know,
- 14 we were talking, hanging out.
- 15 Q. Right. Were you worried about the smell there where you
- 16 lived?
- 17 A. Not necessarily because I had a routine where I went to a
- 18 bathroom within the master bedroom which was like in the
- 19 center of the entire apartment, so the smell didn't really get
- 20 out.
- 21  $\parallel$  Q. The marijuana that Taeyan Williams had that day, do you
- 22 remember how it was packaged?
- 23 A. It was vacuum sealed.
- 24 \ Q. Anything else about the bags?
- 25  $\blacksquare$  A. They all had labels on them.

- 1 Q. Do you remember what kind of labels?
- 2 A. Just the name of the weed, so it would be like a stick
- 3 | label on it with the name of the strain of the weed.
- 4 Q. And it was just like the white labels with the black
- 5 lettering on them?
- 6 A. I mean, some, just yeah, with different designs and
- 7 things like that on them.
- 8 Q. Had you had any conversation with Taeyan Williams in the
- 9 days leading up to that in relation to re-upping?
- 10 A. Yeah.
- 11 Q. What was the conversation?
- 12 A. Just when he was going to get in town, I was getting low,
- if anything has changed quality-wise. Just the normal
- 14 conversations we have.
- 15  $\parallel$  Q. Was there any indication that something was coming in?
- 16 A. Um, besides at the time, no. I mean, just he wanted to
- expand and grow and, you know, do his thing.
- 18  $\parallel$  Q. So you all talked and smoked for a couple hours and then
- 19 at some point did you take Taeyan Williams where you were
- 20 going to take him to?
- 21 A. Yeah.
- 22  $\parallel$  Q. So you were driving him to Tysons Corner?
- 23 A. I drove him to Tysons, yes.
- Q. Before that day had you ever driven him there before, do
- 25 you remember?

A. No.

1

- Q. Do you remember the route that you took to Tysons Corner?
- 3 A. Yes, I do.
- 4 Q. What route did you take?
- 5 A. So I went down from my apartment to Snowden River or
- 6 Brokenland, I don't remember exactly the name of the street in
- 7 Columbia, to 29. From 29 to 32; from 32 to 295; and then got
- 8 down to Tysons from 295.
- 9 MR. MOOMAU: Your Honor, at this point the
- 10 Government would move into evidence Exhibit 526.
- 11 **THE COURT:** Any issues?
- 12 MR. HAWKS: No objection, Your Honor.
- MR. NIETO: No, Your Honor.
- 14 | THE COURT: Exhibit 526 is in evidence.
- 15 BY MR. MOOMAU:
- 16 Q. Sir, showing you what's been admitted as 526. Just let
- 17 you look at it for a minute, but do you recognize any of the
- 18 locations on Exhibit 526?
- 19 A. Yeah, just about Taeyan's house in Laurel.
- 20 Q. Okay. And what about anything in Columbia?
- 21 A. Well, Columbia, my house, Lynx Lane.
- 22  $\parallel$  Q. Right. So the blue marking, does that indicate the route
- 23 that you took to get to Tysons Corner?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Starting at the top there you came down 29 and then just

facing it you went to the right on 32 and then hit up with 295 and then got on the Beltway?

A. Correct.

- 4 Q. Now you could have taken a shorter route, correct?
- 5 A. Right. And at the time I was high. I knew the map, first
- of all, I know how to get around. So I grew up with my aunt
- 7 and she looked at MapQuest one time back in the day and she
- 8 knew how to get places. I knew where Tysons was. I knew how
- 9 to go down south, but I knew my way to DC was 295. So I took
- 10 | 32 to 295. I could have taken 495, 95. I could have taken 29,
- 11  $\parallel$  but my idea of it at the time, I thought Tysons was on the
- 12 | right side of the map by near Lanham. I didn't think Tysons
- was on the other side. That's what I envisioned. So that's
- 14 why I took that route, but obviously I was dead wrong, you
- 15 know.
- 16  $\parallel$  Q. And really going that route, you ended up just driving
- 17 back to where -- if Taeyan came from his home, driving back
- down by where his dad's house was, at least?
- 19 A. Right.
- Q. Okay. There's another marker there, a red marker there at
- 21 | 8255 Washington Boulevard. Did you ever go up that route up
- 22 toward there?
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. And during this time, during the trip and the time that
- 25 you were with Taeyan that day, did he have his phone with him?

A. Yes.

1

- Q. So approximately -- I mean, what happened when you arrived at Tysons Corner?
- A. Nothing much. I mean, we just talked and talked about
  what kind of shoes he was going to get, and then he went on in
  and he got whatever he was going to get for his party. He said
  he had to go back to Morgantown.
  - Q. When was he going back to Morgantown?
- 9 A. I believe that night.
- Q. Did you stay there until he was finished and take him back home?
- A. No, no. I had to leave because I was driving my
  girlfriend's car and I was in a toxic relationship at the
  time, so she had no idea that I was driving her car all the
  way down to Tysons, first of all. She would have been furious
  and then I had to, you know, get quickly back home.
- Q. And did he have Bolt with him when he got out of the car?
- 18 A. Yeah.
- Q. Did Taeyan Williams say how he was going to get to where he was going to go after Tysons Corner?
- 21 A. No.
- Q. What about when he came -- when Taeyan Williams came to your home with the backpack, with the marijuana and cocaine, did you take all of it that was in the backpack?
- 25 A. I don't remember if he had anything more, but I just took

Diaz - direct/cross

VII-95

- 1 what I had to take.
- Q. And when he got out of the vehicle, did he still have his
- 3 backpack with him?
- 4 A. Yup.
- 5 Q. You had mentioned earlier there's names for different
- 6 kinds of marijuana?
- 7 A. Yeah.
- 8 Q. What were some of the names you remember?
- 9 A. Sour diesel is one that comes up; OG, cush -- those are
- 10 simple strains. It's been a long time since --
- 11 Q. I understand, sir. After that particular date, do you
- 12 remember anything about the quality of product that Taeyan
- would supply to you after that for a period of time?
- 14 A. Yeah, it was consistently better.
- Q. Okay. Mr. Diaz, that's all the questions I have. Thank
- 16 you.
- 17 THE COURT: Okay. Cross-examination. Mr. Nieto.
- 18 MR. NIETO: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 19 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 20 BY MR. NIETO:
- 21 Q. Mr. Diaz, good morning, sir.
- 22 A. Good morning.
- 23 | Q. All right. Now I think you had testified that you grew up
- 24 with Taeyan Williams; is that correct, sir?
- 25 A. Yes, that's correct.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-4568 Doc: 39-4 Filed: 03/08/2024 Pg: 100 of 378

Diaz cross VII-96

1 Q. You guys went to elementary school together?

- A. No, no. Basically knew each other from late middle to all of high school.
- 4 Q. So late middle school into high school and then
- 5 thereafter, right?
- 6 A. Yeah.
- Q. Okay. And so you had been to West Virginia to party with
- 8 him a few times and his friends, correct?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. And I think you had said that he might have even been
- 11 taking some classes there at some point in time, right?
- 12 A. Yeah, he mentioned that he was taking classes. He was
- constantly reading books. He was looking, you know, to do
- 14 something with his time, so --
- 15 Q. All right. And you grew up in Columbia as well?
- 16 A. Yes, I did.
- 17 Q. And that's a fairly nice area, is that fair to say?
- 18 A. Yes, it is.
- 19 Q. It's not like a big city, but it's got a little bit of
- 20 everything that you need; is that fair?
- 21 A. Yeah, that's correct.
- 22 Q. Safe place to grow up?
- 23  $\blacksquare$  A. Hundred percent, one of the best in the states.
- Q. I mean, for God sakes, you live next to a water park,
- 25 don't you?

Diaz cross VII-97

- 1 A. Yeah.
- Q. Right? And you knew Taeyan not only through school, but also you knew him when he was working?
- 4 A. Correct.
- Q. And when I say "working," we're going to get to the drug
- dealing, but for purposes of like a steadier legal job, right,
- 7 you were working -- I think you were doing construction or
- 8 roofing; is that correct?
- 9 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 10 Q. And then he was working sort of doing door-to-door sales?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. All right. And you guys are approximately the same age,
- is that fair to say?
- 14 A. Yeah.
- 15 Q. So right around 2018 you would have been about 23 years
- 16 old?
- 17 A. Yup.
- 18 Q. Ballpark? All right, and of course like I said, you also
- 19 | knew Taeyan Williams through your marijuana dealings; is that
- 20 correct?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22  $\parallel$  Q. And he was a source of supply of marijuana and cocaine
- 23 for you?
- 24 A. Yes, he was.
- Q. Now you didn't have any concerns about identifying him in

USCA4 Appeal: 23-4568 Doc: 39-4 Filed: 03/08/2024 Pg: 102 of 378

Diaz cross VII-98

court either by person or by name today, did you?

- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. No. And he had come to your house, I think it was your
- 4 testimony on April 6th of 2018; is that correct, sir?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And that was a pre-planned meeting, right?
- 7 A. Yeah.
- 8 Q. In advance because that was the weekend and you wanted to
- 9 re-up, correct?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. And by re-upping because the weekend can be a busy time
- 12 for people and their parties, you wanted to have enough
- marijuana and coke to make the sales for their customers?
- 14 A. 100 percent. That's why I needed it before the
- 15 nighttime.
- 16 Q. Okay. And you guys had discussed meeting up in the
- 17 afternoon on April 6th; is that correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. All right. Now at no point in time had Taeyan Williams
- 20 contacted you and said, "We need to change the time around" or
- 21 anything like that?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 **Q.** Right?
- 24 A. Not at all.
- 25 Q. So the time period that he came to visit with you had

USCA4 Appeal: 23-4568 Doc: 39-4 Filed: 03/08/2024 Pg: 103 of 378

Diaz cross VII-99

1 been pre-planned for days, is that fair? 2 Α. Yeah. 3 Now when you -- he did meet up with you, he came to your house around 4:00; is that about right? 4 5 Α. Yes. 6 Okay. And he seemed normal to you? 7 He was fine. Α. 8 And he had his dog, Bolt, with him, I believe? Q. 9 Α. Yes. 10 Now I'd like to show you what's been marked as Exhibit 11 2001 only for identification purposes at this time, Your 12 Honor. If I may approach the witness. 13 THE COURT: Yes. 14 BY MR. NIETO: 15 Do you see that picture, sir? 16 Yes, I do. Α. 17 Is that a fair and accurate representation of Taeyan 18 Williams and his dog, Bolt, as you knew them? 19 Α. 100 percent. 20 MR. NIETO: May I approach again? And so Your Honor, at this time respectfully I would move in Exhibit 2001. 21 22 THE COURT: Any issues? 23 MR. CRAWLEY: No. 24 THE WITNESS: Exhibit 2001 is in evidence. 25 BY MR. NIETO:

Diaz cross VII-100

1 Q. Again, sir, just so I can see, that's Taeyan Williams?

- 2 A. Yes, it is.
- 3 Q. And that's his dog, Bolt?
- 4 A. Yes, it is.
- 5 Q. And I think you had testified on direct as well that he
- 6 brought Bolt with him everywhere?
- 7 A. Yeah.
- 8 Q. He loved that dog, didn't he?
- 9 A. Yeah, he did. I think he got him to become like a
- 10 service animal or whatever because he was able to take him
- 11 wherever he wanted to.
- 12  $\blacksquare$  Q. So with the service animal classification, he would be
- able to go everywhere with that dog?
- 14 A. Yeah.
- 15  $\parallel$  Q. Be it stores, and restaurants, Ubers, and taxis, things
- 16 of that sort?
- 17 A. Right.
- 18 Q. And so again, on this day when he shows up with Bolt, he
- 19 didn't seem upset, did he?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. He wasn't acting abnormal or peculiar to you as you had
- 22 known him?
- 23 A. Yes, that's correct. He hadn't.
- Q. And so he had brought some product for you to purchase?
- 25 A. Correct.

Diaz cross VII-101

1 Q. Right? Product that had been or a purchase that had been

- previously discussed, right?
  - A. That's correct, sir.
- 4 Q. And then you guys got high together?
- 5 A. Yup.

- 6 Q. Right? Now as I understand it, sir, based on your
- 7 apartment, you would smoke your marijuana in the bathroom?
- 8 **A.** Yes.
- 9 Q. I'm assuming you'd turn on the vent to help circulate the
- 10 air?
- 11 | A. Yeah. It was automatic, but that didn't mean that it
- 12 couldn't get cloudy in there.
- 13 Q. Right. So you're essentially smoking marijuana with
- 14 Taeyan Williams in a bathroom in the middle of your apartment?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Now I'm presuming you guys don't hang out in the bathroom
- for the next two hours, do you?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. You probably go to a common room, maybe watch TV,
- 20 something like that?
- 21  $\blacksquare$  A. To the living room, drink water, turn on music, chat.
- 22 Q. And did you have a dog as well?
- 23 A. I had two dogs.
- 24 \ Q. And did they get along with Bolt?
- 25 A. They did. They were all crazy together.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-4568 Doc: 39-4 Filed: 03/08/2024 Pg: 106 of 378

Diaz cross VII-102

1 Q. Did they get along with Taeyan Williams as well?

- 2 A. Yeah, they did.
- 3 Q. Is it possible then on that date as well while you guys
- 4 were sitting there enjoying your high, the dogs were playing
- 5 with each other as well?
- A. Yeah, the whole time.
- Q. Okay, now -- and forgive me, when you smoke marijuana or
- 8 you get high, do you get hungry thereafter?
- 9 A. Yes, you do.
- 10 Q. Okay. So during these two hours, do you remember whether
- 11 | or not you and Taeyan Williams went to a restaurant or went
- 12 | out for food?
- 13 A. It was a long time ago. We could have ate at my house. We
- 14 probably ordered food, but I know we didn't really go out to a
- 15 specific place, if I remember correctly.
- 16 Q. All right. But again, this time period we're talking
- about here is roughly between 4 p.m. and about 7 p.m?
- 18 A. Um-hum.
- 19 Q. Right? That's pretty much a dinner hour or dinnertime,
- 20 right?
- 21 A. Supper, yeah.
- 22  $\parallel$  Q. And if you throw on a little marijuana you might be even
- 23 more motivated to eat?
- 24 A. Correct.
- Q. But you can't remember the specifics as to how or whether

USCA4 Appeal: 23-4568 Doc: 39-4 Filed: 03/08/2024 Pg: 107 of 378

Diaz cross VII-103

1 you guys ate food on that day?

- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 Q. And it makes perfect sense, sir, right? Because it has
- 4 been five years, right?
- 5 A. Yeah.
- 6 Q. And I'm assuming that smoking marijuana on that day, that
- 7 was not the first, nor the last time that you smoked weed,
- 8 right?
- 9 A. Nope.
- 10 Q. Okay. And so it can be hard to remember things ordinarily
- when we go that far back, even more so if you're on a steady
- 12 diet of Cannibis, right?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. So after you spend time together with the dogs, you then
- 15 take him to Tysons Corner?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Now Tysons Corner, had you ever been there before?
- 18 A. I have, but not, not recently at the time.
- 19 Q. All right. But when you went, when you dropped Taeyan
- 20 Williams off, do you remember where you dropped him off?
- 21 | A. I want to say I know there's like an overpass and we were
- 22 | near a garage and I don't know if it was like the front or
- 23 side of the mall, but I dropped him off right near a parking
- 24 lot right underneath, and the doors to the mall were right
- 25 there.

Diaz cross VII-104

Q. And as far as you understood, right, Taeyan Williams was

- 2 requesting this ride because he wanted to go shopping?
- 3 A. Yeah, he needed to get some new shoes for a party.
- 4 Q. And so he was going to a party that evening, as far as
- 5 you knew?
- 6 A. Yes.
- Q. And, of course, specifically for most 23-year-olds, you
- 8 want to look nice, don't you?
- 9 A. 100 percent.
- 10 Q. Because he's going to be on a college campus,
- 11 potentially?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Lots of girls roughly that age?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Lastly, sir, you have received an immunity letter for
- 16 your testimony here today; is that correct?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. But irrespective of this case, right, you had been
- 19 charged in the state system with drug distribution at one
- 20 point, haven't you?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And you have an attorney?
- 23 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Now that attorney, he's not from California or Los
- 25 Angeles, right?

USCA4 Appeal: 23-4568 Doc: 39-4 Filed: 03/08/2024 Pg: 109 of 378

Diaz VII-105 cross 1 MR. MOOMAU: Objection. 2 THE COURT: Overruled. 3 BY MR. NIETO: 4 Is that a no, sir? 5 Α. No. Right. And obviously through your drug distribution you 6 7 didn't make millions of dollars on it, did you? 8 Α. No. 9 You weren't able to parlay the money -- actually, strike 10 this and let's go back. If I may ask, sir, where are you 11 working currently? 12 In a logistics company. 13 So you've landed on your feet fairly well; is that fair 14 to say? 15 Yes, luckily. 16 But you weren't able to parlay the money you had earned 17 from the drug distribution into opening a wellness spa or 18 anything, right? MR. MOOMAU: Objection.

- 19
- 20 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 21 BY MR. NIETO:
- 22 That was no, correct?
- 23 No. Α.
- 24 And you weren't able to parlay whatever money that you 25 earned from the sale of marijuana into partial ownership into

Diaz cross VII-106

1 a Cannibis farm, did you?

- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. In fact, you didn't make that kind of money through this
- 4 work at all, did you?
- $5 \parallel A$ . Not at all.
- 6 Q. All right, thank you, Your Honor. Nothing further.
- 7 THE COURT: Okay, anything for Scott Williams?
- 8 MR. HAWKS: Yes, Your Honor.
  - CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 10 BY MR. HAWKS:

9

- 11 Q. Good morning.
- 12 A. Good morning, sir.
- Q. You testified before in this case in a grand jury. Do you
- 14 remember that?
- 15 A. Yes, I do.
- 16 Q. And it was in this building?
- 17 A. Yes, it was.
- 18  $\parallel$  Q. And Mr. Moomau was there and then there was a
- 19 different -- another different prosecutor?
- 20 A. Yes, there was.
- 21  $\parallel$  Q. Do you remember you were shown a picture of Mr. Scott
- 22 Williams?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And you were shown a picture of Taeyan Williams, Mr.
- 25 Taeyan Williams' uncle. Do you remember that?

Diaz - crc3s/redirect

```
1
            Yes.
       Α.
 2
       Q.
            And then you were specifically asked, "Did you ever bring
 3
       -- pick up or bring cash to Taeyan Williams' uncle?" Do you
       remember that?
 4
 5
       Α.
            Yes.
 6
            And your answer was yes, that's the person who you
 7
       brought the cash to?
 8
            Yes. Taeyan has several uncles, so I don't know exactly
 9
       which one, but yes.
10
       Q.
            Okay. All right, thank you. Nothing further, Your Honor.
11
                 THE COURT: Okay, anything else, Mr. Moomau?
12
                 MR. MOOMAU: Just a little bit, Your Honor.
13
                 REDIRECT
                                   EXAMINATION
14
       BY MR. MOOMAU:
            So Mr. Diaz, when you dropped Taeyan Williams off at
15
16
       Tysons Corner, he was going to get some shoes so he could go
17
       to a party that night?
18
            Yes, that's correct.
       Α.
19
            And that party was in Morgantown, West Virginia?
20
       Α.
            Yes, it was.
21
            And he was looking forward to that, correct?
       Q.
22
            Yeah.
       Α.
23
            Thank you.
       Q.
24
                 THE COURT: Okay, anything else?
25
                 MR. HAWKS:
                             No, Your Honor.
```

```
1
                 MR. NIETO:
                            No, Your Honor.
 2
                 THE COURT: Okay, thank you very much, Mr. Diaz. You
 3
       can step out now. We appreciate your testimony.
 4
                (Witness excused.)
 5
                 THE COURT: Okay, who is the next witness?
                 MR. HANLON: Your Honor, the United States calls
 6
 7
       Brandon Drummond to the stand. Step out for a moment, Your
 8
       Honor? Apologies, Your Honor.
 9
                 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Mr. Drummond, please come
10
       forward. Please take the stand. Remain standing and please
11
       raise your right hand.
12
                 (Witness, sworn.)
13
                 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: You may be seated, sir. Speak
14
       clearly into the microphone. Please state your first and last
15
       name.
16
                 THE WITNESS: Brandon Drummond.
17
                 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: And spell your first and last
18
       name for the record.
19
                 THE WITNESS: B-r-a-n-d-o-n D-r-u-m-m-o-n-d.
20
                 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Thank you, sir.
21
                 MR. HANLON:
                              Thank you, Your Honor.
22
                    DIRECT
                                  EXAMINATION
      BY MR. HANLON:
23
24
           Mr. Drummond, good almost afternoon to you, sir. Your
25
       Honor, the Government moves to admit Exhibit 549-A as in
```

VII-109

1 alpha. 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. GUILLAUME: No objection. No objection. 4 MR. HAWKS: 5 So Exhibit 549-A is in evidence. THE COURT: 6 MR. HANLON: If we could bring up 549-A. 7 BY MR. HANLON: 8 Mr. Drummond, do you see a letter on your screen? 9 Α. Yes. 10 And if you would, sir, the microphone, I'll ask you to 11 look at the screen once or twice, sometimes not. Whenever you 12 talk, try to talk into the microphone if you don't mind. 13 Α. Okay. 14 So again, do you see a letter in front of you on the 15 screen? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Do you recognize this letter? Q. 18 Α. Yes. 19 Is this a letter that my office, I have provided to you in connection with your testimony today? 20 21 Yes. Α. 22 And it's addressed to your attorney, David Fischer, Esq; 23 is that correct? 24 Α. Yes. 25 This letter says that with respect to your testimony

VII-110

1 today and also information you've provided during meetings 2 with law enforcement, that the statements you make will not be 3 used against you in a criminal case against you. Is that your understanding? 4 5 Α. Yes. Is it also your understanding that this letter does not 6 7 provide you any protection should you lie or make false 8 statements during a meeting or during a court proceeding; is 9 that correct? 10 Α. Correct. 11 Thank you, Mr. Drummond. Sir, how old are you? Q. 28. 12 Α. 13 And tell us about where you were born and where you grew up, sir. 14 I was born in Columbia, Maryland. I grew up in Oakland 15 16 Mills. 17 How far did you go in school, Mr. Drummond? Q. 18 I got my Bachelor's. Α. 19 And what is your Bachelor's in? Information science. 20 Α. Tell us a little bit about your higher education. 21 22 did you go to college? 23 University of Maryland, College Park. Α. 24 What years were you at the University of Maryland,

25

College Park?

- A. 2014 through 2018.
- 2 Q. Where did you go to high school, sir?
- 3 A. Oakland Mills.
- 4 Q. During the course of your time in school, did you come to
- 5 know a person named Taeyan Williams?
- 6 A. Yes.

1

- 7 Q. Do you see Mr. Taeyan Williams in court today?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. If you would, please identify him by telling us where
- 10 he's sitting and what he is wearing, sir.
- 11 | A. He's sitting to my left. He's wearing a suit.
- 12 Q. Now there's several people to your left wearing suits.
- Could you be a little more specific?
- 14 A. He's right there.
- 15 MR. HANLON: Your Honor, may the record reflect --
- 16 MR. GUILLAUME: We'll concede that that's Mr.
- 17 Williams.
- 18 | THE COURT: He's identified Mr. Taeyan Williams.
- 19 MR. HANLON: Thank you, counsel. Thank you, Mr.
- 20 Drummond.
- 21 BY MR. HANLON:
- 22 | Q. Sir, did there come a point in your life when you began
- 23 doing something illegal to make money?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. How did that -- what was it you were doing that was

- 1 illegal to make money?
- 2 A. I was selling marijuana.
- 3 Q. How did it come about that you started selling marijuana?
- 4 A. Someone accidentally shipped two pounds of marijuana to
- 5 me and opened a package and then, yeah.
- 6 Q. You received some marijuana by accident?
- 7 A. Yeah.
- 8 Q. When you received this marijuana, what did you do with
- 9 | it?
- 10 A. I sold it or gave it away.
- 11 Q. Was there a particular financial issue you were having at
- 12 the time that made the money useful?
- 13 A. Yes. I got a DUI when I was 19 and needed to pay the
- 14 lawyer fees.
- 15  $\parallel$  Q. Now after that point did you continue to sell marijuana
- or did you take a break from that?
- 17 A. I took a break.
- 18 Q. Did there come a point when you began selling marijuana
- 19 again?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 \ Q. How did that come about?
- 22 | A. I moved into my fraternity house. I saw an opportunity
- 23 and then --
- 24 Q. And what was the opportunity that you saw upon moving
- 25 into a fraternity house?

- 1 A. Big house, 40 people who liked drugs, so --
- 2 Q. And when you began selling drugs, what drug was it that
- 3 you were selling?
- 4 A. Marijuana.
- 5 Q. Now this fraternity, were you at the University of
- 6 Maryland College Park at the time?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. In terms of the fraternity itself, were you living at the
- 9 | frat house or were you living elsewhere? What was your
- 10 address situation?
- 11 A. I was living at the frat house.
- 12 Q. And where was the frat house located?
- 13 A. In College Park.
- Q. Do you recall the street address offhand?
- 15 A. 7400 Princeton Avenue, maybe.
- 16 Q. In College Park?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Do you recall the name of the fraternity?
- 19 A. Theta Chi.
- 20 Q. Theta Chi?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22  $\parallel$  Q. Do they go by any kind of a short nickname or anything?
- 23 A. Not that I know of.
- Q. So at the time you're selling marijuana in the fraternity
- 25 house, where were you getting the marijuana from?

- 1 A. Taeyan.
- 2 Q. Now just to be very clear, what Taeyan are you talking
- 3 about?
- 4 A. Taeyan Williams.
- 5 Q. The individual you identified?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. How did it come about that you began obtaining marijuana
- 8 from Taeyan Williams?
- 9 A. What do you mean?
- 10 Q. Well, how did you know that he was someone you could get
- 11 | marijuana from? How did it come about that you guys had that
- 12 | kind of relationship?
- 13 A. He's been my friend since fifth grade, so -- I just know.
- 14 Q. So let me step back and you've alluded to my next
- 15 | question. You've known Mr. Taeyan Williams since the fifth
- 16 grade; is that right?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And tell us about that relationship. Did you go to school
- 19 | with Mr. Taeyan Williams?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. You mentioned fifth grade. How far in school did you go
- 22 with Taeyan Williams?
- 23 A. High school, 12th grade.
- Q. Have you always been friends with Mr. Taeyan Williams?
- 25 A. Yes.

VII-115

1 And Mr. Drummond, let me ask you on that subject, do you Q. 2 remain friends with Taeyan Williams today? 3 Α. Yes. Would it be -- how do you feel about having to come to 4 Q. 5 court today? It's not convenient. 6 Α. 7 Is it something that you want to do? 8 Α. No. 9 You've received a subpoena for your testimony; is that 10 right? 11 Correct. Α. If you were not under subpoena would you be here? 12 13 Α. No. 14 What kinds -- when you started buying the marijuana that you described, what was the process for getting it from Taeyan 15 16 Williams? 17 I would text him and then I would get it. 18 Now in terms of texting him, we're talking about text --Q. 19 did you have a phone number for him? 20 Α. Yes. 21 Did you have a phone number for yourself? Q. 22 Yes. Α. 23 Do you recall what your phone number was at the time? 24 443-878-4783. Α. 25 You recall that all the way back?

- 1 A. Yes, it's my current number.
- Q. How long have you used that phone number?
- 3 A. My whole life, seventh grade maybe.
- 4 Q. What kinds of quantities of marijuana would you purchase
- 5 from Taeyan Williams?
- 6 A. Um, all the way up to a pound.
- 7 Q. Now up to a pound. Were there smaller quantities that you
- 8 would sometimes buy from Taeyan Williams?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. What were the smaller quantities that you would get from
- 11 Mr. Taeyan Williams?
- 12 A. An ounce, half-pound.
- 13 Q. About how often would you -- let me put some time frame
- on this. What approximately were the years that you were
- obtaining marijuana from Mr. Taeyan Williams?
- 16 A. Probably 2016 through 2018 maybe. I'm not too sure.
- 17 | Q. That's an approximation, correct?
- 18 A. Yeah.
- 19 Q. When you first began buying marijuana from Taeyan
- 20 Williams, where was Taeyan living?
- 21 A. I'm not sure. Either West Virginia or his parents' house.
- 22 I'm not sure.
- 23 | Q. Did you have knowledge of Mr. Taeyan Williams having his
- 24 parents living in a house somewhere nearby?
- 25 A. Yes.

```
1
            Where was that, approximately?
       Q.
 2
       Α.
            Columbia, Laurel maybe.
 3
       0.
            Have you ever been to that house?
 4
       Α.
            Maybe.
 5
       Q.
            Are you not sure?
            I'm not sure. I mean, which house are you referring to?
 6
       Α.
 7
            That's a fair question. Have you ever been to a house of
 8
       Taeyan Williams' family's located in Laurel, Maryland if you
 9
       know of one?
10
            Laurel, Maryland? Um, yes, maybe. I think so. I mean,
11
       I'm not too confident that it's even in Laurel.
12
            There is a house that you've been to associated with the
13
       Williams family?
14
       Α.
            Yes.
15
                             Can you move closer to the microphone,
16
       sir, or speak up, or both?
17
                 THE WITNESS:
                                 Sure.
18
                 THE COURT: Thank you.
19
                 MR. HANLON:
                               Thank you, Mr. Drummond.
20
       BY MR. HANLON:
21
            Did there ever come a point -- first of all, you
       mentioned at some point that Taeyan Williams was also living
22
23
       in another state; is that correct?
24
       Α.
            Yes.
25
            What state was that?
```

- 1 A. West Virginia.
- 2 Q. Was there ever any drug that you purchased other than
- 3 marijuana from Taeyan Williams?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. What drug was that?
- 6 A. Cocaine.
- 7 Q. Now why were you purchasing cocaine from Taeyan Williams?
- 8 A. Any big fraternity event, people wanted cocaine, so it
- 9 was an easy -- it was an easy sell.
- 10 Q. Again, this was within the fraternity?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. The marijuana that you were purchasing from Taeyan
- 13 Williams, did you ever use any of that -- any of -- let me
- 14 start over. The marijuana that you purchased from Taeyan
- Williams, did you ever use any of that marijuana for yourself?
- 16 A. Sometimes.
- 17 Q. What else did you do with the marijuana you obtained from
- 18 Taeyan Williams?
- 19 A. I sold it.
- 20 Q. How about the cocaine that you obtained from Taeyan
- 21 Williams, what did you do with the cocaine?
- 22 A. I sold it.
- 23 Q. Did you ever use any of the cocaine?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. About what amounts of cocaine did you obtain from Taeyan

Williams?

1

- 2 A. An eighth maybe, I'm not too -- I don't remember exactly.
- Q. When you say "an eighth," what do you mean by that, an
- 4 eighth of what?
- 5 A. 3.5 grams.
- 6 Q. So an eighth of an ounce, if my math is correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. About what time frames -- I think I've asked you this
- 9 about the marijuana -- what approximate time frame did you
- 10 purchase cocaine from Taeyan Williams?
- 11  $\parallel$  A. Probably during that 2016/2018 time frame.
- 12 Q. During this period of time was there anybody else that
- 13 you purchased marijuana from during those time frames?
- 14 A. No.
- 15  $\parallel$  Q. Was there anyone else that you purchased cocaine from
- 16 during those time frames?
- 17 A. No.
- 18  $\parallel$  Q. How about at any point from 2016 to the future, is there
- 19 anyone that you purchased marijuana from apart from Taeyan
- 20 Williams?
- 21 A. I did pick up -- I did get some marijuana I guess from
- 22 Taeyan's father.
- 23 Q. Approximately when did you pick up marijuana from
- 24 Taeyan's father?
- 25 A. It was between the 2016 and 2018 time frame.

- Q. How did it come about? How did it come about that you obtained marijuana from Taeyan's father?
- 3 A. Probably from -- Taeyan probably wasn't in town, so --
- 4 Q. On the occasion when you did obtain marijuana from
- 5 Taeyan's father, where did you have to go to do that pickup?
- 6 A. Nowhere. It was in my fraternity house.
- Q. And I may have misheard you. Did you pick it up or was
- 8 it brought to you?
- 9 A. It was brought to me.
- 10 Q. All right, describe that. Tell us how that deal was set
- 11 up and how the marijuana was brought to you.
- 12 A. I got a text, I went outside, I grabbed the marijuana and
- 13 then I went inside and then -- yeah.
- 14 Q. And who did you grab the marijuana from?
- 15 A. Taeyan's dad.
- 16 Q. Now is Taeyan's father someone that you would recognize
- if you saw him again?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Do you see him in court today?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. If you would please identify him by where he is sitting
- 22 and his clothing.
- 23 A. He's wearing a white T-shirt under his jacket, gray
- 24 jacket.
- 25 MR. HANLON Your Honor, may the record reflect that

```
1
       the witness has identified the defendant, Scott Williams?
 2
                 THE COURT: Okay, it will, unless there's any
 3
       objection.
 4
                 MR. HAWKS: No objection.
 5
       BY MR. HANLON:
            Do you personally know Taeyan Williams' father by name?
 6
 7
            I didn't until all this stuff.
       Α.
 8
            So not at the time you were interacting?
       Q.
 9
       Α.
            No.
10
            Did you ever purchase cocaine or -- I'm sorry, did you
       Q.
11
       ever purchase or obtain cocaine from anyone other than Taeyan
12
       Williams?
13
            No, not that I remember.
14
       Q.
            You mentioned that you would use your cell phone and
       communicate by text message?
15
16
       Α.
            Yes.
17
            Let me -- Court's indulgence. Let me show you, Mr.
18
       Drummond, what has been entered into evidence as Exhibit 339.
19
       And I'm going to zoom in a couple of times here. Are you able
20
       to see the text on the screen next to you, sir?
21
            Yes.
       Α.
22
            Now on the -- I'm going to use my curser to try to orient
23
       you a little bit. Do you see the phone number under
24
       "participants" up at the sort of roughly top left of the
25
       screen?
```

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Do you recognize that phone number?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. And what phone number is that? Just for the record.
- 5 A. My phone number.
- Q. And your name is Brandon; is that correct?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. Do you recognize that -- sitting here today, do you
- 9 recognize that phone number, sir?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Sitting here today, do you recognize any of this text
- 12 information as a conversation you would have had with a person
- on the subject matters discussed there?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. And with whom would you have had a conversation like
- 16 this?
- 17 A. With Taeyan Williams.
- 18  $\parallel$  Q. Now let me show you under the date 11/27/2017, next to
- 19 the name Brandon, there's a phrase there. Do you see that?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21  $\parallel$  Q. What does the phrase mean, "You able to get me a half of
- 22 the yay?"
- 23 A. Cocaine.
- Q. Can you tell us specifically what "yay" means?
- 25 A. Cocaine.

- 1 Q. Is that a common phrase for cocaine?
- A. It's a phrase. I don't know if it's common, but it's a
- 3 phrase.
- 4 Q. When you talk about a half of the yay, what did you mean
- 5 in this context here?
- 6 A. Half ounce, I think.
- 7 Q. There's a response, "if so how soon" and then a reply to
- 8 | that, "you in College Park" Do you see that, sir?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Did Taeyan Williams ever come to College Park to meet you
- 11 for drug-related matters?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. About how often would Taeyan Williams come to College
- 14 Park during the November 2017 time frame?
- 15 A. I don't know.
- 16 MR. HANLON: Moment of the Court's indulgence, Your
- 17 Honor.
- 18 BY MR. HANLON:
- 19 Q. Attempt to zoom in one more time, Mr. Drummond. Take a
- 20 look at the screen again. We're on the first page of Exhibit
- 21 | 339. Do you see that text in front of you?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23  $\parallel$  Q. There's a phrase under the date 11/27/2017 next to the
- 24 name Brandon. Do you see that at the top of this zoom?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. "Ya and you able to front it." Can you tell us what the phrase "front it" means?
- 3 A. To lend.
- 4 Q. Now lend meaning how? If something is fronted, drugs are
- fronted, what does that mean is supposed to happen?
- 6 A. They'll give it to me, then I'll pay them back later.
- 7 Q. Again, who would you have been engaged in a conversation
- 8 by text message with on this subject?
- 9 A. Taeyan.
- 10 Q. Flipping to page 3 of the same exhibit, zoomed in another
- 11 | bit, Mr. Drummond. The text I've got here, are you able to see
- 12 it on your screen?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And here under the date 12/14/2017 and next to the name
- 15 | Brandon, there's a phrase "you got a p" and there's a response
- 16 to Brandon, "yea." Do you see that, sir?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. In this context can you tell us what the phrase "P" would
- 19 mean?
- 20 A. A pound.
- 21 Q. And what would you be looking for a pound of?
- 22 A. Marijuana.
- 23 Q. Zooming in or coming into Page 5 of Exhibit 339, let me
- 24 zoom in one more time. This is, again, I'm showing some
- 25 language here under the date 3/7/2018 and there's a phrase

VII-125

1 there referencing pens. Do you see that, sir? 2 Α. Yes. 3 Now this appears to be to Brandon, is that what the screen is saying? 4 5 Α. Yes. Sir, can you tell us what "pens" would mean in the 6 7 context of a drug transaction? 8 Vape pens. Α. 9 What is a vape pen? 10 Pens you can use to smoke things out of. Α. 11 During the time that you were selling marijuana or buying Q. 12 or selling marijuana, did you ever obtain vape pens? 13 Α. Yes. 14 And did you obtain them for sale, or personal use, or both? 15 16 Both. Α. 17 From whom were you buying vape pens? Q. 18 Α. Taeyan. 19 Did Mr. Taeyan Williams ever show you vape pens during 20 the time that the two of you were engaged together? 21 Yes. Α. 22 Jumping to page 6 of this exhibit, looking at this screen 23 again, do you recognize that phone number next to the row 12 24 sign?

25

Α.

Yes.

- 1 Q. Is that correct?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And whose phone number again is that?
- 4 A. Mine.
- 5 Q. Under the date 4/6/2018 at 8:04 p.m., there's a phrase
- "to Brandon" or an indicator "to Brandon" and the phrase, "got
- 7 your pens." Do you see that, sir?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Who did you receive this message from?
- 10 A. Taeyan.
- 11 Q. And what was your understanding of what Taeyan Williams
- meant when he sent you that message, "got your pens"?
- 13 A. He has my vape pens.
- Q. Do you have a specific recollection one way or the other,
- 15 Mr. Drummond, of whether or not you saw Taeyan Williams on
- 16 April the 6th, 2018?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. You don't recall one way or the other?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. Zooming out one more time, same exhibit, same page, this
- 21  $\parallel$  is row 13. This message is dated 4/7/2018, 1:47 a.m. Do you
- 22 see that, sir?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And here it's written, "when you coming through with
- 25 them" and there's a response to Brandon that says, "in five

- 1 minutes." Is that correct, sir?
- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. From your recollection, do you recall what the nature of
- 4 this conversation was at a few minutes before 2 in the morning
- 5 on April 7, 2018?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. Zooming in on row 14, same page, same exhibit, Exhibit
- 8 | 339, I'm showing you a line or a couple of lines, 4/10/2018
- 9 indicating Brandon; is that correct, sir?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. It seems that you write, "if you don't stop ducking me"
- and then in the next message you write, "lmao smh"; is that
- 13 correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15  $\parallel$  Q. And what did you mean when you wrote that, sir?
- 16 A. He's just not being responsive.
- 17  $\blacksquare$  Q. The message below that, 4/10/2018 at 2:38 p.m. is to
- 18 Brandon; is that correct?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And there's a phrase, "oh shit I thought I texted you
- 21 yesterday saying I left and I forgot but you going to have to
- 22 pick it up at my dad's house. I left it in the silver Benz."
- 23 Do you see that, sir?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. What did you understand that message to mean or what do

```
1 you understand that message to mean?
```

- 2 A. I guess I'm wanting to pick up something from Taeyan. He
- 3 left it in his -- at his dad's house in the silver Benz.
- 4 Q. What would you have been picking up from Taeyan Williams
- 5 from his dad's house?
- A. I don't remember exactly, but probably drug-related.
- 7 Q. Going down to page 8 of Exhibit 339. This is a phrase
- June -- at the very bottom of the zoom in, June 9, 2018 at
- 9 1:57 p.m. and this appears to be from you; is that correct,
- 10 sir?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And the phrase is "you able to re me up"; is that
- 13 correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15  $\parallel$  Q. Can you tell me or can you tell us what the phrase "re me
- 16 up" means or "re-up" means in this context?
- 17 A. Resupply.
- 18 Q. Resupply with what?
- 19 A. I mean, it could be anything, but --
- 20 Q. In this context do you recall what it is that you would
- 21 have been asking to be resupplied with?
- 22  $\blacksquare$  A. Do I remember? No, but could be marijuana.
- 23 Q. And again, this message that we've seen here would have
- 24 been with whom?
- 25 A. Taeyan.

VII-129

1 MR. HANLON: One moment please, Your Honor. Your 2 Honor, those are all my questions on direct examination. 3 THE COURT: Okay, cross-examination? MR. GUILLAUME: Yes, Your Honor. 4 5 THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Guillaume. CROSS-EXAMINATION 6 7 BY MR. GUILLAUME: 8 Good afternoon, sir. How are you? 9 Α. Hi. 10 I represent Mr. Taeyan Williams and I want to just ask 11 you a few questions, okay? 12 Α. Okay. 13 The Government attorney, the prosecutor asked you about 14 your relationship and your friendship with my client. Do you remember that? 15 16 Yes. Α. 17 And you not wanting to be here today because he is your 18 friend, correct? 19 Α. Correct. 20 But you are here obviously, right? 21 Α. Yes. And your plan is to tell the truth about everything that 22 23 has been asked of you so far and you have told the truth, 24 correct? 25 Correct.

- Q. You're not going to lie to protect yourself in this testimony, are you?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. And you're not going to lie to try to protect our client,
- 5 Taeyan Williams either, are you?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. Sir, you graduated from the University of Maryland in
- 8 2018, right?
- 9 A. Right.
- 10 Q. You started there in 2014?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. So you graduated in four years?
- 13 A. Um, I went to community college and then transferred
- 14 there, so I probably graduated in five years, however the math
- 15 works out.
- 16 Q. But you were a full-time student I guess is my point?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. So you were able to graduate and get a degree. And when
- 19 you were a full-time student, I'm assuming you were in school
- 20 present on campus from August to December and from January to
- 21 May of the academic school year, correct?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23  $\parallel$  Q. So you did not have an opportunity to travel and do other
- 24 things because you were a full-time student, correct?
- 25 A. Correct.

- 1 Q. And you never got a chance, an opportunity to visit
- 2 Taeyan Williams in West Virginia at his apartment, did you?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. Okay. You would see him when he would be in Maryland; is
- 5 that correct?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Okay. And you've testified that you've known Mr. Williams
- 8 since about the fifth grade, right?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. And you know members of his family, right?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And you know Mr. Williams' mother, correct?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And when I say "Mr. Williams" I mean Taeyan Williams,
- 15 excuse me. You know Taeyan Williams' mother, right?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And his mother lives in Columbia, Maryland, right?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 | Q. And as far as you know, Taeyan Williams when he would
- 20 visit Maryland, he also would visit his mother's house as well
- 21 in Columbia, correct?
- 22 A. As far as I know.
- 23  $\parallel$  Q. Now you've been asked by the prosecutor about the date --
- I know it's been a long time. We're in 2023 and April 2018 is
- a while ago, right? About five years; is that correct?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. The prosecutor asked you about the specific date, April
- 3 the 6th of 2018. I just want to clarify, do you remember that
- day, one; and then before you answer that question, two, do
- 5 you remember on that day if you saw Taeyan Williams?
- 6 A. I don't remember anything about that day.
- 7 Q. But in 20 -- April the 6th of 2018 it's during the
- 8 academic school year, right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And in 2018 you would have been a senior or getting ready
- 11 | to graduate the University of Maryland; is that correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And graduation typically takes place in May of any given
- 14 academic year for most colleges, right?
- 15 A. Yes. I graduated in December, though.
- 17 You graduated December, 2018?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19  $\parallel$  Q. So in April of 2018 you were still taking classes and you
- 20 weren't ready to graduate the next month, right?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22  $\parallel$  Q. And at that time you were living in your fraternity
- 23 | house? In April of 2018 you were living in your fraternity
- 24 house, right?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. And during the time that you lived in that fraternity
- 2 house, your friend, Taeyan Williams, would visit you from time
- 3 to time at your fraternity house, right?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. And, in fact, he was actually very friendly with some of
- 6 your fraternity brothers?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. He was so friendly that he even had their permission, the
- 9 other -- the house's permission to come and go from the house
- 10 as he pleased, right?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. He, in fact, had other friends other than you who lived
- in that fraternity house, right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15  $\parallel$  Q. Although you were his oldest and best friend at that
- 16 house, he associated with other people, right?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. Okay. Court's brief indulgence. A few more questions for
- 19 you. You testified that you saw an opportunity, because of
- 20 living in this house with a lot of young men, to potentially
- 21 | make a profit or sell marijuana; is that right?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23  $\parallel$  Q. And you said that specifically on weekends I think was
- 24 your testimony there was always a lot of partying and stuff
- 25 going on; is that right?

```
1
       Α.
            Yes.
 2
       Q.
            Was it just weekends or was it all the time?
 3
       Α.
            For --
            For your fraternity house.
 4
       Q.
 5
            I think, I mean, it was frequent.
       Α.
 6
            Pretty frequent, right?
 7
       Α.
            Yes.
 8
       Q.
            One can imagine.
 9
       Α.
            Yes.
10
            And you know there were times when Taeyan Williams was
       Q.
11
       present at some of those fraternity parties, right?
12
            Yes.
       Α.
13
            In fact, he was known to stay throughout the day and
14
       night at the fraternity house when he was here; is that right?
15
            Yes.
       Α.
16
            I think that's it.
                                 I just want to check one quick thing.
17
       No further questions, thank you.
18
                             Okay. Anything for Mr. Scott Williams?
19
                 MR. HAWKS: Yes, Your Honor.
20
                   CROSS-EXAMINATION
21
       BY MR. HAWKS:
22
            Good afternoon.
       Q.
23
            Hi.
       Α.
24
            Now you had testified on direct about Mr. Scott Williams?
       Q.
25
       Α.
            Yes.
```

VII-135

- 1 And specifically you said he went out and met you Q. 2 somewhere and texted you and brought you drugs?
- 3 Α. Yes.
- You testified in the grand jury, in one of the grand 4 Q. 5 juries for this case; do you remember that?
- 6 Α. Yes.
- 7 And it would have been back four years ago back in August 8 of 2019?
- 9 Α. Yes.
- 10 And that was so long ago, none of the prosecutors who are
- 11 here right now in the courtroom were present on that day. It
- 12 was two different prosecutors then?
- 13 Α. I believe so.
- 14 Okay. Now you didn't at any point testify then that Mr.
- Williams came to you and gave you drugs? 15
- 16 I don't think they -- no. I don't -- yeah, I don't 17 remember exactly all the details.
- 18 Okay. Do you remember that they did bring up a text 19
- About what? 20 Α.

message?

- 21 And the text message would have been that Mr. Taeyan
- 22 Williams texted you regarding a drug transaction and said
- 23 "yeah Uber to my uncle crib" and then there's an address on
- 24 Mapleview Drive after that text message. Do you remember that?
- 25 At the grand jury or just in general?

Drummond redirect

- 1 Well, first in general. Q. 2 Α. I remember it from the text message they showed me. 3 Okay, all right. Nothing further. Thank you. 4 THE COURT: Okay, anything else, Mr. Hanlon? 5 MR. HANLON: Yes, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Okay. 7 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. HANLON: 9 You were asked about your grand jury testimony, sir? 10 Say that again? Α. 11 You were asked about your grand jury testimony from a Q. 12 couple years ago? 13 Α. Yes. 14 MR. HANLON: May I approach the witness, Your Honor? 15 THE COURT: Yes. 16 BY MR. HANLON: 17 During your grand jury testimony, do you recall one way 18 or the other whether or not you testified about buying anything from Taeyan Williams' father? 19 20 Α. Do you have the page? Yes. For counsel, this is Exhibit ID-2. Just give me a 21 22 moment, sir. Page 16, line 12. Let me know when you're there, 23 sir.
- 24 A. I'm here.
- Q. Did you -- were you asked about Taeyan's dad in the grand

Drummond redirect VII-137

1 jury?

- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Were you asked if you ever purchased any drugs directly
- 4 from Taeyan's dad?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. In the grand jury, what was your response to that
- 7 question?
- 8 A. That I purchased marijuana and cocaine from his dad.
- 9 Q. From what you can tell from the transcript, were you ever
- 10 asked specifically whether or not you had gone to a house to
- 11 do it or if somebody had come to you with respect to Taeyan's
- 12 dad, from what you can tell on the page I'm showing you or
- 13 from your recollection?
- 14 A. Can you ask the question again?
- 15  $\parallel$  Q. Yes. From your recollection of the grand jury appearance
- 16 or from the page that we're on right now, do you recall
- 17 anybody asking you specifically if you had gotten anything
- 18 | from Taeyan's dad by going to Taeyan's dad or by Taeyan's dad
- 19 coming to you?
- 20 A. I see something that says that you saw a text message
- 21 | from Taeyan Williams that referred to picking something up at
- 22 his dad's house.
- 23 Q. Apart from that do you recall?
- 24 A. That you guys asked me the question? No.
- Q. Yes, sir. Apart from that do you recall anything?

```
1
            No.
       Α.
 2
                 MR. HANLON: I believe that's all I have, Your
 3
       Honor.
 4
                 THE COURT: Okay, anything just on that from the
 5
       defense?
                            No, Your Honor.
 6
                 MR. HAWKS:
 7
                 THE COURT:
                            Mr. Guillaume?
 8
                 MR. GUILLAUME:
                                No, Your Honor. Thank you.
 9
                             So Mr. Drummond, thank you very much for
                 THE COURT:
10
       coming to testify. You can step out now. We appreciate it.
11
                 (Witness excused.)
12
                 THE COURT: Hold on one second.
13
                 MS. GROSSI: Your Honor, our next witness is going
14
       to take over 30 minutes. Would you like to take a break now
15
       or--
16
                 THE COURT:
                            Give me a second. Hold on a second.
17
       Okay, I guess we can take the break now. Why don't we -- it's
18
       about 12:37 or so. Why don't we have everyone come back at
19
       1:40, so a little bit longer than an hour for lunch.
20
            So ladies and gentlemen, don't discuss the case. Keep an
21
       open mind. We'll see you back here after lunch at 1:40.
22
       Thank you.
23
                 (Jury exited the courtroom at 12:37 p.m.)
24
                 THE COURT: Thank you, everyone. Please be seated.
25
       So who is next, Ms. Grossi?
```

1 GROSSI: Ms. Amy Kelly who is one of our DNA 2 scientists is up next. 3 THE WITNESS: You said her direct will be about half 4 an hour or a little more than that? 5 MS. GROSSI: I believe the direct is going to be 6 about 45 minutes, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Okay, so do we have anybody else after 8 her? MS. GROSSI: We have Ms. Julie Kempton who is 9 another DNA scientist and she actually tested more of the 10 11 samples. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Any issues with these witnesses? 13 I know that there were these documents for ID and we talked 14 about reports. I don't know if those are with Ms. -- which of 15 those two witnesses those are with. 16 MR. HAWKS: Your Honor, our understanding is Ms. 17 Kempton is probably the more appropriate witness for that 18 issue, but I really think either one. They both are 19 signatories to the report in question. 20 THE COURT: Okay. So I mean, again, typically these 21 reports are not technically usually offered as evidence, but I 22 have seen them, particularly if there's no objection. So do 23 you all have a common understanding or do you still need to 24 discuss that? Not just on that one report, but perhaps how 25 anything else might be handled.

```
1
                 MR. HANLON:
                              So here was my plan, Your Honor.
 2
       going to put in -- the very first report, Amy Kelly and Julie
 3
       Kempton cosign. I was going to move that in with Ms. Kelly.
 4
                 THE COURT:
                            Use the microphone, please.
                 MR. HANLON: I was going to move that in with Ms.
 5
       Kelly just so it was in.
 6
 7
                 THE COURT: And these are just their reports on the
 8
       results?
 9
                 MR. HANLON: Yes, Your Honor.
10
                 THE COURT: Of which piece? There's a lot of
11
       different pieces here.
12
                              There are many different pieces, Your
                 MR. HANLON:
13
       Honor.
14
                 THE COURT: So what evidence does that report relate
15
       to?
16
                              So that report, if memory serves,
                 MR. HANLON:
17
       covers Exhibits 1 -- I'll say items 1 through 22, some of
18
       which also figure later reports would follow that.
19
                 THE COURT: I'm just asking are we talking about the
20
       blood in the Kia? Are we talking about the toothbrush? What
21
       are we talking about here?
22
                 MR. HANLON: All of those things come up in that
23
       first report. But Amy Kelly tested and examined specifically
24
       the toothbrush with items 9, 10, and 14 which if memory
25
       serves, are two Kia items and one firearm item, but I would
```

1 want to double check that, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Okay. I guess the question is are we 3 offering no reports, all reports, or some yes, some no? if it's some yes some no, is everyone comfortable with what's 4 5 going to happen or is there going to be something I have to rule on? 6 7 MR. HANLON: The Government's -- if the defense 8 wants to move in the first report, that's fine. The 9 Government is unopposed. 10 THE COURT: Okay. 11 The Government would move in all of the MR. HANLON: 12 other reports if that first report is coming in. So I don't 13 oppose them coming in. 14 THE COURT: Okay. How does that sound to you, Mr. 15 Hawks? 16 Your Honor, for the subsequent reports MR. HAWKS: 17 we are agnostic. We have no objection. 18 THE COURT: Okay, so I guess we can do that. 19 Anything else with these two witnesses? 20 MR. HANLON: Not from the Government, Your Honor. 21 MR. HAWKS: Not from the defense. 22 THE COURT: Do we anticipate this taking the rest of 23 the afternoon or not? 24 MS. GROSSI: If it doesn't take the rest of the 25 afternoon, Your Honor, we are prepared to call Sergeant Simms

USCA4 Appeal: 23-4568 Doc: 39-4 Filed: 03/08/2024 Pg: 146 of 378

to the stand to fill the rest of the day.

THE COURT: Okay, thank you. We'll see you back at 1:40. Thank you.

Hold on one second. Please be seated. My understanding is one of the jurors has a medical issue and is seeking to go to the emergency room. I think it was something that was flagged earlier today -- or Urgent Care, not necessarily the emergency room. It doesn't sound like it's life threatening, but it's very uncomfortable and I think the juror had said perhaps the juror would want to seek medical attention at the end of the day, but has just informed the clerk that she feels like she can't wait that long and needs to go now. And so I don't think we would stand in her way. She had flagged the issue earlier.

So then the issue is as you know, our only two options are to suspend for the rest of the day which arguably could set us back or we could -- and we don't know whether she'll be fine.

I guess we could also see, I mean, I think it's unlikely that it would be easy to say she goes there and gets checked out and comes back later today. I think that's ambitious. So we could either send everyone home and then pick it up tomorrow on the hope and expectation we'll be able to finish overall and there won't be any other emergencies with other jurors, or we could effectively release her, we have four alternates so we're close to -- we're getting a lot further

USCA4 Appeal: 23-4568 Doc: 39-4 Filed: 03/08/2024 Pg: 147 of 378

along in the trial now so that would certainly be an option that given the number of alternates and given how far along we are I think that's a reasonable option as well.

Does anyone have a thought on this question?

MS. GROSSI: Your Honor, we are actually ahead of schedule in the Government's case. We were planning to call the DNA experts tomorrow. There's a third expert for DNA that's planning to fly in tomorrow from Texas. And so we were planning to do them back to back, but we're prepared to put Mr. Sergeant Simms on the stand today. So the Government would ask to recess for the day and then pick it back up tomorrow with a full day.

THE COURT: When you say "we're ahead of schedule," what was the schedule you were anticipating? Because we told them they would be done by Thursday. This is a very -- I'm noticing as we're going along, this is a very lengthy case, lots of exhibits. I wouldn't expect that if we do closing arguments on Thursday morning they'd have a verdict by the afternoon. Or even frankly if they had the case on Wednesday, I would be a little surprised if they turned it around that quickly, which isn't to say we can't have a jury stay past the last day, it happens all the time. But I think when we have the opportunity to have them finish everything they do, not just what the lawyers say to them but what they do by the appointed date, it's always preferable.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So when you say "we're ahead of schedule," what do you mean by that? When would you anticipate resting exactly? Because again, it seemed to me like you're mostly done, but you still say you want to go into Monday. So that means the defense case on Tuesday, closings on Wednesday. That's pretty much as far as we could go. Ideally we'd finish earlier than that. So what do you mean by "we're ahead of schedule"? MS. GROSSI: Your Honor, I believe we're still going to close -- if we were to break for today we would still close our case on Monday. When on Monday, 9 a.m? 5 p.m? Roughly THE COURT: somewhere in the middle? MS. GROSSI: Before 5, Your Honor, it's my estimation. THE COURT: You mean if we didn't do anything today? MS. GROSSI: I believe so. I'm not sure what the cross is going to entail with Sergeant Simms, so it's hard for me to say. Sergeant Simms will be up there for a while with a lot of the additional --THE COURT: So tell me who else we have. We have these two DNA people who we could have gotten through today or could get through today. MS. GROSSI: That's correct. THE COURT: And the third one -- I'm not sure why we have three. Are they all doing different things or are they

1 sort of corroborating each other? 2 MS. GROSSI: They're all doing different things and 3 the third one who is flying in from Texas, Your Honor, she works for a center at the University of North Texas that looks 4 at unidentified remains. And so her lab does testing that is 5 6 different than the labs that do testing here in Maryland. 7 THE COURT: Okay. So then who else do you have 8 besides the three of them and Sergeant Simms? I had asked you 9 yesterday, I mean, there's other names, but I don't know if 10 they've been eliminated because of stipulations or other 11 evidence. 12 MS. GROSSI: Yes, only one other witness, Your 13 Honor, and that's Mathew Wilde. He is an FBI special agent who 14 will be testifying about the location of certain cell phones. 15 So let me just make sure I have this 16 right. Ms. Kelly and Ms. Kempton are DNA experts. Jonathan 17 Martin, is he being called? 18 MS. GROSSI: We are not calling him anymore. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Mathew Wilde, you said he's an 20 expert on what? 21 MS. GROSSI: Cell phone location. 22 THE COURT: Okay. Richard DiSabatino? 23 MS. GROSSI: We are no longer calling him, Your 24 Honor. 25 THE COURT: Okay. Romy Franco is the Texas DNA

```
1
       expert?
 2
                 MS. GROSSI:
                             That's correct, Your Honor.
 3
                 THE COURT: Is her testimony or his testimony longer
 4
       or shorter than Kelly and Kempton?
                 MS. GROSSI: I believe it's going to be shorter,
 5
       Your Honor.
 6
 7
                 THE COURT:
                            And what about Jill Fairchild?
 8
                 MS. GROSSI: We are no longer calling her.
 9
                             So you basically have the three DNA, you
                 THE COURT:
10
       have Agent Wilde and then you have Sergeant Simms?
11
                 MS. GROSSI: That's correct, Your Honor.
12
                 THE COURT: We've dealt with the videos or the audio
13
       recordings. Generally what is Sergeant Simms going to do on
14
       his --
                 MS. GROSSI: There is one other.
15
16
                 THE COURT: -- now third or fourth visit?
17
                              There is one other jail call, Your
                 MS. GROSSI:
18
       Honor, that he's going to testify to. It's a Taeyan Williams
19
       jail call. And then there's additional business records that
20
       were collected throughout the investigation, including Airbnb
21
       records, Uber records, AOL records and things of those nature.
22
       He also created summary exhibits that we have previously
23
       provided to defense by the deadline that was asked by the
24
       Court. Those include summary exhibits as to the DNA evidence
25
       and the EZ Storage videos.
```

1 THE COURT: So it's things we've seen, but kind of 2 connecting the dots. 3 MS. GROSSI: Correct. There's portions of the EZ 4 Storage footage that was not seen by the jury yet which 5 include multiple trips by Mr. Cesar Flores Gomez showing the 6 amount of boxes that were brought into the storage facility, 7 as well as instances in which Mr. Noah Smothers entered the 8 facility, you can see him and the boxes and the bags he's 9 carrying. 10 THE COURT: These are videos from EZ Storage that we 11 haven't seen yet or --12 They're in evidence, Your Honor. MS. GROSSI: 13 THE COURT: I see, okay. 14 MS. GROSSI: But we didn't preview them yet. 15 THE COURT: In terms of laptop, cell phones, other 16 electronic devices, have we pretty much seen what you're 17 planning to present on those for the first time? I mean, 18 Sergeant Simms may want to put things together, but in terms 19 of witnesses who are going to say, "Well, we've extracted 20 things from phones and here's text messages, here's videos, 21 here's photos," have you pretty much pulled all of that out or 22 is there still something else to be done in that regard? 23 MR. MOOMAU: Your Honor, since he's my witness can I 24 respond? 25 THE COURT: Sure.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MOOMAU: Yes, there's not going to be any new extraction witnesses. We have -- part of our case is identifying who is using a certain number and we're going to try to put the pieces together for the jury to show evidence as to who is using a certain number. THE COURT: You mean like subscriber records or other records or --MR. MOOMAU: Subscriber records, contacts from other phones that have come in. THE COURT: Okay. But in terms of the experts who say "Hey, I've had to pull all this off a phone," we've already done that, you've already done that? MR. MOOMAU: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Okay. So then my other question for the defense is as much as we know now, the length of your cases? MR. GUILLAUME: Your Honor, if I may respond first, Your Honor. We anticipate calling one witness, Mr. Turner Mevin as a witness that would basically -- he wouldn't be very

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
confirmatory, this is such -- this is the Red Roof Inn, this
is the parking lot, this is the hallway, this is the lobby,
that kind of thing. Other than that, we don't plan on calling
any other witnesses. I think Mr. Nieto would agree with that?
Right.
                     Including the defendant.
         THE COURT:
         MR. GUILLAUME: We don't anticipate our client
testifying.
         THE COURT: How about you, Mr. Hawks, for your team?
         MR. HAWKS: Your Honor, we I believe listed seven
witnesses at this point. The idea that any of those witnesses
would testify is in question. And so in any event, I don't
think our case would take longer than three hours.
         THE COURT: Are these character witnesses, fact
witnesses?
                     Your Honor, they're fact witnesses, but
         MR. HAWKS:
facts have come in and we're going to dot our I's and cross
our T's.
         THE COURT: You don't anticipate all six of them
testifying?
         MR. HAWKS:
                     We do not, Your Honor.
         THE COURT:
                     So at most, a few of them?
         MR. HAWKS: At most, yes, Your Honor.
         THE COURT: And how about your client, is he
planning to testify?
```

1 MR. HAWKS: Your Honor, it's going to be his 2 decision at the last minute. 3 THE COURT: It's always a moving target, I 4 understand, but based on what you know now. MR. HAWKS: At this point we do not anticipate that. 5 6 THE COURT: Okay. What are the defense counsels' 7 positions on whether we suspend today or move to the 8 alternate? 9 MR. HAWKS: Your Honor, Scott Williams would argue 10 that a recess, suspension would be appropriate. 11 MR. GUILLAUME: I'm in agreement with all parties as 12 well, Your Honor. And if we come to it tomorrow then we can 13 make that decision if the juror can't --14 MR. CRAWLEY: If it helps, Your Honor, Mr. Hanlon 15 and I just had a brief conversation. We've been discussing 16 possibly trying to stipulate on the DNA evidence so that we 17 can streamline that considerably. 18 THE COURT: Okay, well, you may have gotten the 19 impression I like to keep things moving, but at the same time 20 if all parties are comfortable, that helps. I do think, again, 21 my client in all of this is the jury. I don't want them to 22 stay any longer than they need to. It does seem that the 23 Government from what I know and how things have gone, 24 particularly whether there's a stipulation on DNA or not, it 25 seems as if it would be surprising if the Government couldn't

finish its case by midday on Monday, even though I know they don't want to commit to that and I'm not going to make them commit to that. But I think that seems to be along the lines of what we're dealing with if we get a full day in tomorrow.

And with the defense cases we may be done with all the evidence on Monday it sounds like, or if not, early Tuesday. I mean, what that means then is that we probably have some updating to do of the jury instructions, but we would be ready for closings no later than Wednesday, ideally perhaps Tuesday depending on how quickly things go. Again, my preference would be to give the jury as much time as they can to deliberate during the time -- but hold on one second.

Okay, so I think we will suspend for the day. The complication is the jurors are all at lunch and so they don't know that. There's a couple ways to do this: On the one hand I could have the clerk tell them that because of this issue we're going to suspend and/or I would tell them myself so they have some sense of what's going on. I guess the only issue with that is whether everyone minds getting lunch and coming back for that brief colloquy with the jury, so they understand what's going on.

MS. GROSSI: We don't mind coming back, Your Honor,
but it's up to you.

THE COURT: I don't mind if it's one person per team or something like that, but it's up to you how you want to

handle it. But I would be okay since that's all we're doing.

MR. GUILLAUME: We would come back, Your Honor. We defer to the Court. Whatever you need us to do, we'll do.

MR. HAWKS: Your Honor, Scott Williams' team is at your disposal.

THE COURT: Okay, why don't we plan to have the jury come back in, at least, we'll be minus one at that time and I'll explain that this is what happens when someone has got an issue which of course is totally understandable, but that's why they get to go home and then that's why we'll need them tomorrow and hopefully get everyone here.

What I will say for all of you though is that if we don't have this juror tomorrow morning, I would likely say we need to move to the alternate. We have several. The Government has this out-of-state witness so my guess is you would prefer to get that witness on tomorrow regardless; is that correct?

MS. GROSSI: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So I think that's kind of a happy medium given that we've got four alternates, so we'll treat it that way. I won't tell the jury that that's what's going to happen, but that's what I would expect. Obviously we will see exactly what the situation is. So we'll see you all back here at 1:40 and then we'll dismiss the jury at that point. And the other juror I think is being told she can or already has left. Thank you.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-4568 Doc: 39-4 Filed: 03/08/2024 Pg: 157 of 378

VII-153

1	(Proceedings	ended	for	the	day	at	12:57	p.m.)	
2									
3									
4									
5									
6									
7									
8									
9									
10									
11									
12									
13									
14									
15									
16									
17									
18									
19									
20									
21									
22									
<ul><li>22</li><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>									
25									

USCA4 Appeal: 23-4568 Doc: 39-4 Filed: 03/08/2024 Pg: 158 of 378

VII-154

1	CERTIFICATE OF OFFICIAL REPORTER
2	
3	
4	
5	I, Nadine M. Bachmann, Certified Realtime Reporter
6	and Registered Merit Reporter, in and for the United States
7	District Court for the District of Maryland, do hereby
8	certify, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 753, that the foregoing is a
9	true and correct transcript of the stenographically-reported
10	proceedings held in the above-entitled matter and that the
11	transcript page format is in conformance with the regulations
12	of the Judicial Conference of the United States.
13	
14	Dated this 14th day of December, 2023.
15	
16	-s-
17	
18	NADINE M. BACHMANN, CRR, RMR
19	FEDERAL OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

USCA4 Appeal: 23-4568 Doc: 39-4 Filed: 03/08/2024 Pg: 159 of 378

VIII-1

```
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT.
 1
                        FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND
 2
                            SOUTHERN DIVISION
 3
       UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
            Plaintiff,
                                      )
 4
                                      )
                                         CRIMINAL CASE NUMBER:
            vs.
 5
                                              TDC-18-0631
       SCOTT ANTHONY WILLIAMS and
                                              VOLUME VIII
       and TAEYAN RAYMOND WILLIAMS,
 6
            Defendants.
 7
 8
                       TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
 9
                              JURY TRIAL
                 BEFORE THE HONORABLE THEODORE D. CHUANG
10
                      UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
                        Thursday, May 4, 2023
11
                          Greenbelt, Maryland
12
                         APPEARANCES
13
       FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
14
            OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
                 BY: LEAH GROSSI, ESQUIRE
                 BY: WILLIAM MOOMAU, ESQUIRE
15
                     6406 Ivy Lane, Suite 800
                     Greenbelt, Maryland 20770
16
17
                 BY: MICHAEL HANLON, ESQUIRE
                      36 S. Charles Street, Fourth Floor
18
                      Baltimore, Maryland 21201
19
       FOR THE DEFENDANTS:
            For Scott Anthony Williams:
20
                 BY: KWASI HAWKS, ESQUIRE
                     THE HAWKS FIRM
                     8705 Colesville Road
21
                     Suite 162
22
                     Silver Spring, MD 20910
23
                 BY: DWIGHT E. CRAWLEY, ESQUIRE
                     LAW OFFICE OF DWIGHT CRAWLEY
                     1300 I. Street, NW
24
                     Suite 400e
25
                     Washington, DC 20005
```

USCA4 Appeal: 23-4568 Doc: 39-4 Filed: 03/08/2024 Pg: 160 of 378

VIII-2

```
(Appearances, Continued)
 1
 2
            For Taeyan Raymond Williams:
                 BY: ALFRED GUILLAUME, III
 3
                      LAW OFFICES OF ALFRED GUILLAUME, III, LLC
                      1350 Connecticut Avenue NW
 4
                      Suite 308
                      Washington, DC 20036
 5
                 BY: CHRISTOPHER NIETO, Esquire
 6
                      LAW OFFICE OF CHRISTOPHER C. NIETO, LLC
                      1 North Charles Street, Suite 1301
 7
                      Baltimore, Maryland 21201
 8
            ***Proceedings Recorded by Mechanical Stenography***
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

USCA4 Appeal: 23-4568 Doc: 39-4 Filed: 03/08/2024 Pg: 161 of 378

VIII-3

1		I N D	E X		
2	WITNESS	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
3	Julie Kempton				
4	By Mr. Hanlon:	12		58	
5	By Mr. Guillaume	:	55		
6	By Mr. Hawks:		57		
7	Romy Franco				
8	By Mr. Hanlon:	64			
9	Kyle Simms				
10	By Mr. Moomau:	74			
11	By Mr. Nieto:		173		
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

## PROCEEDINGS

(9:04 a.m.)

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: The matter now pending before this Court is Criminal Action Number TDC-18-0631, United States of America v. Scott Anthony Williams and Taeyan Raymond Williams. We're here today for the purpose of a jury trial. Counsel, please identify yourselves for the regard.

MS. GROSSI: Good morning, Your Honor. Leah Grossi,
Michael Hanlon, and William Moomau on behalf of the
Government. Here with us at counsel's table is Kyle Simms with
the Maryland State Police.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MR. HAWKS: Good morning, Your Honor. Kwasi Hawks and Dwight Crawley on behalf of Mr. Scott Williams, who is seated between us.

MR. CRAWLEY: Good morning, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MR. GUILLAUME: Good morning, Your Honor. Alfred Guillaume and Christopher Nieto on behalf of Mr. Taeyan Williams who is seated between us.

THE COURT: Good morning to all the parties and the members of the audience. First off, two issues I wanted to preview with you. One is the juror who had the medical issue apparently is in the hospital, so she's not going to be here today. This was Juror No. 10, back row, second seat, I

VIII-5

believe. So again, my proposal would be that I excuse her, that we move to the alternates. The way that I would handle it is, I mean, Juror No. 13 would effectively become Juror No. 10. For our purposes just to avoid confusion I would not have them change seats right now on the theory that if we move that person, then everybody moves down and then if there's another person who leaves then we keep renumbering people. So I think for our purposes we can refer to Juror No. 13 as Juror No. 10 going forward.

Once we dismiss alternates and we go to deliberations, we'll give everybody sort of a final number. And if and when they come in for questions or verdicts we'll have them sit in their numbered seat, which at this point will be 10. Any concerns with that?

MS. GROSSI: Not from the Government, Your Honor.

MR. GUILLAUME: No, Your Honor, on behalf of Mr. Taeyan Williams.

MR. HAWKS: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. The other issue is I don't know if counsel knows about this. I was told that some witness wandered into the jury room. Does anyone know about this?

MS. GROSSI: Yes, Your Honor. Our DNA expert thought that she was going into the courtroom and she went into the jury room and said, "Is this a throughway?" And someone said "No" and then she exited and came back into the courtroom.

THE COURT: Which expert is this? Just for the record.

MS. GROSSI: Julie Kempton.

THE COURT: Okay. So my understanding is that there was no substantive discussion or anything like that. Is that your understanding too?

MS. GROSSI: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Is there -- did they know she was a witness or did she identify herself as a witness or did she talk to them at all about who they were?

MS. GROSSI: No, Your Honor. It's my understanding she just asked if this was a throughway and they said no, and then she came back out and came into the courtroom.

THE COURT: So let me ask defense counsel, this doesn't sound like a real issue or a problem, but I think based on counsel's representation since it's a Government witness, I'm satisfied that there was no substantive interaction that makes a difference. And this isn't somebody who's really -- I mean, it's not somebody who is -- as I understand there's no counter expert. However, you know, if you would like out of an abundance of caution I could have a brief colloquy with the witness first to see what kind of interaction she had. If there's a problem we could go to the jurors, but I think I'd start with the witness outside of the presence of the jury if you think it's necessary.

1 Does anyone have a view on that? 2 MR. GUILLAUME: Your Honor, we are satisfied with 3 the Government's representation on behalf of Mr. Taeyan 4 Williams. 5 MR. HAWKS: As are we, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Okay. I think we're still waiting for 7 one juror, but is there anything else we need to discuss 8 beyond those juror issues? 9 MS. GROSSI: No, Your Honor. I believe there's a 10 possibility the Government could finish its witnesses today 11 and so I just wanted to put the Court on notice. We have Ms. 12 Julie Kempton, Ms. Romy Franco, Kyle Simms, and then Mathew 13 Wilde for today. 14 THE COURT: What happened to Ms. Kelly? 15 MS. GROSSI: Ms. Kelly had a scheduling conflict. 16 She was going to testify yesterday because of her scheduling 17 conflict today. We've talked to defense and defense has agreed that we can ask Ms. Kempton who was the real -- who did 18 19 most of the DNA testing about what Ms. Kelly did. They 20 co-authored reports and so we're going to get in that 21 information through Ms. Kempton. 22 THE COURT: Okay. And does this still take us most 23 of the way through the day, if not all of it? 24 MS. GROSSI: I believe so, Your Honor. I believe 25 Sergeant Simms will have a long time on the stand.

1 THE COURT: Okay. So we don't have any defense 2 witnesses ready to go today if we got that far? 3 MR. GUILLAUME: Correct, Your Honor. We wouldn't be 4 ready until Monday. THE COURT: Okay, that's kind of what we were 5 6 thinking. Mr. Hawks, same with you? 7 MR. HAWKS: Same, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: And then I thought there were some 9 additional exhibits that were provided, ID exhibits. Can 10 someone just explain what that's about? Or maybe -- I don't 11 know if I have them, but I've been told that there are some. 12 MS. GROSSI: Your Honor --13 THE COURT: Or a new list, I think. 14 MS. GROSSI: Just a new list I think for ID exhibits 15 that were shown to the witnesses the last few days. I don't 16 know if we provided an exhibit list for them and so that's 17 what I provided Ms. Solomon last night. There was a --18 THE COURT: Oh, I see. Okay. 19 MS. GROSSI: There were some typos in Exhibit 446 20 and so I provided the Court with an updated copy of that 21 exhibit and have provided the same to defense counsel. 22 THE COURT: Okay. I mean, in an ideal world, maybe 23 we only have one more day of testimony. Again, even though 24 they're ID, it's still helpful for me to have copies just so I 25 can follow along, particularly if there's an objection.

don't need the older ones, but if there's anything that's 1 2 coming up today, if there's a way to get me a copy while the 3 testimony is occurring, that would be helpful. 4 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: We are ready. 5 THE COURT: We're ready, okay, I think that's 6 all we need to discuss. We can call the jury in. 7 Sorry, Mr. Nieto? 8 MR. NIETO: Just very briefly, Your Honor. I just 9 respectfully wanted to remind the Court that at 1:00 Judge 10 Xinis requires me for that Rule 11. THE COURT: Right, okay. So the idea is we sort of 11 12 do our lunch break either starting at 1 or maybe five minutes 13 before 1. How much time do you need before 1, do you think? 14 MR. NIETO: Your Honor, my hope is like every other 15 plea we should be done within an hour. And I will be just 16 right next door. 17 THE COURT: We'll stop no later than five minutes before. Maybe ten -- I mean, I don't know if you're going to 18 19 meet with anyone before. 20 MR. NIETO: It's fine, Your Honor. I'll work with 21 the Court's schedule. 22 THE COURT: I mean, if we stop ten minutes before, 23 that's fine. It just means that ideally we're done, you know, 24 ten, fifteen minutes after the 1:00 time which usually is the 25 case, but we can stretch it a little bit, if necessary. So

VIII-10

thanks for the reminder.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. NIETO: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay, we'll bring the jury in.

(Jury entered the courtroom at 9:12 a.m.)

THE COURT: Thank you, everyone. Please be seated. Welcome back, ladies and gentlemen, and I want to apologize for some of the possible confusion that occurred yesterday. As you're aware -- well, I don't know how much you're fully aware, but at the lunch break, one of your colleagues, Juror No. 10, reported a medical issue and I think some of you were still here, some of you had gone off to lunch. And the conclusion was that she should go off and seek attention. And given that we can't proceed without anybody, that's why you were all released. And I think if it had happened not during lunch when everyone was here we would have explained that to you at the time, but given that some people were here and some people weren't, we just sort of informally told you to go home. So I didn't mean to sort of shorten your day unnecessarily, but that's the reason why we sent you home early, not because of anything happening here but because of that issue.

Now unfortunately we've learned that your fellow juror is unable to join us today. I think she's okay, but she's not able to join us today. And again, since we can't proceed without everyone having seen everything, we have concluded we

should proceed even without her. We have some extra -- we 1 2 don't actually -- to deliberate we don't need all of you. Part 3 of the reason why we have 16 of you is in the event of 4 something like this exactly happening. So it's not unusual 5 for medical reasons or otherwise especially in a long trial 6 like this one for a juror to unfortunately have to drop out. 7 So we are able to continue, it just means that everyone here 8 should do their best to stay available to us, but we're able 9 to continue going forward. We'll just leave that seat open for 10 now and we'll sort out exactly where we are at the end of the 11 trial. 12 My understanding is even by us losing yesterday afternoon 13 we are either at or ahead of schedule to finish the evidence 14 in this case so it won't, I don't think, extend the trial any 15 longer. So with that explanation, we're ready to continue. 16 Mr. Hanlon, do you want to call the next witness in? 17 MR. HANLON: Yes, Your Honor. The United States 18 calls Ms. Julie Kempton to the stand. 19 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Ms. Kempton, please come 20 forward. Please take the stand and remain standing. 21 (Witness, sworn.) 22 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: You may be seated, please. 23 Speak clearly into the microphone. Please state your first and 24 last name. 25 THE WITNESS: My name is Julie Kempton.

VIII-12

1 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: And please spell your first 2 and last name for the record. 3 THE WITNESS: J-u-l-i-e K-e-m-p-t-o-n. 4 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Thank you, ma'am. 5 DIRECT EXAMINATION 6 BY MR. HANLON: 7 Good morning, Ms. Kempton. 8 Good morning. 9 MR HANLON: Your Honor, at this time the Government 10 would move to admit the following exhibits: Exhibit 525, which 11 is a stipulation which I would seek permission to read in a 12 moment. 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14 MR. HANLON: Exhibit 449 and Exhibits 449A as in 15 alpha, through 449F as in Frank. 16 THE COURT: Okay. Any issues with any of those? 17 MR. HAWKS: No objection, Your Honor. MR. NIETO: No, Your Honor. 18 19 Okay, so Exhibits 449, 449A through F THE COURT: 20 and 525 are in evidence. And you want to read 525 now; is 21 that correct? 22 MR. HANLON: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Okay, we'll put that on the screen. 24 MR. HANLON: Your Honor, reading the stipulation 25 which is now in evidence as Exhibit 525. Stipulation, DNA

VIII-13

samples. The Government and the defendants, Scott Anthony
Williams and Taeyan Raymond Williams do hereby stipulate and
agree to the following: During the investigation of this case,
DNA samples were obtained from Keri Doyle and Roger Smothers,
mother and father of Noah Smothers. In addition, DNA samples
were obtained from Cole Smothers and Evan Smothers, brothers
of Noah Smothers. These DNA samples were utilized during the
DNA analyses that were conducted in this case.

And that stipulation, Your Honor, is as shown on the document, signed by counsel for both parties.

## BY MR. HANLON:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- Q. Ms. Kempton, good morning to you.
- 13 A. Good morning.
- 14 Q. What do you do for a living?
- A. I am a DNA analyst with the Maryland State Police Crime
- 16 Lab in Pikesville, Maryland.
- Q. And how long have you worked as a DNA analyst for the Maryland State Police?
- 19 A. I've been at that lab for 16 years.
- Q. What other law enforcement or lab analysis experience do you have?
- A. Prior to going to the Maryland State Police I worked for
  the Prince George's County Police Department for nine years,
  also as a DNA analyst. And prior to that I worked for

25 Cellmark Diagnostics for four years. That was a private DNA

VIII-14

testing company located in Germantown, Maryland.

Q. What are your current job duties?

I testify in court.

George Washington University.

- A. I am a DNA analyst in the biology section. And the biology section is responsible for analyzing serology and DNA testing. My duties include receiving items of evidence that are submitted for testing, maintaining the chain of custody on those items, examining items of evidence for the presence of body fluids or other materials that might contain DNA. I perform DNA testing on those items. I review the work of other analysts in our laboratory. I write reports on my findings and
- Q. What is your educational background, Ms. Kempton?
- A. I have a bachelor's in chemistry from William and Mary. I have a master's in chemistry from the University of British

  Columbia and I have a Master of Forensic Science degree from
  - Q. Could you tell us a little bit about the lab you work for, the Maryland State Police Forensic Science Division, its accreditation, quality control measures, things like that?
- A. Our laboratory is accredited by ANAB which is a national body that accredits forensic laboratories. An accreditation means that the laboratory is audited for all of their operations, for all of their personnel, all of their record keeping. In DNA specifically, we are under standards that are put out by the FBI called the quantity assurance standards for

VIII-15

1 DNA testing laboratories. And those standards cover everything 2 that a laboratory does: The physical setup of the laboratory, 3 where different things are done in the lab; the education and 4 the training that the analysts have to have; all of the 5 recordkeeping that we have to do; quality assurance, quality 6 checks on our instruments and on the chemicals that we use. It 7 covers every aspect of our testing. And we are, in fact, 8 audited on an annual basis to make sure that we are in 9 compliance with all of these quality control measures. 10 Ms. Kempton, just approximately how many DNA analyses, 11 how many times have you analyzed DNA or suspected DNA samples 12 in your career? 13 It's in the thousands. Probably somewhere between 1 and 14 2,000. 15 Q. And have you testified in court on these matters? 16 Yes, I have. Α. 17 Have you been qualified as an expert witness in other courts? 18 19 Yes, I have. 20 Ο. In what fields? I've been qualified as an expert in DNA -- I'm sorry, in 21 22 forensic serology and forensic DNA testing. 23 MR. HANLON: Your Honor, at this time the United 24 States would offer Ms. Kempton as an expert in the fields of 25 forensic serology and short tandem repeat DNA analysis.

VIII-16

MR. HAWKS: No objection.

MR. GUILLAUME: No objection.

THE COURT: Okay, the witness is qualified as an expert in forensic serology and short tandem repeat DNA analysis.

## BY MR. HANLON:

- Q. Ms. Kempton, you anticipated one of my questions. What is forensic serology?
- A. Forensic serology is the examination of biological fluids as they might pertain to criminal evidence. In DNA testing, we particularly look for the presence of three fluids: Blood, semen, and saliva. And these are fluids that usually will contain a lot of cells and DNA is found in the cells, so these are the three body fluids that contain the most amount of DNA.

And we have some screening tests that we use in the laboratory when we're looking for the presence of these three biological fluids. Generally these are color tests where you take a small amount of your sample, you apply certain chemicals to it and if the -- if there's a color change reaction, that indicates that there may be the presence of that biological fluid.

- Q. Are there particular types of tests that your lab does to detect the presence of blood?
- A. Yes. One of the tests is called a phenolphthalein test that is used to detect blood.

VIII-17

Q. What is DNA?

A. DNA stands for deoxyribonucleic acid. And it's the genetic material that's contained in the cells of every living creature that has all of the instructions that any living thing needs to carryon all of its process of being alive. A lot of times it's called the blueprint of life because it has all of the instructions and whether or not we're talking about a single cell bacteria, or a human being, or an elephant, or an oak tree, the DNA of that thing has all of the instructions that it needs to carryon all of our processes of being alive.

In human beings, our DNA is over 99 percent identical between every human being. And that's why we all look like human beings and we all do all the functioning that we need to do to be alive as human beings. It's less than one percent of our DNA that is different between people, but there's so much information in our DNA that that less than one percent accounts for all of the variability between human beings. Every human being, unless you happen to be an identical twin, has DNA that is unique to them. There has never been another person, there will never be another person with the exact same DNA as any individual.

So in looking at the variability in DNA, we can determine between different people. We can distinguish the DNA of different people.

Q. Now you anticipated my next question. Does DNA vary from

VIII-18

person to person or to what degree?

- A. It does vary between people, as I said, unless you have an identical twin. Identical twins have identical DNA, but the DNA of every other person is unique to that individual.
- Q. How does DNA get deposited by humans on objects?
- A. DNA can come from biological fluids as I described earlier: Blood, semen or saliva. DNA is also found in other body fluids like sweat, but to a lesser degree. And it can be deposited either through a biological fluid or through touch DNA which is -- so for example, if I lay my hands on this surface up here I'm going to leave some DNA on it. How much I leave depends on whether I washed my hands recently or how long I touched it, a whole lot of factors. And whether or not you would be able to detect my DNA on here also is controlled by a lot of factors.

DNA can also be transferred by what's called secondary transfer. So it has been shown that if I shake hands with a person and then touch this surface, that I could possibly leave some of that other person's DNA here. But again, the amount of DNA that would be deposited and whether or not it could be detected, there's a wide variety of factors that influence whether or not you'd actually be able to detect that.

Q. So let me ask you a follow-up question about that. Do we always leave DNA on the surfaces that we touch?

VIII-19

1 Basically yes, but the amount that's left and whether or 2 not it would be detected is controlled by such a large variety 3 of factors that if you came and swabbed this surface right 4 now, you might or might not pick up my DNA from it. And even 5 though I have touched it, you might not get my DNA. So whether 6 we get DNA from something or we don't does not necessarily 7 mean that it did not come in contact with a person. 8 Now in this particular case did you receive some items to 9 examine in connection with this investigation? 10 Α. Yes, I did. 11 So let me show you --0. 12 Excuse me. May I have a cup of water, please? Α. 13 Oh, yes, of course. A moment, please, Your Honor. 14 Approach the witness, Your Honor? 15 THE COURT: Yes. 16 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 17 BY MR. HANLON: Let me bring up what is in evidence now as Exhibit 449. 18 19 Do you see this document in front of you, Ms. Kempton? 20 Α. Yes, I do. 21 Do you recognize this document? Q. 22 Α. Yes. 23 Let me show you, this is page 1. And let me show you page Q. 24 2. Do you recognize page 2 of the document? 25 Α. Yes.

VIII-20

1 Now, Ms. Kempton, your lab did a series of reports in Q. 2 connection with this investigation; is that correct? 3 Α. Yes. 4 And I believe you have in front of you Exhibits 449A Q. 5 through 449F. Are those the various reports? 6 Um, yes. Okay, yes. I do have all six of those. Α. 7 Exhibit 449 here, what information is shown in Exhibit Q. 8 449? 9 Exhibit 449 is a summary of some of the tables that are 10 contained within this report. So it shows some of the DNA 11 profiles that were obtained during the testing of items within 12 this case. 13 So let me go through some of these items here. First of 14 all, on the screen you've got over here on the far side we've 15 got something called Locus and then there's a series of 16 phrases that sort of run down the column. Do you see that 17 now? 18 Α. Yes. 19 In the context of DNA analysis, what do we mean by Locus? 20 Locus means a particular location in the DNA. When we do 21 our DNA testing, we don't analyze the entire DNA molecule. We

look at certain specific sections, and in this case 24 different locations in the DNA where people's DNA is known to be variable between different people. And each of these locations, each of these loci has a specific designation.

25

22

23

24

Kempton - direct

So in that first column down the left where it says

VIII-21

"locus," each of those are the 24 different locations that we actually analyze when we obtain a DNA profile.

Q. Now the scientific language for those names, for purposes of our work today, do those phrases have any special meaning?

A. They have certain designations. For example, the very first one says D3S1358. D3, D stands for DNA. 3 means it's a chromosome 3 out of the 23 pairs of chromosomes that we have, it's on chromosome 3. S means segment and 1358 just means that it was the 1,358th segment that a biologist identified on chromosome 3.

Some of the other locations, for example, the next one is vWA. That's just like the common name that was given to this

vWA. That's just like the common name that was given to this particular location by one of the scientists who was studying that chromosome. So for our purposes, for your purposes they don't have a specific meaning, they're just -- it's kind of like identifying a place on the map. So they're like the coordinates on the map of the chromosomes of where each of these locations are.

- Q. Let me ask you about these three items in this box. When you receive items in your lab, Ms. Kempton, do you -- does the lab assign its own exhibit or item numbers to the material that it receives?
- A. Yes, we do. When the case comes into the laboratory, first of all it's assigned a unique case number that's carried

VIII-22

1 throughout all of the testing. And no matter which unit tests 2 it, all of the cases are -- all of the items of evidence have 3 that same unique case number. And then within each unit, each 4 item of evidence is given its own unique exhibit number and 5 that is carried through the testing. 6 In terms of the exhibit numbers, we've got lots of Ο. 7 numbers that kind of go back and forth, but here we've got 8 Exhibits 3, 4 and 5 from the lab. Let me show you the items on 9 the screen here. What is in evidence in this case is exhibit 10 687 is on the screen and I'll just need a moment to switch. 11 There are FSD exhibit numbers on the side there; is that 12 correct? 13 Yes, that's correct. 14 So they include Exhibit 3? Ο. 15 Α. Yes. And Exhibit 3 is described in your report if you've got 16 Q. 17 it handy as stain on back of rear passenger seat; is that correct? 18 19 That's correct. With respect to Exhibits 4 and 5, they are part of 20 21 exhibit for this case 688 shown on the screen there. And you 22 see the FSD Exhibits 4 and 5 there, ma'am? 23 Yes, I do. Α.

And those are described in your report as stain on rear

passenger seat under fabric with respect to item four and

24

25

VIII-23

stain on rear passenger door trim with respect to item 5; is that correct?

A. Yes, that's correct.

- Q. And finally, last item on this screen since it's on the screen is Exhibit 41 -- or item 41 I should say corresponding to Exhibit 689 for our case was identified as a water bottle; is that correct?
- 8 A. Yes, that's correct.
  - Q. So what analyses, starting with items 3, 4 and 5 as shown on Exhibit 449 here, ma'am, what testing analysis did you do on those swabs?
  - A. On items 3, 4 and 5 I first examined the swabs visually to see if they had any staining on them and recorded the staining that was present. And then I conducted our phenolphthalein test which is a presumptive test for the presence of blood.
  - Q. Go ahead, ma'am. I'm sorry.
    - A. The phenolphthalein test involves taking a small cutting or rubbing from an item, in this case from the swabs and then we add a series of three chemicals to the cutting. And if we very quickly see an intense pink color change, that is a positive result for the phenolphthalein test and it indicates the presence of blood. We say "indicates" because it's not a definitive test for the presence of blood. There are some other substances that can give false positives with this test,

VIII-24

- but it's a good indication again to us that this substance that has a reddish-brown color may, in fact, be blood. So it's a screening tool for us to help us often sometimes also differentiate between a reddish-brown stain that might be paint, or stain, or mud even with our wonderful Maryland clay that we have. So reddish, a reddish-brown stain might be blood and might not be. So it's a screening test we use to help us determine whether something could be a blood stain.
- Q. And you conducted those kinds of tests with respect to items 3, 4 and 5 which are parts of Exhibit 687 and 688 in our case?
- 12 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

- Q. And what determinations did you make, Ms. Kempton, with respect to items 3, 4 and 5 as seen on the screen here for the presence of blood?
  - A. I got a positive screening test for the presence of blood on items 3, 4 and 5. So I got a positive reaction, a positive color change on those items.
- Q. Now did you also create or did you examine the items for a DNA profile?
- A. Yes, I did. I took a cutting from each of the swabs and took that forward for DNA testing.
- Q. And what determinations did you make with respect to the DNA profile?
- 25 A. For each of these items, 3, 4 and 5 I obtained a single

VIII-25

- source DNA profile from one male individual and I obtained the same DNA profile for each of those items.
- Q. Now ultimately let me jump to another item on the far
  right of the screen. Did you also conduct analysis pertaining
  to what was designated as item 6 which is in evidence in our
- 6 case as Exhibit 683 for our case?
- 7 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Let me show you on the screen briefly. Do you see that item?
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. And again, it's labeled as FSD Exhibit Number 60, the chain of custody?
- 13 A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. According to the reports, what was item number 6 listed at per the reports? And give me a moment to bring it back. A moment, please, Your Honor. Back to Exhibit 449. What was
- item 6 that I showed you a moment ago?
- A. Item 6 was an Oral B toothbrush that was brought to the lab to be a deduced known for Noah Smothers. So it was presented to us as a toothbrush belonging to Noah Smothers

that we could use to get a DNA profile from that individual.

- Q. And were you able to develop a DNA profile for that
- 23 individual?

21

A. Yes, I was. I took a swab and I swabbed around the bristles on the toothbrush and used that to extract DNA and

VIII-26

obtain a DNA profile.

- Q. Now in terms of DNA profiles we see some numbers on our scene here in Exhibit 449. What is entailed in developing a DNA profile?
- A. DNA testing involves four steps. The first step is extraction. And that's where we take a cutting of material or a swab, something that we think is going to contain DNA and we add chemicals that break apart the cells, release the DNA and purify the DNA away from everything else, all the rest of the cellular debris and the swab, whatever is there.

The second step is called quantitation and that's where we take this DNA that we've purified and see how much human DNA did we get. It gives us -- it's human specific, so it tells us how much total DNA did we get. It also tells us what percentage of that DNA is from a male because that can help us make decisions about what kind of testing we might go forward with.

The third step is called amplification. And this is a copying process that allows us to take very small amounts of DNA and make millions of copies of it. In your cells, every time your cell divides to make new cells it has to copy the DNA for the new cells. And it does this by a process that's called polymerase chain reaction, PCR. It's an enzyme in your cells that makes a whole copy of your DNA every time they make a new cell so your new cell has the DNA it needs. We can use

Kempton - direct

VIII-27

that same process in the laboratory to make millions of copies of certain sections of the DNA, the 24 sections of DNA that we're looking at. This lets us take a very small amount of DNA. So like a DNA stain the size of a head of a pin will have enough DNA that we can make copies of what we're looking at to get a DNA profile. And then the fourth step is detection. That's when we take this DNA that we have copied, we use an instrument that's called a genetic analyzer, and it sorts out all these pieces of DNA that we have copied and gives us a DNA profile. And that's what we can use to compare profiles from items of evidence, to profiles from known individuals.

Now when we're talking about all these numbers that are on there, we specifically do a kind of testing that's called short tandem repeat or STR. There are sections of your DNA that have a small section of DNA that's repeated a number of times, one right after another. And what differs between different people is how many times you have this little section of DNA repeated.

And so a DNA profile is, in fact, a set of numbers. And each of those numbers at each DNA location refers to how many times this person or the stain from this evidence has these little sections repeated at each location that we look at. So that's in effect what all these numbers do.

Another thing about our testing is that it does not tell you anything physical about the person. We're not testing

VIII-28

anything that says that this person has brown hair or blue eyes or it's not the kind of testing that says this person's ancestors came from Germany. It's not any of that kind of testing. The only thing physical that I can tell from my profile is whether there's a male or a female.

One of the locations that's called amelogenin, for example in Exhibit 3, has an X and a Y. That's telling me that this profile is from a male. If it was a female it would just have an X there. But that's the only physical characteristic that I can tell you about the person who deposited this DNA.

- Q. So all of these numbers on the screen here for each of these items, are they each what examiners refer to as a DNA profile?
- 14 A. Yes, they are.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

24

- Q. Now as part of your examination -- in fact, let me ask
  you about one other item. Exhibit 41. Did you prepare a DNA
  profile for Exhibit 41, a swab from a water bottle using the
  process you just described?
- 19 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And with respect to Exhibit 41 -- and I'm making you jump
  a bit, Ms. Kempton, it will be in your 10/23/2022 report if
  that's useful -- you also made some findings and analyses
  about that item; is that correct?
  - A. Yes, I did.
    - Q. And for the record, it is Exhibit 689. Apart from the

VIII-29

1 profile, did you make any specific determinations about number 2 of contributors or things like that? 3 From item 41 I obtained a partial DNA profile from one 4 male individual. In this case I'm calling this profile 5 "partial" because I did not get results at all 24 of the 6 locations that we generally look at. There was a very low 7 amount of DNA that was obtained from the swab from the water 8 bottle. It was less than what we typically would call our 9 target amounts of DNA that we know will give us a full good 10 profile. So I didn't get results at all of the locations, but I did get enough results to show me that it was from a male, 11 12 that it appeared to be from a single source and that there was 13 enough of a profile there that I could say that it was 14 consistent with the profile that I got from Exhibits 3, 4, 5 15 and also from Exhibit 6. 16 And let me ask you about that. Did you then compare all Q. 17 of these DNA profiles, the items seen on the screen, the stain 18 on the back of the rear passenger seat, the swab from a stain 19 on the rear passenger seat under fabric, the swab from a stain 20 on the rear passenger door trim, a swab from a water bottle, 21 did you compare those profiles with each other and to the 22 profile from the Oral B toothbrush? 23 Yes, I did. Α. 24 And what findings did you make with respect to your 25 comparison?

VIII-30

- A. Those profiles were all consistent with each other.
- Q. Now what did you mean by "consistent with each other"?
- And using Exhibit 449, explain it to us. You may be able to
- 4 write on the screen if it's useful.
- 5 A. Which one is 449?
- 6 0.449 is the document--
- 7 A. Oh, the chart itself. I'm sorry, I was thinking it was
- 8 one of the items.

- 9 Q. No worries.
- 10 A. When we compare a DNA profile, profiles against each
- 11 | other, we look at each DNA location to see whether or not we
- 12 have gotten the same DNA types at each one of these locations.
- 13 So for example -- if you all have it in front of you, it's
- probably easier for me to just direct you to what lines I'm
- 15 looking at.
- 16 Q. You can write on the screen if you'd like. And feel free
- 17 to move the microphone a little bit closer to you. I know it's
- 18 | a little bit awkward, ma'am. If it's useful to use the screen,
- 19 go ahead.
- 20  $\blacksquare$  A. So for example, the first line going across, it's
- 21 designated the Locus D3S1358. And on each one of -- on each
- 22  $\parallel$  one of these locations, in all of these items, I obtained a
- 23 type 16.
- Now a little bit more about your genetics, you get half
- of your DNA from your mother and half of your DNA from your

Kempton - direct

VIII-31

father. So in this case you get one copy of chromosome 3 from your mother, one copy of chromosome 3 from your father. And you're either going to get the same type from each parent or a different type. It's kind of like A, B, O blood typing. If you get an A from one parent and a B from the other parent you'll be an AB. If you got an A from both parents you'll be an A. It's the same kind of thing. You can either get one type -- a different type from each parent or the same type.

So in any one individual at each of these DNA locations you're either going to have one number or two numbers, depending on whether you got the same type or a different type from each parent.

So at the first location I obtained a 16. So that's the DNA profile of these items at that location.

At the second location I obtained a 15, 18. So this person got a 15 from one parent and an 18 from the other parent. And if you look across for all the items on that row, everything has a 15, 18 except item 41, the water bottle. And that has a 15 dash. As I said about the water bottle, it was a very low amount of DNA that I got off of it and the dash means that there may be other genetic information at this location that I'm not seeing. Because the 15 was so low we kind of know that it might be a 15 something else that we're not detecting.

So that in itself, it's not inconsistent with the other items because the other items all have a 15, but it's so low I

VIII-32

may be missing information. So when you go down the column for number 41, you'll see that several of the locations have that dash.

- Q. I didn't mean to take away all your arrows, Ms. Kempton, I apologize.
- A. No, that's fine because they were going to get a little cluttered.

So the third line, D16 is what we call the location. I obtained a 12 from item 3, a 12 from item 4, a 12 from item 5. I called it a 12 dash for item 41 because again, it was very low. I saw a 12. There might be something else there, there might not be. But with very low data we allow for the possibility there might be something else there. And from item 6 I also got a 12.

So when we compare profiles, we go down each location individually and we go down the entire profile to see whether or not we got the same types at every location. And that's how we determine whether items could have come from the same source.

- Q. Now I'm not going to ask you all the rows here, but did you follow that same process for all the rows?
- A. Yes, I did.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q. And was there anything on these as shown in Exhibit 449 on this first page that caused you to find that these items came from different sources?

VIII-33

- A. No. All of these profiles are consistent with each other.
- Q. Now let me show you, move to page 2 of Exhibit 449. Do
  you also -- I believe I've already asked you if you recognize
  this page. Is this another batch of similar data from this
- 6 case?
- 7 A. Yes, it is.
- Q. All right. Let me show you some items, just bear with me,
  ma'am . Your items 9 and 10, I'm going to show you Exhibit 692
  for this trial. Do you see this Chain of Custody form in front
- of you, ma'am?
- 12 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. And FSD items 9/10 which are listed on Exhibit 692 are listed here as a swab of suspected stain from a spring recoil

tube on a Sig Sauer handgun; is that correct?

16 A. Yes.

- Q. And FSD item 10 on this exhibit is a swab of a stain from the inside barrel on a Sig Sauer handgun; is that correct?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Also I won't flip to the document, but another item from
  this page that I'll show you about is from Exhibit 694 for our
  case. Bear with me. Showing you 694, what your lab marked as
  item 14 was a swab from a stain from the magazine catch, slide
  catch and decocking lever of an item; is that correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

VIII-34

- Q. You also examined what your lab marked as Exhibit or as item 28 and in evidence as Exhibit 696. Taking a look at this item, do you recognize this item?
  - A. Yes, I do.

- Q. And this is a swab from a stain of the inner slide of a Sig Sauer handgun; is that correct?
- 7 A. That's correct.
  - Q. Let me jump back then to Exhibit 449. So using Exhibit 449 as a guide, could you please talk to us about the analyses and profile work you did for items 9, 10, 14 and 28 -- we can go through them one at a time -- which are in evidence as Exhibit 692, 694 and 696. And 9, 10 and 14 for your reference, ma'am, I think are in the 8/21, 2018 report.
  - A. Yes, they are. I'm also going to work off of my report to make sure that I get the conclusions exactly right.

First of all, the results from items 9, 10 and 14 are mixtures of DNA from more than one person. One way that we can—well, there are a couple ways that we can determine that a DNA profile is a mixture rather than being from a single person. When we get the data for these DNA profiles off of our genetic analyzer instrument, each of the items of data are peaks on a graph. They're essentially peaks on a graph. And these peaks have height. If we are just seeing DNA from a single person, those peaks will generally be about the same height. If we have some peaks that are much taller than

Kempton - direct

VIII-35

others, this may be an indication that we have DNA from more than one person where there's more DNA from one person than from another person, so there's a big variation in the height.

The other thing that can tell us that we have a mixture of DNA is how many DNA types we're seeing at each location. As I said before, any single person is going to have either one or two types at every DNA location because you either get the same one from both parents or a different one from each parent. So if we're seeing three or four or five types at a single DNA location, this is an obvious sign to us that we have DNA from more than one person in this mixture.

And when we have mixtures, there are a few different kinds of conclusions we can make. If there's a lot more DNA from one person we may be able to say there's a major contributor, so there's a lot more DNA from one person than anyone else in this mixture. And we can essentially treat a major contributor profile like it's a single source. We say this is the single source person that we have in this.

Sometimes there may be more DNA from one person, but it's not as much as a major contributor. In that case we may call a significant contributor. So it's more than one, but it's not standing out as much as a major. Sometimes we can't make any conclusions at all about a mixture because the types are too similar to one another, we may be missing some low-level genetic information, so we may not be able to make any kind of

VIII-36

conclusions at all.

With regard to items 9, 10 and 14, each of these was determined to be a mixture of DNA. In the case of 9 and 14 we said that there were at least two contributors including a major male. On item 10 there were at least three contributors. Again, there was a major male contributor in these items.

And what you see on this chart is, so for example, at the first location there's a 16 and then there's a dash in parentheses. The parentheses mean lower level. The 16 is the major person, parentheses means there's other stuff. In this case we didn't detect any other stuff, but because it's a mixture we're accounting for the fact that there's some other stuff there we're probably not detecting.

On number 10 at the first location there's a 16, then a 14/15 dash in parentheses. That says we have a lot of this person who is a type 16. We also see a 14 and a 15 much lower and there's probably also some other stuff we're not seeing. So that's what the parentheses and the dashes and everything mean.

So the numbers that are not in parentheses, that's the major contributor profile. And in each of these items we obtained a major contributor male profile.

Q. Now is it sometimes the case that you can prepare a full profile for one contributor, but you're not able to develop a

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

25

Kempton - direct

VIII-37

full profile for other contributors, ma'am? Or enough to do a comparison I should say.

- A. Yes. Sometimes you can -- you may not have enough to do a comparison. Sometimes you may not be able to determine the major contributor at every one of the 24 locations that we look at. Usually according to our protocols, in order to say someone is the major contributor there has to be at least four times as much DNA from that person as from anybody else in the mixture. So their peaks have to be at least four times as tall as anybody else's peaks in this data. If we don't have that much of a separation at a particular location, then we won't call a major contributor at that particular location, but we still have a major contributor in the overall profile.
- Q. So let me ask you about the Sig Sauer recoil tube item which is on the screen which is one of the swabs in Exhibit 692. What profile and observations and conclusions did you make with respect to that item?
- 18 A. This is my Exhibit 9, right?
- Q. Yes, I'm sorry. It's on the screen in front of you. It will be listed as item 9.
- A. So from Exhibit 9 I obtained a major contributor DNA

  profile at all of the locations that I tested. And that DNA

  profile was consistent with the profile from the deduced known

  of Noah Smothers, the profile from the toothbrush.
  - Q. And again, in terms of the process of making those

VIII-38

- comparisons, is it similar to what you've already testified about with respect to the other items?
  - A. Exactly. We look at what types were gotten at each location and we look at all of the locations to see whether or not the types are consistent.
  - Q. How about item 10 on your screen, the Sig Sauer inside barrel which for the record is corresponding to trial exhibit 692?
  - A. I obtained a major contributor profile from this item at all of the locations except one. There was one location where I could not pull out the major contributor. But at all of the other locations there was a major male contributor that was consistent with the profile from item 6, the toothbrush.
  - Q. Ms. Kempton, I should ask you, all of the items you're talking about were tested in your lab by either you or one of your colleagues; is that correct?
- 17 A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- Q. And talking about "I" or "the lab" generally, are you referring to both the work you may have done as well as work done by your colleague?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. And forgive me, that was item 10?
- 23 A. That was 10.
- Q. And I'm sorry if I missed it, did you do a comparison of the Sig Sauer inside barrel with the toothbrush that we've

VIII-39

discussed previously?

- A. Yes, and that was -- the major contributor from item 10 was consistent with the profile from the toothbrush.
- Q. And how about what is listed here as item 14, Sig Sauer levers which corresponds to our trial Exhibit 694?
- 6 A. I obtained a major contributor profile from that item.
- 7 The major contributor was distinguished at all of those
- locations that we tested and that major contributor profile is the same as the profile from the toothbrush.
- Q. Did you receive swabs from the Sig Sauer inner slide corresponding to your item 28 which is part of Trial Exhibit
- 13 A. Yes.

696?

12

16

17

18

19

25

- Q. And what findings did you reach with respect to that item?
  - A. I obtained a single source male profile from the swab from the inner slide and I compared that profile to the profile from the toothbrush and those profiles were consistent.
- Q. Did you conduct any kind of blood analysis for that item, the Sig Sauer inner slide, ma'am?
- A. Yes, I did. I performed our screening test for blood on the swab from the inner slide and I got a positive result in my test for blood.
  - Q. Now one of the things that the lab will sometimes do and

VIII-40

you did in this case are to do comparisons against known reference samples; is that correct?

A. That's correct.

- Q. You've referred to the toothbrush a couple times today as a deduced known. Can you tell the jury what you mean by a deduced known?
- A. A deduced known means that we don't have a DNA sample that we know specifically to be from this person. When we get a known standard, usually they are oral swabs. So someone has gone up to the person whose DNA we need, you take a swab which essentially looks like a long Q-tip, swab the inside of the mouth, package it, seal it up, maintain a chain of custody so we call it a known standard. It was witnessed that this swab came out of this person's mouth, so we call it a known standard from that person.

In this case we were given a toothbrush that was said to belong to Noah Smothers, but it's not an actual known in terms of having been physically taken from that person at that time. So we treat that a little bit separately in our laboratories. We call it a deduced known. It's given to us saying that this is from this person, but it doesn't have the same identification ability as a swab that was physically taken from a person's mouth.

Q. You also received some particular reference samples from identified people in the case which were marked as items for

VIII-41

your lab; is that correct, ma'am?

A. That's correct.

- Q. And again, let me ask you some specifics. Item 7 which I believe is in evidence as Exhibit 702 was a law enforcement
- 5 reference sample. Does that sound correct, ma'am?
- 6 A. Yes.
- Q. Item 13 which I believe is in evidence as 703 was a known reference sample from an individual named Scott Williams. Does that sound correct?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- Q. Your item 29 which is in evidence as Exhibit 704 is a known reference sample from Taeyan Williams. Does that sound correct?
- 14 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 15 | O. And items 35 and 36 --
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. --are known reference samples from Evan Smothers and from Cole Smothers, so that's correct?
- 19 A. 35 is from Cole Smothers, 36 from Evan Smothers, yes.
- Q. Apologies, I had those numbers mixed up. Sorry about that. Is that correct? A moment, please, Your Honor.
- So did you conduct any kind of testing with your items with respect to the reference samples I just rattled off?
- A. Yes, I did. I obtained DNA profiles from all of those reference standards and compared them to the profiles that I

VIII-42

1 had obtained from the items of evidence that I had tested.

- Q. And let me ask you about Exhibits 3, 4 and 5 and 41. What
- were your conclusions with respect to the reference samples I
- 4 just rattled off? And I'll ask you about a particular couple.
- 5 Did you do comparisons for the law enforcement reference
- 6 sample and Scott Williams with respect to item 3, the swab
- 7 | from the stain in the back side of the rear passenger seat?
  - A. Give me a moment to find that in my report.
- 9 Q. Of course. Your 8/21/2018 report.
- 10 A. Right. Yes, I did do these comparisons and with regards
- 11 to items 3, 4 and 5, law enforcement elimination standard one
- and Scott Williams were excluded as being the source of the
- profile from items 3, 4 and 5.

- 14 Q. Did you conduct a similar test for the known sample from
- 15 | Taeyan Williams? And I don't want to have to jump around too
- much, Ms. Kempton, that was in your 12/4/2019 report.
- 17 **THE COURT:** Mr. Hanlon could you check your
- microphone and just make sure you're near it and it's on?
- 19 MR. HANLON: Yes. I'm so sorry, Your Honor.
- 20 **THE COURT:** Thank you.
- 21 **THE WITNESS:** Yes. I obtained a profile from the
- 22 standard from Taeyan Williams, compared that to the profiles
- obtained from items 3, 4 and 5. Taeyan Williams was excluded
- as the source of that DNA profile.
- 25 BY MR. HANLON:

VIII-43

1 And did you also do a reference for the known DNA swabs Q. 2 from Cole Smothers and Evan Smothers that I asked you about a 3 moment ago referencing your April 5, 2020 report? 4 Yes. Cole Smothers and Evan Smothers were also both Α. 5 excluded as the source of the DNA from items 3, 4 and 5. 6 With respect to items 4 and 5, did you do similar 7 reference checks for the law enforcement reference sample for 8 Scott Williams, for Taeyan Williams, for Cole Smothers and 9 Evan Smothers and again reach exclusion conclusions for those 10 various items? 11 Yes. All of the other individuals that were tested were 12 excluded as the source of the DNA from those items. 13 And similar with the same result with respect to Exhibit 14 41 on your list, the water bottle swab? 15 Yes. All of the individuals that I tested were excluded 16 as the source of the DNA from the water bottle. 17 Did you do similar reference checks with respect to your Q. items 9, 10, 14, 28 on the second page of Exhibit 449? 18 19 Yes, I did. And all of the other individuals that I 20 tested were excluded as the source of -- well, in the case 21 when I had a mixture, they're excluded as the major contributor of the DNA from items 9, 10 and 14 and they were 22 excluded as the source of DNA from item 28. 23 24 And let me ask you about that on that contributor issue. 25 You've testified previously that there were multiple

VIII-44

contributors for some of these items; is that correct?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. With respect to the major contributor for each of these items, you've already testified about those today; is that right?
- 6 A. Yes.

2

7

8

9

- Q. With respect to the other contributors, the ones who were not the major contributors for all of these items, did you have enough genetic information? Was there enough DNA to be able to reach a conclusion one way or the other about who might be the source for the non-major contributor of DNA on
- might be the source for the non-major contributor of DNA o those items?
- A. In each of those cases where I had mixtures, I can't make
  any conclusions about the minor portions of those profiles.

  They're very low level. There's probably genetic information
  that I'm not detecting. So the minor portions of those
  profiles aren't suitable for comparison to anyone. So I can't
- make any kind of conclusions about who might or might not be
- minor contributors in those profiles.
- Q. And in the case of instances where there was only one contributor seen on the items, you've testified about your comparisons with the toothbrush; is that correct?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- Q. Did you also conduct an examination of what was listed -it's not on the screen, but an item 20 in your lab which is in

1

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Kempton - direct

evidence as Court's Exhibit 699, a swab from a duffle bag?

VIII-45

2 And it will be referenced in your 8/21/2018 report. 3 Yes, I did. I examined a swab from the tech support 4 duffle bag. 5 And what conclusions did you make about the swab from the 6 tech support duffle bag? 7 From the duffle bag I obtained a DNA profile from at 8 least four contributors. Again, I can tell that by counting up 9 how many types I have at any one DNA location. So if I have 10 eight types somewhere, then I know there has to be at least 11 four people in this mixture. And I was able to determine that 12 there was a significant contributor present in that DNA

In this case there was -- there were at least two males present and there was a significant contributor. And the profile from the toothbrush could not be excluded as that significant contributor to the profile from item 20.

profile. As I said before, significant contributor means that

there's one person who's present at higher levels, but it's

not so much that I can call out like a single source major.

- Q. Now you've talked about how items could be consistent with each other and how items could be excluded from each other. What's the difference between those types of things and two items that cannot be excluded from each other?
- A. Can't be excluded is a term that we would use when we can't say that it's specifically coming from a specific person

VIII-46

- 1 or a specific single source. It's very similar to consistent, 2 though, in this case. Can't be excluded means that all of the 3 types that are present from the significant contributor are 4 also present in the profile that I got from the toothbrush. 5 So there's nothing in there that would exclude them from being 6 from the same source, but it's not sufficient to make a single 7 identification. 8 You also did some exclusion comparisons with respect to 9 the swab from that duffle bag; is that correct? 10 Α. That's correct. 11 And did you do an exclusion comparison for the law 12 enforcement reference sample and Scott Williams, for example? 13 The law enforcement standard and Scott Williams 14 were both excluded as the significant contributor to the swab
- 16 0. And how about Cole Smothers and Evan Smothers?

from the duffle bag.

15

22

23

- A. They were also excluded as that significant contributor to the duffle bag.
- Q. Just one moment, please, Ms. Kempton. Did you also do a comparison with the known reference for Taeyan Williams with respect to the tech support duffle bag?
  - A. Yes, I did. Taeyan Williams was also excluded as the significant contributor to the DNA profile from the duffle bag.
- 25 Q. Now let me ask you a couple of more questions in a more

VIII-47

1 summary way. There was -- did you receive a variety of other 2 items that you examined in this case either for DNA profiles 3 or to make a determination as to whether or not comparisons 4 could be done between those profiles and other items? 5 Yes, I did. Α. 6 Let me ask you about a few batches of material. Did you 7 examine a swab from a rear passenger seat release lever which is marked by your lab as Exhibit 1 and I believe is in 8 9 evidence as Court's Exhibit 687? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Did you also review an item 17, Court's Exhibit 698, a 12 swab from a Beretta handgun? 13 Α. Yes. 14 And again, not that these items have anything to do with 15 each other, but what general conclusions did you reach about 16 those items? 17

- A. From my Exhibit 1 and Exhibit 17, I obtained a DNA profile from at least three contributors, including at least one male contributor. But these mixtures were not suitable for comparison. Because of the nature of the mixture, I could not compare those profiles to any known individuals and make any conclusions about inclusion or exclusion.
- Q. Why is it that there's sometimes not enough to make a comparison? What makes a sample unsuitable?

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 A. If we have DNA from a lot of individuals that are all

VIII-48

around the same level as each other, then we can't make a comparison because we don't know what specific types might be coming from which person. If I have -- at one location if I have an 11, 12, 13, 14 and 15 and they're all around the same height, I can't say that that 13 is coming from this specific person or that 14 is coming from another specific person. So since we can't pull out individual types that could be coming from an individual person, we just -- we can't make a comparison.

Also, if they're very low level we may be missing some of that genetic information, not detecting it. So the fact that there's some -- might be some types that we're not detecting also makes it unsuitable for us to compare to any individuals.

Q. Let me rattle off another list of items for you. Your items 2, 8, 11, 12, 16 and 22, which I believe reference our Exhibits 687, 693, 692 and 697 and they are swab from a front seat adjustment lever, swab of stains from a Sig Sauer above the phrase P228, swab of black magazine, swabs from grips and trigger, swab from six cartridge magazines, and swabs from a license plate and license plate screws. Did you conduct analyses of swabs from those items?

A. Yes, I did. And for each of those items I obtained a

individuals.

partial DNA profile from one or more contributors and those

profiles also were not suitable for comparison to any known

VIII-49

- Q. For the same reasons as before?
- A. Yes.

1

- 3 Q. How about items 15, 18 and 21 corresponding to I believe
- 4 Exhibit 695, 698 and 699, swab from both sides of a slide of a
- 5 handgun, slides from a Bryco handgun and swabs from a JP Sport
- 6 duffle bag. And if I have these numbers messed up, Ms.
- 7 Kempton, my apologies. But did you conduct analyses on swabs
- 8 from those items?
- 9 A. Those items were tested. Each of those items gave a
- 10 partial profile from at least two contributors. At least one
- 11 of those contributors was male and those mixtures were not
- 12 suitable for comparison.
- 13 Q. How about your items 19 -- your item 19 corresponding to
- 14 Exhibit 698, a swab from an AK-47?
- 15 A. I obtained a partial DNA profile from at least three
- 16 contributors. At least two of those contributors were male,
- 17 but I could not make any other conclusions from that mixture
- 18 because it was, again, not suitable for comparison to any
- 19 individuals.
- 20  $\parallel$  Q. Did you examine swabs from item or items 37, 38, 39 and
- 21 40, corresponding to Exhibits 691 and 690, basically some
- 22 knives and knife-related handles and blades, things like that?
- 23 A. Yes, I did examine those items and performed DNA testing
- on them. Items 37, 38 and 40 -- excuse me. I obtained human
- and male DNA from items Exhibit 37, 38 and 40 in my

VIII-50

1 quantitations, however the amount of DNA that I got from those 2 was so low that I didn't take them forward for DNA testing. I 3 just stopped because our studies have shown that I would not 4 get usable profiles from those items. Item 39 I did take 5 forward for DNA testing. I obtained a partial DNA profile -- I 6 obtained a partial DNA profile from one male contributor. That 7 profile was suitable for comparison. However, all of the 8 individuals that I tested, so that's the profile from the 9 toothbrush, law enforcement elimination standard one, Scott 10 Williams, Taeyan Williams, Cole Smothers and Evan Smothers are 11 all excluded as the source of that DNA profile from item 39. 12 Ο. Which is one of the knife items; is that correct? 13 That's the swab of the blade from the black and 14 silver folding pocketknife. 15 Now Ms. Kempton, I've had you jump around to various 16 reports today on various dates and my apologies for that. 17 Sometimes the reports would reference some of the same items 18 that were referenced in previous reports; is that correct? 19 That's correct. 20 Is it correct that if you reference item 5 and its 21 comparison to item 6 in one report, you might reference item 5 22 for a different comparison later on, but you're not 23 necessarily going to repeat all of your reports in every 24 single report; is that fair to say? 25 That's correct. Because of -- because I had several

VIII-51

1 different reports in this, whenever I got a new person, a new 2 person standard and analyzed that, I then went back and 3 compared it to the profiles that I had previously tested that 4 I could compare it to. So in each of my later reports, if 5 there's a known person, a new known person, I would compare 6 that person's profile against evidence profiles that had 7 already been reported in the previous reports. 8 So series reports, do any of them change the summary of 9 conclusions that we've been talking about in Exhibit 449 10 today? 11 Α. No. 12 And, in fact, the items in 449, are they basically copies 13 from a couple of different spots in a couple of different 14 reports? 15 Yes, they are. They're a summary from tables in several 16 reports. 17 Now going back to Exhibit 449, let me ask you about one final thing. Do you ever conduct statistical analysis as part 18 19 of your work? 20 Yes, we do. When we have a DNA profile from an item of 21 evidence, we can do a statistical calculation that estimates 22 how common or rare we would expect that particular profile to 23 be in different population groups. So specifically it's okay,

I have this DNA profile. How likely is it if I picked a random

person off the street that this person could have the same

24

VIII-52

1 profile that I have gotten from this item of evidence? 2 do this by using a statistical database that has the 3 frequencies for all of the different DNA types that I might 4 get from a various -- in a particular profile. And using those 5 frequencies we come up with a frequency for the overall 6 profile. And that is how rare would I expect this profile to 7 be in the population groups that we look at which are U.S. 8 Caucasians, African Americans, and U.S. Hispanics so I can 9 take this profile that I have and do a calculation for the 10 frequency of the overall profile. 11 Now did you do that statistical comparison calculation Ο. 12 with respect to your conclusions with respect to the exhibits 13 listed here, your items 3, 4, 5, 6, 9, 14 and 28 with the 14 toothbrush? 15 Α. Yes, I did. 16 And what were the statistical conclusions that you 17 reached with respect to those items? 18 So with respect to the profile that I obtained from these 19 items, and when it's a mixture this is referring to the major 20 contributor profile, the probabilities of selecting an individual at random that would be consistent with that DNA 21 22 profile are approximately 1 in 250 septillion U.S. Caucasians; 1 in 13 nonillion African Americans, and 1 in 530 septillion 23 24 U.S. Hispanics. 25 Now Ms. Kempton, for those of us who aren't super great

VIII-53

- 1 with numbers, can you tell us how many zeros there would be in 2 250 septillion? 3 Α. So 250 septillion would be 250 followed by 24 zeros. 4 How about nonillion? Q. 5 Nonillion would have 30 zeros. Α. 6 Did you conduct a similar statistical analysis with Q. 7 respect to Exhibit 10, swab from a stain inside the barrel? 8 Yes, I did. 9 And what statistical conclusions did you reach? Ο. 10 Because Exhibit 10 was the one where there was one Α. 11 location where I couldn't call a major contributor, so I can't 12 include that location in the statistic for the overall 13 So these numbers are a little different than the 14 ones I mentioned for the other items. But with respect to 15 Exhibit 10, the probability of selecting a random that would 16 be consistent with that major contributor profile are 1 in 62 17 septillion U.S. Caucasians, 1 in 1.2 nonillion African Americans, and one in 150 septillion U.S. Hispanics. 18 19 And I already asked you about septillion and nonillion; 20 is that correct? 21 Yes. Α. Q. Finally, did you do a similar statistical analysis with respect to the swab of an empty Deer Park water bottle?
- 22 23
- 24 Yes, I did. Α.
- 25 Let me exit out real quick, ma'am, making it a little bit

VIII-54

1 bigger. Can you tell us about your statistical analysis for 2 the Deer Park water bottle swab? 3 Yes. In this case the Deer Park bottle was -- I got a 4 partial profile. Because it had low levels of DNA I didn't 5 get results at all of the DNA locations. So this -- the 6 statistical calculation for that profile is only at the 7 locations where I obtained the full DNA profile of that 8 contributor. And in this case using the Loci where I obtained 9 the full profile, the probability of selecting an individual 10 at random consistent with that profile are 1 in 4.3 11 quadrillion U.S. Caucasian; one in 25 quintillion African 12 Americans; and one in 6.3 quadrillion U.S. Hispanics. 13 And it's a little blurry there, Ms. Kempton, I apologize 14 for that. Are you able to tell us how many zeros there would 15 be in a quadrillion? 16 Quadrillion would have 15 zeros following the number. Α. 17 And how about quintillion? Q. Quintillion has 18 zeros. 18 Α. 19 Ms. Kempton, do you know offhand the approximate 20 population of the United States? 21 The U.S. population is approaching 350 million, I 22 believe. Is a million -- strike that. Do you know offhand the 23 Q. 24 approximate population of the world? 25 That's about 8 billion.

VIII-55

1 A moment, please. Your Honor, I believe that's all my Q. 2 questions on direct examination. 3 THE COURT: Okay, thank you. Who wants to go first? 4 MR. GUILLAUME: Your Honor, I'll ask brief 5 questions. 6 THE COURT: Mr. Guillaume. 7 CROSS-EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. GUILLAUME: 9 Good morning, ma'am. Q. 10 Α. Good morning. 11 I just have a few questions for you just to clarify a 0. 12 couple of things. You talked about known standards in this 13 case? 14 That's correct. Α. 15 In this case you had a known -- you had a number of known 16 samples; is that correct? 17 Α. Yes. A known sample would be as you explained, if I submitted 18 19 to an oral swab and then you had my DNA on the swab 20 thereafter, correct? 21 Correct. Α. 22 Q. And then you would take that swab and be able to test it 23 against other items that may or may not have my DNA; is that 24 right? 25 That's right.

Kempton - cross

VIII-56

- 1 And using me as an example, when you take my swab, the Q. 2 DNA of course is invisible to the human eye; is that right? 3 Α. Yes. 4 And that swab when it's in the lab, am I correct -- I Q. 5 want to make sure I understand this correctly -- has literally 6 millions of my DNA or the material that makes DNA -- I'm 7 sorry, I'm not using scientific terms -- on it? 8 Yes. So it would have your cells and each cell is going 9 to have a full copy of your DNA. And a swab from your mouth 10 is going to have many, many cells, yes. 11 So there's more than enough material there on my swab for 0. 12 you to test it repeatedly, if necessary; is that right? 13 Α. That's correct.
  - Q. Okay. And that doesn't -- that swab doesn't have a shelf life? In other words, the DNA -- I know there's a variety of conditions that can determine that, but in theory under the right conditions my DNA will remain on that swab indefinitely, correct?
  - A. Yes. DNA is generally very stable.
- Q. Including hundreds, thousands, and millions of years, in theory?
- 22 A. Theoretically, yes.

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. Okay. And for example -- just one or two more questions.
- In that example that I just gave, when you go back to that
- 25 swab to test against whatever item, just so I make sure I

Kempton - cross

VIII-57

1 understand your testimony from earlier, if I am excluded from 2 an item it means that my DNA is not present on that item at 3 all, correct? 4 Α. It means that the profile that I detected, that you are 5 excluded as the source of that, yes. 6 Okay, yes. Let me try to understand you correctly. And Q. 7 if, for example, you do not have a standard to work from, you 8 need -- I guess let me ask the question this way. You need a 9 standard from which to work from whether it be known or in the 10 other example you gave, I forgot the term you used, but let's 11 just stick with the knowns to make it more simple. If you 12 don't know that it's my known standard and you test an item 13 and I'm on the items but if you don't have my standard, then I 14 would show up in a test as unknown; is that right? 15 Α. That's correct. 16 Okay, thank you. No further questions. Q. 17 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Hawks? 18 MR. HAWKS: Thank you. 19 CROSS-EXAMINATION 20 BY MR. HAWKS: 21 Ma'am, just following up on that, you talked about each 22 cell has a complete copy. Each human cell has a complete copy 23 of that person's DNA? 24 Most of our cells, yes. Cells that have a nucleus do. Α. 25 And a human cell, I think we all know this, but a human

Kempton - cross/redirect

VIII-58

cell is microscopic. It's extremely tiny? 1 2 Α. That's correct. 3 MR. HAWKS: Thank you. Nothing further. 4 THE COURT: Okay. Any redirect, Mr. Hanlon? 5 MR. HANLON: Briefly, Your Honor. 6 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. HANLON: 8 Ms. Kempton, I want to make sure I understand something 9 about exclusion. You were asked a couple of questions about 10 this on cross-examination. 11 MR. HAWKS: Your Honor, object as it's beyond the 12 scope. 13 Well, I think Mr. Guillaume mentioned THE COURT: 14 exclusion, so let's see where it goes. Thank you. Overruled. 15 BY MR. HANLON: 16 When dealing with excluding reference samples with a Q. 17 sample that has multiple contributors, there were several instances in this case where you had a major contributor and 18 19 some non-major contributors; is that correct? 20 Α. Correct. 21 With respect to some of the -- with respect to the 22 exclusions you did, I believe you testified that you excluded 23 various people in this case as the major contributors? 24 Α. Yes. 25 MR. HAWKS: Your Honor, I object to the form of the

Kempton - redirect

VIII-59

1 question. 2 THE COURT: Leading? Okay, sustained. Why don't you 3 rephrase. 4 BY MR. HANLON: 5 With respect to the multiple contributor cases, were you 6 able to reach any conclusions, whether exclusion, comparison, 7 anything with respect to the DNA that was not coming from the 8 major contributors in those cases? 9 MR. HAWKS: Your Honor, I just object. Confusing. 10 THE COURT: Overruled. The jury can decide. 11 BY MR. HANLON: 12 That may be the case, Ms. Kempton, but hopefully you 13 understand my question. 14 In the cases where I had mixtures with major contributor 15 and minor contributor, the minor contributor portions, whether 16 it be from maybe one person or multiple people, they were not 17 suitable for a comparison to anyone. So I could not say 18 whether any person could or could not have been part of that 19 minor contributor portion of those profiles. 20 MR. HANLON: Thank you, ma'am. Thank you, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Anything just on that from defense 22 counsel? 23 MR. GUILLAUME: No, Your Honor. 24 MR. HAWKS: No, sir. 25 THE COURT: All right, Ms. Kempton, thank you so

much for coming to testify. We appreciate it and you are free 1 2 to step out now. Thank you. 3 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. 4 (Witness, excused.) 5 THE COURT: Is the next witness a long or a short 6 witness? 7 Not super long, Your Honor. MR. HANLON: Not -- I 8 would say not five minutes, but not lengthy. Somewhere in the 9 middle. I'm sorry, Your Honor, somewhere in the middle. 10 THE COURT: I think we've learned from our jury that 11 they would rather sort of take breaks earlier even though 12 there may be a long stretch after that break before we get to 13 lunch, so just so everyone understands that. Okay, so why 14 don't we take the mid-morning break now. 15 There is as I was just alluding to, I think some 16 scheduling issues that will mean that we're going to take the 17 next section up until not quite, but close to 1:00 and so 18 probably be a couple hours when you come back. So everyone 19 understanding that, we'll see you back here in 15 minutes. 20 Again, don't discuss the case among yourselves, just keep an 21 open mind. We'll see you back here in 15 minutes. Thank you. 22 (Jury exited the courtroom at 10:39 a.m.) 23 THE COURT: Thank you, everyone. Please be seated. 24 Just to clarify, Mr. Hanlon, the next witness, is it -- who is 25 it?

1 MR. HANLON: It is Romy Franco, Your Honor. 2 another DNA examiner. She will testify about the parent/child 3 comparison. She examined Noah Smothers' parents' DNA, compared 4 them to the toothbrush profile. THE COURT: So not examining the samples from the 5 6 Kia or the firearm? 7 MR. HANLON: That's correct. 8 **THE COURT:** Mr. Crawley? 9 MR. CRAWLEY: I'm just trying to figure out why we 10 can't stipulate to some of this, Your Honor. I'm just having 11 a hard time with that, but I'm just trying to figure out. 12 THE COURT: Sure. Well, I mean, it sounds like it's 13 not lengthy apparently and then -- I'm not -- honestly, I'm 14 not an expert on this stuff obviously. I'm not totally sure. 15 What is going to -- what's her conclusion going to be? 16 MR. HANLON: Her conclusion was that the profile 17 from the toothbrush was consistent with a parent/child 18 relationship with the known reference sample from the two 19 parents. 20 THE COURT: I see. Okay, but not with respect to the 21 brothers, that analysis wasn't done? 22 MR. HANLON: The brothers were analyzed as part of 23 our last witness' testimony as those various exclusion 24 samples, Your Honor. 25 THE COURT: And maybe I, again, this may or may not

matter because I don't think there was anything specifically in evidence, but just out of curiosity, so obviously there has been no claim that any of the brothers were in the cars. Was it just to say that they weren't in these locations or does that have something to do, again, with being able to be more or less likely that it was Noah Smothers that was there?

MR. HANLON: So Your Honor, the reference samples from Cole Smothers and Evan Smothers were compared against the toothbrush. It's in one of the reports. I'm not sure I covered it in as much detail as I should have with the witness, but it's in the reports that are in evidence. And they were excluded as the toothbrush contributors, if memory serves.

THE COURT: So for example, between the two you'll show that the toothbrush person is probably -- or there's a likelihood of being a child of the parents, but not the brothers.

MR. HANLON: Correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I got you. Okay. Well again, I mean, on the one hand, Mr. Crawley, maybe you're not disagreeing with it, on the other hand generally speaking within reason, obviously either side has the right to either choose to stipulate or not and so that's where we are.

MR. HANLON: Your Honor, just so the Court is aware
we did -- Mr. Crawley did raise the possibility of

stipulations yesterday. And we did discuss it with the 1 2 defense. A few weeks ago we had sort of talked about various 3 stipulations and the DNA and we hadn't really been able to 4 reach a resolution. So I appreciate the defense's willingness 5 to stipulate. We already had the witness coming in and what I 6 will try to do is move quickly. If it's acceptable to the 7 Court and the defense I will provide the witness with a copy 8 of her report as a reference sample for lack of a better word, 9 and perhaps we can move through it relatively quickly. 10 THE COURT: Okay, no. Again, we're ahead of 11 schedule. Both sides have the right to either want to have the 12 live testimony or not within reason, of course, so we'll hear 13 from them. We'll see you all back here in 15 minutes. 14 MR. HANLON: Thank you, Your Honor. 15 (Recess was taken from 10:42 to 10:59 a.m.) 16 THE COURT: So I think we can have the next witness 17 come into the courtroom if she's not already here. MR. HANLON: She is, Your Honor. 18 19 THE COURT: And we can bring the jury in. 20 (Jury reentered the courtroom at 1:00 p.m.) 21 THE COURT: Good morning, again. Please be seated. 22 So we're ready to continue with another witness. Mr. Hanlon. 23 MR. HANLON: Your Honor, the United States calls Ms. 24 Romy Franco to the stand. 25 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please come forward to the

VIII-64

witness stand, remaining standing and raise your right hand. 1 2 (Witness, sworn.) 3 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: You may be seated, please. 4 Speak clearly into the microphone. Please state your first 5 and last name. 6 THE WITNESS: My name is Romy Franco. 7 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: And please spell your first 8 and last name for the record. 9 THE WITNESS: R-o-m-y F-r-a-n-c-o. 10 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Thank you. 11 DIRECT EXAMINATION 12 BY MR. HANLON: 13 Good morning, Ms. Franco. 0. 14 Α. Good morning. 15 Q. What do you do for a living, ma'am? 16 I'm a senior forensic analyst and assistant technical 17 leader at the University of North Texas Center for Human Identification in Fort Worth, Texas. 18 19 And what are your duties? What do you do in that 20 position? 21 As a senior analyst, I examine evidence such as skeletal 22 remains and select samples for DNA testing. I perform 23 autosomal, STR, YSTR and mitochondrial DNA testing. I analyze 24 genetic data. I write and review laboratory reports and I 25 enter DNA profiles into a DNA database maintained by the FBI

Franco - direct

VIII-65

1 laboratory, and I provide expert witness testimony.

- Q. How long have you been in your current position?
- A. I've been at the Center for Human Identification for almost eight years.
  - Q. Could you tell us a little bit, Ms. Franco, about your training and educational background?
    - A. I have a bachelor of science in genetics from Texas A & M University and a master of science in forensic genetics from the University of North Texas Health Science Center in Fort Worth, Texas. Prior to joining the Center for Human Identification I was a DNA analyst at a private DNA testing laboratory in Dallas, Texas called Cellmark Forensics for ten years. It was also known as Orchid Cellmark prior to a name change. I received on-the-job training prior to working on any case work samples at Cellmark and that training was approximately, like, six months and I specialized in mitochondrial DNA testing. And prior to working any case work at the Center for Human Identification I also had to undergo training which involved working mock samples through the laboratory and analyzing practice data before I was competent and signed off to be a qualified DNA analyst.
    - Q. Ms. Franco, what is the University of North Texas Center for Human Identification?
  - A. We are a laboratory that provides DNA testing services for law enforcement agencies within the state of Texas and we

VIII-66

1 also have a unit that performs anthropological analysis on 2 skeletal remains samples. We have a forensic unit that 3 performs DNA testing on cases from sexual assaults, to 4 homicides, to burglaries. And then I'm a member of the 5 missing persons unit where we perform DNA testing on 6 unidentified human remain samples such as bones, teeth, hair, 7 blood, and we also perform DNA testing on known samples from 8 family members that have a missing person. 9 Now I'm going to ask you about that in a moment, but let 10 me ask you a couple of questions about yourself first. You 11 mentioned you've testified in trials or hearings; is that 12 correct? 13 I have previous expert witness testimony, yes. 14 And you've testified as an expert witness; is that Ο. 15 correct? 16 Α. Yes. 17 In what fields have you been qualified by Courts as an 18 expert witness? 19 In DNA. Α. 20 Can you tell us just approximately how many DNA 21 examinations that you've worked on during the course of your 22 time with the University of North Texas Center for Human Identification? 23 24 I've worked thousands of cases.

MR. HANLON: Your Honor, the United States would

VIII-67

1 tender Ms. Romy Franco as an expert witness in the field of 2 DNA analysis. 3 MR. HAWKS: No objection, Your Honor. 4 MR. GUILLAUME: No objection. 5 THE COURT: Okay, Ms. Franco is qualified as an 6 expert witness in DNA analysis. 7 MR. HANLON: Thank you, Your Honor. 8 BY MR. HANLON: 9 Ms. Franco, can you tell us a little bit about the 10 specific issues of DNA analysis as it pertains to missing 11 persons cases or family reference samples? 12 In our unit we are looking to help in the 13 identification of unknown samples from human origin. And the 14 majority of these samples that we test are bone samples, 15 skeletal samples. And if a family member has a missing person, 16 we can also test known samples from those family members as 17 well. And our purpose is to try to find a DNA genetic association between unidentified profiles obtained from 18 19 skeletal samples, as well as those known samples. 20 How would you normally, if a person was not missing, how 21 do you obtain a known DNA sample from a person? 22 A known DNA sample is a sample that is voluntarily 23 collected and submitted from a family member and it's usually 24 a swabbing of the inside of their cheek.

In the cases of missing persons, however, are there other

VIII-68

ways to obtain information about a missing person by family reference samples?

- A. We can -- by using family reference samples we can establish a possible biological relationship between an unknown sample and the known samples from family members.
- Q. Does your organization sometimes or has it in the past provided assistance to law enforcement agencies in Texas or outside of Texas?
- A. Yes. Currently we are only testing samples within the state of Texas due to funding, but in the past we had federal funding that allowed us to test samples outside of the state of Texas.
- Q. And was it providing assistance to an out-of-state law enforcement agency that brings you to court today?
- A. Yes, it is.

- Q. What were you asked to do or your organization, what were you asked to do in connection with this case that you're here to testify about?
- A. So we received samples from two family members that had a missing relative and those two family reference samples were submitted to us from Maryland State Police. And the reference samples that came to us was a sample from Keri Doyle, mother of the missing person, Noah Smothers, and another sample that was submitted to us from Roger L. Smothers representing the father of a missing person, Noah Walter Smothers.

Franco - direct

VIII-69

- Q. And what did your organization or you do upon receiving these family reference samples?
  - A. These samples are received by our evidence unit and they log in the case, fill out the essential paperwork and then assign these samples a unique identifier that follows that sample throughout the entire laboratory process.
  - Q. Now at some point did you prepare DNA profiles for those family reference samples?
  - A. Yes. So those samples were cut and then we performed autosomal STR testing on both samples and then we also performed mitochondrial DNA testing on the sample of the mother from the missing person, Keri A. Doyle and we obtained genetic profiles from those samples. And we were asked to compare those profiles to a profile that was submitted to us from the Maryland State Police.
  - Q. Now this other profile that you mentioned that was provided to you by the Maryland State Police, can you tell us about what that sample, what information was provided to you by the Maryland State Police?
  - A. The profile that was submitted to us from the Maryland State Police was reported to be Exhibit 6, which was an Oral B toothbrush, Noah Smothers' deduced known.
    - Q. Did you conduct any comparison analysis between the two family reference samples that you mentioned a moment ago and the toothbrush deduced Noah Smothers' profile that you

VIII-70

mentioned a moment ago?

- A. Yes. We were asked to compare the profiles obtained from the mother and father of a Noah Smothers, to the profile obtained from the toothbrush and to establish whether there could be a parent/child relationship.
- Q. And did you conduct that comparison?
- 7 A. Yes, we did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. How did you go about doing that?
- A. Since a child of two individuals is expected to share half their DNA from their mother and half their father, we looked at all the profiles and determined that a parent/child relationship -- that there was consistency with the profile from the toothbrush having a parent/child relationship with those two other individuals.
  - Q. And just so we're clear for the record, Ms. Franco, can you tell us the direction in which the parent/child relationship went? In other words, was the toothbrush the parent or the child? Were the family reference samples, were they the parent or the child? Can you clarify?
  - A. Sure. The parent reference samples would be the parent, the known mother or father of the missing person and then the profile obtained from the toothbrush would be representative of a child of those two individuals.
  - Q. During these kinds of examinations, Ms. Franco, do you sometimes come up against or come up with a bio phenomenon

VIII-71

called mutation?

A. Yes.

1

2

9

10

- 3 Q. What is mutation?
- A. A mutation is a change in the DNA sequence that would be inherited by that child. And in this case, the profiles were consistent with having a parent/child relationship. However, we did note that there was a single Locus mismatch between the
- 8 samples and the child.
  - Q. Now is this notion of a mutation or a difference or whatever between parent DNA and child DNA, is this something that occurs in nature?
- A. Yes. It was something that had occurred and that was inherited by the child.
- Q. Anything unusual -- strike that. Have you encountered this in the past in your work?
- 16 A. Yes. We've seen mutations occur in the past.
- Q. Do you account for it in your conclusions and in the statistical analyses that I'm going to ask you about in a moment?
- A. Yes. We do take into account the mutation event having occurred.
- Q. Now Ms. Franco, are you able to conduct a statistical
  analysis based on the comparison work that you've done in this
  case?
- 25 A. Yes. So because there was the -- because the genetic data

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Franco - direct

VIII-72

obtained from the profile obtained from the toothbrush, as well as the family reference samples are consistent with having a parent/child relationship, we have to provide a statistical weight to that genetic association. And in this case, we performed a statistical analysis which accounted for a single Locus mutation. And that calculation is called a likelihood ratio. And during this statistical analysis, we are comparing two scenarios: One in which the profile obtained from that toothbrush originated from a child of those two individuals, the mother and father of Noah Smothers as opposed to that profile obtained from a toothbrush relating to some unrelated individual in the Caucasian population. And what statistical conclusion did you arrive at with respect to those two scenarios? So taking into account that a Locus mutation had occurred and given the genetic data, we concluded that it's approximately 39 million times more likely that the profile obtained from the toothbrush originated from a child of Keri A. Doyle and Roger L. Smothers than if the profile obtained from that toothbrush originated from an unrelated individual from the Caucasian population. MR. HANLON: A moment, please, Your Honor? Nothing further on direct examination. Thank you, Ms. Franco. THE COURT: Okay. Any cross-examination? MR. GUILLAUME: No, Your Honor. Thank you.

VIII-73

```
1
                 MR. HAWKS:
                             No, Your Honor.
                                              Thank you.
2
                 THE COURT: Okay, Ms. Franco, thank you very much
3
       for coming to testify. I hope you have a safe trip back to
 4
       Texas. Thank you very much for coming.
 5
                 (Witness, excused.)
 6
                 THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Moomau, who is our next
7
       witness?
8
                 MR. MOOMAU: Next witness would be Sergeant Kyle
9
       Simms.
10
                 THE COURT:
                             Okay.
11
                 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please raise your right hand.
12
                 (Witness, sworn.)
13
                 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: You may be seated, sir.
14
       Please state your first and last name.
15
                 THE WITNESS: Kyle Simms.
16
                 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: And please spell your first
17
       and last name for the record.
18
                 THE WITNESS: K-y-l-e
                                        S-i-m-m-s.
19
                 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Thank you, sir.
20
                 MR. MOOMAU: Your Honor, at this time the Government
21
       would move for the admission of Exhibits 158, 160, 161, 164A,
22
       166 through 171, 175, 186 through 192, 303, 319, 320, 321,
23
       324, 448, 450, 451, 453 through 459, 462, 468, 525B which is a
24
       stipulation, 528, 534 and 535.
25
                 THE COURT: Any issues?
```

USCA4 Appeal: 23-4568 Doc: 39-4 Filed: 03/08/2024 Pg: 232 of 378

VIII-74

1 MR. HAWKS: No, Your Honor. 2 MR. NIETO: No, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Okay. So all those exhibits are in 4 evidence. 158, 160, 161, 164A, 166 through 171, 175, 186 5 through 192, 303, 319, 320, 321, 324, 448, 450, 451, 453 6 through 459, 462, 482, 525B, 528, 534 and 535. Go ahead. 7 MR. MOOMAU: Thank you, Your Honor. 8 DIRECT EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. MOOMAU: 10 Sir, you've previously testified during this trial, 11 correct? Yes, sir. 12 Α. Just for refreshing, when were you assigned this case? 13 Q. 14 I was assigned primary investigator on May 3, 2018. Α. 15 Q. And sir, as part of the investigation of this case, was 16 cellular location data obtained for various numbers? 17 Α. Yes, sir. 18 Q. And can you tell us what you need as an investigator to 19 get cellular location data? 20 Α. Typically a search warrant. 21 And what else do you need as far as a -- do you also need Q. a phone number? 22 23 Α. Yes. 24 And to get a search warrant for location data for a 25 particular number, what do you need to establish?

VIII-75

- A. Um, probable cause.
- Q. As far as cellular location data, as an investigator with
- 3 the Maryland State Police, are you aware of any limitations
- 4 there are to obtaining cellular location data?
- 5 A. Generally the main limitation would just be retention
- 6 period for the company that you're requesting records from.
- 7 Q. And what about the way a case develops compared to the
- 8 retention time?

- 9 A. Sometimes you're not aware of certain devices and phone
- 10 numbers until after the records have already been purged
- 11 essentially from the company's system.
- 12 Q. Sir, I would like to show you what's been admitted as
- 13 | Exhibit 387. Showing you what's been admitted -- Court's
- indulgence. Now showing you what's been admitted as Exhibit
- 15 | 387, I'd like to go to page 4 of that. Looking at the number
- 16 Taeyan son, you recognize that number?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. And was that one of the numbers that cellular location
- 19 data was obtained for?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. Now showing you on the same document under record 2 the
- 22  $\parallel$  name Shelly, was cellular location data also obtained for that
- 23 particular number?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Is that number 301-404-3782?

VIII-76

- A. Yes, sir, it is.
- Q. And also showing you on the same document which is 387
- under record four, for the name Ricky Carty, 301-538-5546, was
- 4 cellular location data also obtained for that particular
- 5 number?

- 6 A. Yes, sir.
- 7 Q. Now I'd like to show you what's been admitted as -- well,
- 8 are those all three names and numbers that you recognize as
- 9 far as the investigation of this case?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. Now taking you to Exhibit 338. Under the -- do you
- 12 recognize this document?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. All right. Showing you under number 6, "Dad 2," do you
- 15 recognize that?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 Q. And that number there, 301-655-2283, was cellular
- 18 location data obtained for that particular number?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20  $\blacksquare$  Q. Now showing you what's been admitted as Exhibit 177.
- 21 Looking at the number there right beside the SMS, you
- 22 recognize that particular number?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Who is that number related to?
- 25 A. The victim, Noah Smothers.

VIII-77

Q. And is this one of the numbers that cellular location data was obtained for in this case?

A. Yes, sir.

- 4 Q. Sir, during the testimony and during this trial there's
- been discussion about a phone that was used by Noah Smothers
- and it was described as encrypted, jail broken, different
- 7 phrases. Were you able to obtain any cellular location records
- 8 for that device?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. Why not?
- 11 A. We were never able to identify a phone number associated
- 12 with that device.
- 13 Q. And sir, as part of the investigation of this case did
- 14 the Maryland State Police take custody of a Kia Sportage?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
- 16 Q. And whose Kia Sportage was that?
- 17 A. It was owned by Enterprise, but rented to the victim,
- 18 Noah Smothers.
- 19 Q. And do you remember the date that that was taken custody
- 20 of?
- 21 A. By the Maryland State Police?
- 22 Q. Or by you.
- 23 A. May 9, 2018.
- Q. And were any other items taken into I guess police
- 25 custody on that particular date?

A. Yes.

- 2 Q. And did that include a water bottle and a pair of boots
- 3 that were in the vehicle?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. And did you take custody of those? What did you do with
- 6 those?
- 7 A. I got custody of them from the Maryland Transportation
- 8 Police, transported them to the Maryland State Police evidence
- 9 room.
- 10 Q. Just for the record, what size were the boots?
- 11 A. Size 15.
- 12 Q. And where was the Kia Sportage taken?
- 13 A. Kia Sportage was transported from Enterprise to the
- 14 | Maryland State Police Forensic Laboratory in Pikesville.
- 15 Q. Sir, as part of the -- this case and your work on the
- 16 case, did you obtain motor vehicle license information for
- 17 both Taeyan Williams and Scott Williams, the defendants?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. Showing you Exhibit 160. Do you recognize this?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. And as far -- what is Exhibit 160?
- 22 A. Again, just a copy of the driver's license record for Mr.
- 23 Scott Anthony Williams.
- Q. And as far as his height, what was that listed at in the
- 25 Maryland motor vehicle records?

1 A. Five foot, eleven inches.

Q. Now showing you Exhibit 161. Do you recognize Exhibit

3 161?

- A. Yes, sir.
- 5 Q. And what is Exhibit 161?
- 6 A. It's a driver's license record for Mr. Taeyan Raymond
- 7 Williams.
- 8 Q. And what is his height listed on the Maryland Motor
- 9 Vehicle Administration records?
- 10 A. Five feet, nine inches.
- 11 | Q. And do both of these documents, did both of them list --
- as far as 161, does that list Mr. Taeyan Williams' date of
- 13 birth?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And what is that?
- 16 A. 1994.
- 17 Q. And I didn't ask you this, but going back to 160, did
- 18 that list the date of birth for Mr. Scott Williams?
- 19 A. Yes, sir. 1976.
- 20  $\parallel$  Q. And sir, during the investigation did you learn about a
- 21 business that was named Super Auto Works?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- 23 Q. What was that?
- 24 A. It was just a business, I guess operated by Scott Anthony
- 25 Williams.

VIII-80

- Q. Where was it located?
- 2 A. I believe it was 5006 Cook Road in Beltsville, Maryland.
- 3 Q. And were records subpoenaed and obtained from the
- 4 Maryland Department of Assessment and Taxation about the
- 5 status of that particular business?
- 6 A. Yes, sir.

- 7 Q. Showing you Exhibit 518. Do you recognize Exhibit 518?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. Whose name was that business in, according to the record?
- 10 A. Pattiann Chaplin.
- 11  $\square$  Q. And looking down at the bottom of the page, what was the
- 12 date that the business was established?
- 13 A. It's stamped as 3/12/2015.
- 14 | Q. Now turning to page 5 of the document, is there any
- indication as to when the business was terminated?
- 16 A. Document states 10/13/2017.
- 17 Q. And sir, during the investigation did you learn about a
- 18 company known as KryptAll?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- 20 Q. And where is KryptAll located?
- 21 A. Los Angeles, California.
- 22  $\parallel$  Q. I'd like to show you Exhibit 31, what's been admitted as
- 23 Exhibit 31. Do you recognize Exhibit 31?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And just for the record, what is Exhibit 31?

VIII-81

- A. It's a laminated card that had been found in the residence of Noah Smothers in Susquehanna, Pennsylvania.
- 3 \ Q. And is there an iCloud account listed on Exhibit 31?
- 4 A. Yes, sir, kiPhone127999@iCloud.com.
- 5 Q. Did you do anything to try to get information on-line
- 6 about or -- did you do a search, an iCloud search warrant for
- 7 | that account?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. Taking you to Exhibit 479. Going to page 2, line 6.
- 10 What's this information?
- 11 A. This is basically the same information that we saw on the
- card listing the same kiPhone127999@iCloud.com.
- 13 Q. And where did this information that we're looking at now
- 14 come from?
- 15  $\blacksquare$  A. From the -- I believe it was the contacts from that
- 16 iCloud return.
- 17 Q. Now I'd like to show you what's been admitted as Exhibit
- 18 378. Do you recognize Exhibit 378?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- 20  $\square$  Q. What is Exhibit 378?
- 21 A. This was a note saved in Taeyan Williams' cell phone.
- 22  $\parallel$  Q. And is there anything in that particular note that
- relates to the last exhibit you talked about?
- A. Yes, sir. It was the phrase "kiPhone164171."
- 25 Q. And during the investigation of this case, did you do

1 anything to try to find out if there was such a KryptAll or

- 2 kiPhone account ending in 164171?
- 3 A. Yes, sir.
- 4 \ Q. And what did you do there?
- 5 A. We requested records from KryptAll directly and obtained
- 6 purchase history for that device.
- 7 Q. I'd like to show you Exhibit 164A. Do you recognize this
- 8 document?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. And what is shown on Exhibit 164 -- or excuse me, 164A?
- 11 A. So this is what was provided from the company, KryptAll
- as far as the information regarding that account
- 13 kiPhone164171.
- 14 Q. Does it have -- on the first page, what type of
- information is included on there?
- 16  $\blacksquare$  A. The date it was activated, the model of the phone, the
- 17 | IMEI, serial number, as well as login information.
- 18 Q. And what was the activation date?
- 19 A. October 18, 2017.
- 20  $\parallel$  Q. Then going on the second page, what's this information?
- 21 A. Again, just similar to the first page, just an invoice
- 22  $\parallel$  providing information about the purchase of that device.
- 23 Q. Purchased under the name of --
- 24 A. John.
- 25 Q. Thank you. While working on this case, at some point did

1 you seek some information or records from a business known as

- 2 the Red Roof Inn?
- 3 A. Yes, sir.
- 4 Q. The particular Red Roof Inn that you were seeking
- 5 information from, where was it located?
- 6 A. It was located in Laurel at roughly the intersection of
- 7 Route 295 and 197.
- 8 Q. All right. I'd like to show you what's been admitted as
- 9 Exhibit 554. Do you recognize Exhibit 554?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. And what is -- just tell us what it is.
- 12 A. Just an aerial view of the Red Roof Inn.
- Q. During your investigation of this case did you go there?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- 15 Q. And you've seen what's around there and what it looks
- 16 like?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. And the parking and everything like that?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- 20 Q. How is the parking?
- 21 A. It's sufficient, surrounding the building.
- 22  $\parallel$  Q. People park outside of the rooms or do you have to go in
- 23 to get to a room?
- 24 A. Park outside. I believe there is access to the rooms
- 25 from the exterior of the building.

1 Q. Okay. And this particular Red Roof Inn, how far is it

2 from the residence where the search was conducted, Bristolwood

- 3 | Court?
- 4 A. It was about a mile.
- 5 Q. And did you obtain any lodging records by subpoena in
- 6 reference to the Red Roof Inn?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. And did you find records for any time period for either
- 9 Scott or Taeyan Williams or Noah Smothers?
- 10 A. I attempted to obtain the records for that, yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. Showing you what's been admitted as Exhibit 462. Do
- 12 you recognize 462?
- 13 **|** A. Yes, sir.
- 14 | O. What is 462?
- 15 A. It's showing multiple check-ins at that Red Roof Inn
- 16 under the name of Scott Williams.
- 17 | Q. And what were the dates of those?
- 18 A. 3/14/2018, 3/15/2018 and 4/25/2018.
- 19 Q. Did you also check for the dates April 5, 2018 and April
- 20 6, 2018?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- 22 Q. And what were your findings for those dates?
- 23 A. We received a list of names of people that were checked
- 24 into the hotel at that time.
- 25 Q. And anyone, Scott Williams, Taeyan Williams, Noah

1 Smothers or anyone else that related to the investigation of

- 2 the case that you knew of, did you see those names in the
- 3 record?

- A. I checked the list. There were no names that were obviously known to me in the investigation found on that list.
  - Q. And sir, are you familiar with the term "iCloud"?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. Just in general, tell us what it is.
- 9 A. Generally it's a backup of information, typically related
- 10 to Apple devices that's stored remotely for the user.
- 11 | Q. And was one of the accounts that you obtained iCloud data
- 12 for, was it Gucciforlife@iCloud.com?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. And what did you -- what was the manner or the legal
- $\parallel$  process that was used to obtain data from the iCloud accounts
- 16 that you did as part of this case?
- 17 A. The search warrant.
- 18 Q. I'd like to show you what's been admitted as Exhibit 516.
- 19 I'd like to go to -- yes, page 2, excuse me. Was this one of
- 20 the accounts that data was obtained for?
- 21 A. Yes, sir. Gucciforlife@iCloud.com.
- 22  $\parallel$  Q. And the documents you're looking at in front of you or at
- 23 least the page of the document on Exhibit 516, what is that,
- 24 what part of the information that was received is that?
- 25 A. This is for the I guess subscriber information or account

VIII-86

- 1 information for that address, I guess.
- 2 Q. And what was the name on the account?
- 3 A. Dennis Bell.
- 4 Q. And the day phone?
- 5 A. 301-655-2283.
- 6 Q. Are you familiar with that number?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. Is that one of the numbers we had shown an exhibit about
- 9 earlier?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. And the address?
- 12 A. 10301 Bristolwood Court, Laurel Maryland, United States.
- 13 Q. And sir, we had talked a little earlier about information
- 14 from an iCloud account that you had checked. I think it was
- 15 kiPhone127999. Is that one of the iCloud accounts that you had
- obtained a search warrant for?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. I'd like to show you Exhibit 479. Do you recognize this
- 19 document?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. And just what is it?
- 22 A. This is contact information associated with the account,
- 23 127999.
- Q. I'd like to go to number 9. Do you recognize this, I
- guess the entry that was in number 9?

VIII-87

A. Yes, sir.

- 2 Q. And had you seen that number before -- well, read out the
- 3 phone number that's connected to -- well, first of all, what's
- 4 the contact name in number 9?
- 5 A. The letters MW.
- 6 Q. And what's the phone number listed for that number?
- 7 A. 001-929-290-6897.
- 8 Q. Have you seen that number anyplace else as part of the
- 9 investigation?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. I'd like to show you Exhibit 338 under number 9. And what
- 12 is 338?
- 13 A. I believe it's a contact list from Taeyan Williams' cell
- 14 phone.
- 15 Q. Under number 9, what name and number is listed?
- 16  $\blacksquare$  A. The name is the letters OG with a phone number of plus
- 17 1-929-290-6897.
- 18 Q. Is that the same number you cited out earlier?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- 20 Q. Again, I'd like to go back to Exhibit 479. And I'd like
- 21 to go to line 13. What's the name and number associated with
- 22 that entry?
- 23 A. It's the letters TA, the phone number is
- 24 001-681-404-1855.
- 25 Q. And do you recognize that number?

VIII-88

A. Yes, sir.

- Q. I'd like to show you again, Exhibit 387. Are you familiar
- 3 with Exhibit 387?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- 5 Q. Okay. Do you recognize what's shown on the screen now
- 6 under record 1 of 387?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. What is that?
- 9 A. It's a contact saved in Scott Williams' cell phone by the
- 10 name of Taeyan son, plus 1-681-404-1855.
- 11 Q. I'd like to go back to Exhibit 479. I'd like to go to
- 12 number 7. Can you read out the name and telephone number --
- the name on number 7 for the contact and the phone number?
- 14  $\blacksquare$  A. The name is the letter M/Btown with a phone number of
- 15 001-404-655-9944.
- 16 Q. You recognize that number from other evidence?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 | Q. Showing you exhibit -- back to Exhibit 338. Could you
- 19 read that number again?
- 20 A. 001-484-655-9944.
- 21 Q. Now I'd like to show you what's been admitted as Exhibit
- 22 | 338. What is 338 again?
- 23 A. Again, that's a contact list from Taeyan Williams' cell
- 24 phone.
- Q. Can you just read the contact name under number 3 there?

VIII-89

- 1 A. It's Cox and then the letters KA, phone number
- 2 484-797-0671.
- 3 Q. And again, going back to Exhibit 479. Going to line 10.
- 4 Could you just say the name and any number that's associated
- 5 ₩ with that?
- A. Well, the name it just has the letter P. The phone number
- 7 it says phone 164171.
- 8 Q. Again, have you seen that number as part of this case
- 9 investigation?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. Okay. Going to what's been admitted as Exhibit 378. What
- 12 is Exhibit 378?
- 13 A. Again, this is a note saved on Taeyan Williams' cell
- 14 phone.
- 15 Q. And what -- do you see anything that's related to I guess
- what you just read off there?
- 17 A. Yes, it's slightly misspelled, but the same phrase
- 18 | kiPhone164171.
- 19 Q. Sir, I'd like to go to Exhibit 482. Do you recognize this
- 20 exhibit?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- 22 Q. And where did this come from?
- 23 A. This was saved in the iCloud of the account
- 24 kiPhone127999.
- 25 Q. And you recognize what's shown on the exhibit?

- A. Yes, sir. It's the EZ Storage.
- 2 Q. Are you familiar with -- what was the date -- what date
- 3 was that created?
- 4 A. 1/18/2018.
- Q. And can you tell us what the address for the EZ Storage
- 6 | is?

- 7 A. 8255 Washington Boulevard, Jessup, Maryland, 20794.
- 8 Q. And sir, does that particular address appear anywhere
- 9 else in data that you have examined and looked at as part of
- 10 this case?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 **Q.** Where?
- 13 A. In that -- in the notes on Taeyan Williams' cell phone.
- 14 Q. Going back to Exhibit 376. Is that what you were
- 15 referring to?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 Q. And can you just read out the date and time created for
- 18 | that?
- 19 A. 4/6/2018 at 11:17 p.m.
- 20 0. And is that Eastern Standard Time or some other time?
- 21 A. It's in UTC.
- 22  $\parallel$  Q. What do you have to do to convert that? Are you familiar
- with the conversion to Eastern Standard Time?
- 24 A. Generally, yes.
- 25 Q. Okay. So what would you do?

A. Typically you subtract four hours from that based on the time of year it is.

- Q. And the address that was entered into the note?
- 4 A. 8255 Washington Boulevard.
- 5 Q. And what address is that?
  - A. Again, the address to the EZ Storage in Jessup.
- 7 Q. Sir, was there a video, audio/video recording that was
- 8 also saved on that particular iCloud account for the
- 9 | kiPhone127999?

3

- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. And what was that -- Court's indulgence.
- MR. MOOMAU: Your Honor, at this time I would like to
- read into the record a stipulation that has been signed as
- 14 Exhibit 525B.
- 15 **THE COURT:** Okay.
- 16 MR. MOOMAU: Court's indulgence, please. Your Honor,
- 17 I'll proceed until we get that.
- 18 **THE COURT:** Okay.
- 19 BY MR. MOOMAU:
- 20  $\blacksquare$  Q. And have you watched the audio/video recording?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- 22 Q. Just in brief summary, what's it of?
- 23 A. It's a video from a cell phone of Noah Smothers going
- 24 into a police station to retrieve a cell phone that he had
- 25 previously lost.

VIII-92

1 And he was recording that interaction? Q. 2 Α. Yes, sir. 3 0. On what? 4 Another cell phone. Α. 5 Q. Okay. 6 MR. MOOMAU: Your Honor, could I have permission to 7 read the stipulation in now? 8 THE COURT: Yes. 9 MR. MOOMAU: For the record, I'll be reading in 10 stipulation 484A. The Government and the defendants, Scott 11 Anthony Williams and Taeyan Raymond Williams, do hereby 12 stipulate and agree that Exhibit 484A is a recording that 13 includes Noah Smothers interacting with a police officer when 14 Smothers picked up a cellular telephone at a police station 15 after leaving the cellular telephone in an Uber taxi. It's 16 signed by counsel for the respective parties. I moved it in 17 earlier. 18 THE COURT: Okay, it's in evidence. 19 MR. MOOMAU: Your Honor, at this time I would ask 20 permission to play 27 seconds of that and then maybe another 21 30 seconds at the end. 22 THE COURT: Okay. Just identify the portions for the 23 record. 24 MR. MOOMAU: Yes. 25 THE COURT: Is there sound or just video?

VIII-93

1 MR. MOOMAU: No, there's sound, Your Honor. And we 2 would start that at 30 seconds. 3 (The videotape was played.) 4 MR. MOOMAU: We stopped it at 53, so we played it at 5 30 seconds to 53. 6 BY MR. MOOMAU: 7 Do you recognize that? 8 Yes, sir. Α. 9 Have you listened to that before? Q. 10 Α. Yes, sir. 11 Now showing you -- we'll go to the final minute. 0. 12 (The videotape was played.) 13 BY MR. MOOMAU: Sir, did you see any information there at the end of that 14 15 video? 16 Yes, sir. Α. 17 Q. And what was it? Various contacts that had popped up on that phone. The 18 19 top most contacts on there was -- had the letters 20 t-a-e-s-o-w-a-v. 21 And had you seen such a name before, the taesoway? Q. 22 Α. Yes, sir. 23 Where had you seen that? Q. 24 It was associated with multiple different accounts 25 related to Taeyan Williams.

Q. Showing you Exhibit 359. Do you recognize this?

2 A. Yes, sir.

- 3 Q. And where did this come from?
- 4 A. This is from the extraction of the cell phone related to
- 5 Taeyan Williams.
- Q. Now I'd like to show you Exhibit 504 that's been
- 7 admitted. Do you recognize Exhibit 504?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. What is -- who is talking about Exhibit 504?
- 10 A. Two usernames. One by the name of Larrybird50 and other
- 11 kiPhone127999.
- 12 Q. Any reference to Larrybird50 in any other evidence as
- part of this case?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- 15 Q. Showing you Exhibit 382. Excuse me. We'll go back to
- 16 | that. Sir, as part of the investigation -- have you ever heard
- 17 of America Online?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. What is or was America Online?
- 20  $\blacksquare$  A. America Online at least for me was kind of the original
- 21 way to access the internet. It's also an e-mail service
- 22 provider.
- 23 Q. Did you obtain a search warrant or subpoena or serve
- 24 legal process on America Online or a company that had
- 25 purchased America Online?

VIII-95

A. Yes.

1

- Q. Do you remember what account that was for?
- 3 A. I believe it was account Rickjames4554@aol.com.
- Q. I'd like to show you Exhibit 166. What is shown in
- 5 Exhibit 166?
- 6 A. This is the subscriber information or the owner
- 7 information for that account.
- 8 Q. When was that account created?
- 9 A. December 10, 2007.
- 10 Q. And where it has an e-mail, does it have an e-mail
- address or alternative e-mail address down at the bottom?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- 13 | Q. And what was that?
- 14 A. Noahsmothers15@yahoo.com.
- Q. Did it have a phone number associated with it?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 Q. And what was that?
- 18 A. It's plus 1-607-725-9327.
- 19 Q. Were any -- from this particular AOL account, was there
- any I guess communication or notes or anything recovered?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- 22 Q. I'd like to show you what's been admitted as Exhibit 167.
- 23 Do you recognize this Exhibit 167?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And what was that?

Simms - direct VIII-96

A. Although this appears to be an e-mail, it's actually a note saved associated with the account.

- Q. And what does -- can you just read that off?
- 4 A. Sure. It's dated May 24, 2013 with the subject line
- Noahsmothers15. The content is the same, Noahsmothers15,
- 6 underneath it basketball42.
- 7 Q. I want to go back and ask you a question from a previous
- 8 -- when you were watching the video recording. What account
- 9 did that come from?
- 10 A. That was from the iCloud response from kiphone127999.
- 11 Q. Was that a regular phone or the encrypted phone?
- 12 A. That was the account associated with the phone from
- 13 KryptAll.

- 14 Q. All right. Going now to -- when was -- can you scroll
- 15  $\parallel$  down on this? What was the creation date for that particular
- 16 note, 167?
- 17 A. It says created date, Friday, 24th of May, 2013.
- 18 Q. Now going to Exhibit 169. Again, what is Exhibit 169?
- 19 A. Again, it's another note saved in that AOL account with
- 20 | the heading iCloud/Apple. Content again says iCloud/Apple,
- Noah2142, Noah2142 and Noahsmothers@iCloud.com.
- 22 Q. When was that created?
- 23 A. This is showing created Thursday, 7th of March, 2013.
- Q. Exhibit 170. Do you recognize this information?
- 25 A. Yes, sir.

Simms - direct VIII-97

- 1 Q. And just -- I'm not going to have you read through
- everything, but can you kind of just, without -- just in
- 3 summary, what type of information is obtained here?
- 4 A. It's depicting various businesses with phrases below it.
- Q. And just -- okay. And just, for example, for the one that
- 6 says YouTube, what does it say underneath it?
- 7 A. I'm sorry, you said YouTube?
- 8 Q. Yes.
- 9 A. Under YouTube it said Noahsmothers15, Noahs2142,
- 10 Noah2142, symbol with a letter R, eatdatlunch and Noah2142.
- 11 Q. Now going back to the exhibit, let's go to page 2 of the
- 12 exhibit. And just read I guess the second entry there.
- 13 A. It is Noahsmothers2142@gmail.com. Below that,
- 14 Noahsmothers42@gmail.com, some symbols with the letter IV and
- then Bball#42 and basketball#0.
- 16 Q. So sir, you've seen a lot of numbers here and names. For
- 17 what kind of accounts or what kind of -- did you recognize any
- 18 of the names on this exhibit as being associated with any
- 19 business entities?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. Such as?
- 22 | A. I mean, I'm familiar with Airbnb, Golden 1, Snapchat,
- just on this page.
- Q. And underneath like the -- so sir, for the information
- 25 that's listed for what you've described as various entities,

```
1
       at any time did you attempt to use the number and letter
2
       combinations under the names to see if you could access any
 3
       particular accounts?
 4
            Yes, sir.
       Α.
 5
            And did you prepare a report on that?
 6
           Yes, sir.
       Α.
7
            At this time sitting here can you cite off all the ones
       Q.
8
       you checked and the numbers that you entered?
 9
            Not specifically, no.
10
            And if you were able to look at your report, would that
       Q.
11
       assist you in doing that?
12
       Α.
            Yes, sir.
13
                 MR. MOOMAU: For identification purposes only, I
14
       would like for the witness to be able to see ID 50.
15
                 THE COURT: Okay. This is to refresh recollection?
16
                 MR. MOOMAU:
                             Yes.
17
                 THE COURT: Go ahead. Are you going to show this on
       the screen just for the witness?
18
19
                 MR. MOOMAU: I hope it's been switched over.
20
                 THE COURT: It has it looks like.
21
                 MR. MOOMAU: Your Honor, we might -- can I approach
22
       the witness?
23
                 THE COURT: Yes.
24
                 MR. MOOMAU: Thank you.
25
       BY MR. MOOMAU:
```

Simms - direct VIII-99

1 Q. Sir, showing you just for identification purposes only ID

- 2 | 50, do you recognize the document that I handed to you?
- 3 A. Yes, sir.
- 4 Q. And what is it?
- A. It's a copy of the report that I wrote documenting my actions taken with reference to that note.
- 7 \ Q. And what actions were those?
- 8 A. I attempted to --
- 9 Q. Hold on a second. I mean, just in summary what actions were those?
- 11 A. I attempted to log into various accounts.
- Q. All right. And by looking at that, does that help you as
- far as your memory of the accounts you entered and the access
- 14 -- or the accounts that you tried to enter and the access
- information you used for those accounts?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 Q. Do you remember what date that was?
- 18 A. It should be October 11, 2022.
- 19 Q. All right. Can you just tell us what you did with the
- 20 accounts and what the results were?
- 21 A. Sure. I checked Gmail first using the username
- 22  $\parallel$  Noahsmothers42@gmail.com. I entered the password that was seen
- on the note of basketball#0 and I was granted access to that
- 24 account. I also checked a similar username which was
- Noahsmothers2142@gmail.com using the password that was shown

Simms - direct

VIII-100

on the note, Bball#42 and I also gained access to that account using that password as well.

I then checked YouTube using the username

Noahsmothers15@yahoo.com and the password also seen on that

note of Noah2142. I was again successful in logging into the
account using that information.

I then attempted to log into Yahoo from that same e-mail address, the Noahsmothers15@yahoo.com using the password on that note again of basketball#1 and again was able to successfully access that account for AOL. I used the username of Rickjames4554 which was again, the account that I had requested records from from AOL directly. I used the password which was saved on the note of Bball#42 and I was, again, able to access that account using that username and password.

I checked PayPal using the username Noahsmothers15, entered the password as seen on the note basketball#42 but I was unable to gain access to that account.

I checked Apple iCloud using the username
Rickjames4554@aol.com. According to the note I entered the
password of Alexjones1776.

THE COURT: Can we just pause for a moment? Let me see counsel for a moment.

## (Counsel approached the bench.)

THE COURT: So Mr. Moomau, we had a whole motion on this issue and the issue was whether these are passwords or

Simms - direct

VIII-101

not. And I said he could say that he put these numbers in and he gained access. I didn't say you could call them "passwords." He's calling every single one of these "passwords." There's no evidence that these are passwords other than perhaps what he did. He's saying I entered the password on this document or from this account which is exactly what I said he couldn't do. So could you explain why we're doing it this way?

MR. MOOMAU: He shouldn't be using the word
"password."

THE COURT: I mean, wasn't he here for that whole discussion? I don't know if you discussed it with him, but --

MR. MOOMAU: Yes. And maybe he misunderstood the Court's ruling that -- I know the Court ruled we could put on some testimony to show whether the accounts could be accessed with those numbers. Maybe he misunderstood that to say he could testify to that.

THE COURT: Well, like I said, I mean, it's not that different from what I said he could do which is he could say "I went to this account, I typed in the numbers I saw in the AOL account under that name and it worked." But he's saying, "I typed in the password." Again, there's no evidence that those are passwords.

MR. MOOMAU: I agree.

THE COURT: So I would suggest -- I didn't hear any

VIII-102

objections to this, but I'm just saying just for the sake of the ruling that we -- maybe you try to clean that up with some questions and then we'll see if I need to strike anything.

Mr. Nieto, anything?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

MR. NIETO: Your Honor, yeah. We would ask that that portion of the testimony be stricken. But understanding the circumstances, yes, if Mr. Moomau wants to lead to get us to where we want to go --

THE COURT: We can have some leading questions for this. I think, again, it's really semantics. If he wasn't using that word, he was just saying "I typed in the numbers I saw on the screen underneath that" I would be totally fine with it. And that's exactly what I ruled because it's just showing what happened, not what the words mean. So see if you can fix that and then we'll see if there's anything to do.

MR. MOOMAU: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.

(Counsel returned to their trial tables.)

## BY MR. MOOMAU:

- Q. Sergeant Simms, you're still looking at your report?
- A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. And I think the last one you testified about was what?
- 22 A. The Apple iCloud.
- 23 Q. All right. And for the remainder, did you check Snapchat?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- 25 Q. And did you -- what account name did you check for that?

VIII-103

- A. Noahsmothers15, as well as Noahsmothers42.
- 2 Q. And using the information that you had beneath that, were
- you able to access that particular account?
- 4 A. For that particular account, no, I was not.
- 5 Q. And did you also check Noahsmothers15@aol.com? Excuse
- 6 me, were those all the ones that you checked?
- 7 A. For Snapchat, that's correct.
- 8 Q. Okay, but did you check for any other accounts than the
- 9 ones you've already testified to?
- 10 A. One additional, it was eBay.
- 11 Q. And using the information that was available in the
- exhibit, were you able to access the eBay account?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.

- 14 Q. What was the name on the eBay account?
- 15 A. The username was Noahsmothers15@yahoo.com.
- 16  $\blacksquare$  Q. And what name and number combination did you use to
- 17 access that?
- 18 A. Basketball42.
- 19 Q. I'd like to show you now what's been admitted,
- 20  $\parallel$  stipulation 525A. Do you recognize that particular document?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- 22  $\parallel$  Q. And just for the last portion sentence, can you read
- 23 that?
- 24 A. I'm sorry?
- 25 Q. Can you read the last portion sentence?

- A. From the comma on it said, "He wore jerseys that displayed numbers 15, 21 and 42."
- Q. And that's referring to who?
- 4 A. Noah Smothers.
- 5 Q. Now showing you Exhibit 378. You recognize Exhibit 378?
- 6 A. Yes, sir.
- 7 Q. What is 378?
- 8 A. Again, this is a note saved in Taeyan Williams' phone.
- 9 Q. And under the title, what does it say?
- 10 A. 152142.
- 11 | Q. When was that created?
- 12 | A. It's created on 4/7/2018 at 3:57:07 am. in UTC time.
- Q. And to get to Eastern Standard Time what would you do?
- 14  $\blacksquare$  A. Subtract four hours. So it would go a day prior,
- 15 4/6/2018 at 11:57 p.m.
- 17 you Exhibit 381. I had asked you about this earlier. Do you
- 18 recognize this?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- 20 Q. Where did this come from?
- A. Again, this was a note saved in Taeyan Williams' cell
- 22 phone.
- 23 MR. MOOMAU: Your Honor, I think the last few
- 24 exhibits and it was my fault, I didn't ask to go off of ID
- 25 only. I don't think they were available for the jury to see.

VIII-105

1 THE COURT: Which ones are you referring to? 2 MR. MOOMAU: I don't think they were able to see the 3 stipulation that was entered as 525, Exhibit 378 and again, 4 381. 5 THE COURT: Okay, why don't we -- if you want to 6 publish those why don't we turn the cameras on and we'll just 7 quickly identify those again. Let's not redo the testimony, 8 let's just show them again. 9 MR. MOOMAU: Exactly. 10 THE COURT: Just say which exhibit we're dealing 11 with. You can ask a question just to clarify what this was 12 about, Mr. Moomau. 13 MR. MOOMAU: Your Honor, I'm not sure they saw what 14 was on the document camera. Could I show Exhibit 525A? 15 THE COURT: Yes. 16 MR. MOOMAU: Thank you, Your Honor. I'm removing it 17 from the document camera. 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 MR. MOOMAU: Now showing Exhibit 378. And now the 20 last one was 381. 21 BY MR. MOOMAU: 22 All right, sir, I'd like to show you, sir, what's been admitted as Exhibit 171. Do you recognize this document? 23 24 Yes, sir. Α. 25 And where did this come from?

- 1 A. This was a note saved in the AOL account,
- 2 Rickjames4554@aol.com.
- 3 Q. I'd like you to focus in on the first paragraph. Do you
- 4 recognize any of the information on here?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- 6 Q. Where it starts out, the various -- could you read the
- 7 | first paragraph, first line I mean?
- 8 A. "MD, Mr. Nice, OG, WW, GG, CHZL, TAE, 1 Sour Chem, 4 GG,
- 9 2 CHZL, DJ, some symbols, DIV."
- 10 Q. Do you recognize -- as part of the investigation of the
- 11 case, Mr. Nice, OG, WW, GG, what do you recognize those as?
- 12 A. Strains of marijuana.
- Q. And the name right there at the end?
- 14 A. Tae.
- 15 Q. When was this particular note created?
- 16 A. It looks like December 2, 2017.
- 17 Q. Does it say a date it was modified?
- 18 A. I believe it would be December 18, 2017.
- 19 Q. You mentioned the name "Mr. Nice." I want to show you now
- 20 | what's been admitted as Exhibit 473. Do you recognize this?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- 22 Q. And where did this come from?
- 23 A. It's a screenshot of a video from a search conducted in
- 24 West Virginia.
- Q. Okay. Is that the subject of a stipulation?

VIII-107

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Now showing you Exhibit 172. Do you recognize this
- 3 document?

- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- 5 Q. Again, I'm not going to have you read through it, but do
- 6 you recognize any of the names shown there?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. Whose name?
- 9 A. Tae.
- 10 Q. Showing you Exhibit 173. Do you recognize this document?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. And again, did this come from the AOL account notes
- 13 | Rickjames 4554?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- 15 Q. Now exhibit -- scroll down, please. To the end. That
- 16 particular note, when was that created?
- 17 A. It appears to be May 29, 2013.
- 18 Q. When was it last, I guess, last modified?
- 19 A. April 5, 2018.
- 20 Q. Now showing you Exhibit 174. Do you recognize this
- 21 document?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- 23 Q. Can you please read that?
- 24 A. "Tae gave 5900 plus 4 WGS."
- 25 Q. And what was the date for that particular note?

VIII-108

- A. April 6, 2018, 1:52 p.m.
- Q. Sergeant Simms, are you familiar with the business entity
- 3 Airbnb?

- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- 5 Q. And what is that?
- 6 A. It's an internet-based company, I guess facilitates
- 7 individuals to rent places to stay.
- 8 Q. Did you obtain any records from Airbnb as part of your
- 9 work on this case?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. Subpoena or search warrant?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Showing you what's been admitted as Exhibit 158. Page 1
- 14  $\parallel$  of 158, starting at the top, what information is included
- 15 there? Or I guess whose account, the name listed for the
- 16 account?
- 17 A. Noah Smothers.
- 18 Q. And then showing you the second page, just in general,
- 19 what's this information?
- 20  $\blacksquare$  A. The various locations of stays associated with that
- 21 account.
- 22 Q. And going down to the last line, what was the, I guess
- 23 the date --
- 24 A. Date is 4/4/2018.
- Q. And the place?

Simms - direct VIII-109

- A. 651 Bankard Lane, Baltimore, Maryland.
- 2 Q. Thank you. At some point during the investigation did you
- 3 seek to obtain information from Google?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.

- 5 Q. And to your knowledge does Google maintain any type of
- 6 data you can use to locate the user?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. Are you familiar with how that works?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. How does that work?
- 11 A. While using a device or account associated to that user,
- 12 Google will collect data, as far as the location when various
- 13 searches are made.
- 14 \ Q. And was one of the accounts Gucciforlife@gmail.com?
- 15  $\blacksquare$  A. We requested records from that account, yes.
- 16  $\blacksquare$  Q. Were you able to get any location data for that account?
- 17 A. We were not.
- 18 | Q. I'd like to show you Exhibit 175. Are you familiar with
- 19 this document?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And what is it?
- 22 A. This is a production from Google listing the subscriber
- or owner of the account requested which is
- 24 Gucciforlife@gmail.com.
- Q. What was the name of the subscriber?

VIII-110

- 1 A. Shotta Yute.
- Q. And was there a recovery e-mail address?
- 3 A. Yes, shellysteppa@hotmail.com.
- 4 Q. Was there a phone number or SMS listed for the account?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.

- Q. And what was that?
  - MR. HAWKS: Your Honor --
- 8 THE COURT: Yes.
- 9 MR. HAWKS: Defense objects to essentially leading
- 10 by curser, that the supposed answer is being indicated to the
- 11 witness by the movement of --
- 12 **THE COURT:** Okay, well I think they can point to
- things, but maybe the way we can solve this is just having Mr.
- 14  $\parallel$  Moomau rephrase these questions to be non-leading.
- 15 BY MR. MOOMAU:
- Q. Was there any identifying information in the subscriber
- information for this particular account,
- 18 Gucciforlife@gmail.com?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- 20  $\parallel$  Q. Other than what you've already testified about, what was
- 21 it?
- 22 A. Phone number plus 1-301-655-2283.
- 23 Q. Are you familiar with that number?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- 25 Q. Where did that number -- have you testified about that

```
1
       number already?
2
            Yes, sir.
       Α.
 3
            Going to Exhibit 176. Going to page 2. Any information on
 4
       this particular document that you found relevant as far as the
 5
       investigation?
 6
       Α.
            Yes, sir.
7
            And what was that?
       Q.
8
            It says "Search for EZ Storage on April 11, 2018."
 9
            EZ Storage where?
       Q.
10
       Α.
            Jessup.
11
            Are you familiar with that facility?
       0.
12
       Α.
            Yes, sir.
13
            Was there also another account that you attempted to
       0.
14
       obtain, I guess, information from Google for?
15
       Α.
            Yes, sir.
16
            Do you remember what account that was?
       Q.
17
       Α.
            It was an account associated with Noah Smothers.
18
            And do you remember the account number or the account
19
       name?
20
       Α.
            I believe it was Noahsmothers15.
21
            Well, we'll go to Exhibit 177.
       Q.
22
       Α.
            I'm sorry, Noahsmothers 42.
                 THE COURT: Mr. Moomau, did you offer 176 and 177?
23
24
                 MR. MOOMAU: Court's indulgence. I thought I
25
       offered -- I did not.
```

```
1
                 THE COURT:
                             Do you want to offer it now?
2
                 MR. MOOMAU: Yes, Your Honor. I would offer Exhibit
3
       177, 178 -- Your Honor, I misread. On my list I had 175, then
 4
       I had a dash 184. I did not read what came after the dash.
5
                 THE COURT: Okay, so I have 175. You intended to
 6
       offer 175 through 184?
7
                 MR. MOOMAU: That's correct, Your Honor, I
8
       apologize.
9
                 THE COURT: Any objection to 176 through 184?
10
                 MR. NIETO: No, Your Honor.
11
                 MR. HAWKS: No, Your Honor. Your Honor, may I
12
       inquire? There's 184A, is that intended to be offered as
       well?
13
14
                 MR. MOOMAU: Yes.
                 THE COURT: And as well as 182A?
15
16
                 MR. MOOMAU: I don't think 182A. No, I don't think
17
       we intend to offer 182A, Your Honor.
                 THE COURT: So 176 through 184, as well as 184A are
18
19
       in evidence. That's the only one with an A in that group.
20
                 MR. MOOMAU: Okay, thank you.
21
       BY MR. MOOMAU:
22
            Showing you Exhibit 178. Before asking you questions
23
       about this, were you able to obtain location data from this
24
       particular account, the Noahsmothers 42@gmail.com?
25
            Yes, sir.
```

- Q. And showing you Exhibit 178, can you tell us what's shown on there?
- 3 A. It's a result from Google. It says, "Search for food near
- 4 me" and on the bottom where it says "locations," it says "at
- 5 this place," it's a hyperlink to the location where Google
- 6 associated that search to have originated from.
- 7 \ Q. And the time and date of that particular call?
- 8 A. April 5, 2018 at 11:39 and 9 seconds p.m. UTC.
- 9 Q. So again, you would have to adjust that like you've
- 10 testified to?
- 11 A. Correct. Subtract four hours.
- 12 Q. Going to the second page of that particular document.
- 13 What's this?
- 14 A. As I stated, the hyperlink on the bottom, if you look at
- 15 the longitude and latitude associated with that hyperlink this
- 16  $\parallel$  is the location that it provides that the search originated
- 17 from.
- 18  $\parallel$  Q. And you're familiar with that street where the pen is?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- 20 Q. Have you just testified about that?
- 21 A. Yes, sir. 651 Bankard Lane. It's the address that Noah
- 22 Smothers stayed at for the Airbnb.
- 23 Q. Showing you 179. Time and date for this?
- 24 A. April 5, 2018 at 11:39 and 19 seconds p.m. UTC.
- 25 Q. Okay. Showing you the second page. Where was that

- particular search made from, according to the data?
  A. That location.
- Q. Okay. Showing you 180. Again, what was the search for?
- 4 A. "Food near me."
- 5 Q. And the time?
- 6 A. April 5, 2018 at 11:39 and 39 seconds p.m. UTC.
- 7 \ Q. And the location that search was made from?
- 8 A. Yes, that's accurate.
- 9 Q. Excuse me?
- 10 A. That is the location.
- 11 Q. 182, what was the search for?
- 12 A. "Forno."
- 13 Q. And the date and time?
- 14 A. April 6, 2018 at 2:44 and 32 seconds a.m. UTC.
- 15 Q. And where was that search made from?
- 16 A. That location.
- 17 Q. Okay. When you say "that location"?
- 18 A. That's shown on the screen, I'm sorry. Bankard Lane,
- 19 Baltimore.
- 20  $\parallel$  Q. And the word -- it was a search for Forno, F-o-r-n-o.
- 21 Are you familiar with what that is?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- 23  $\mathbb{Q}$ . What is it?
- 24 A. It was a restaurant in Baltimore City.
- Q. Now showing you 183. Do you recognize 183?

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- 2 Q. What is 183?
- 3 A. It's a search for "breakfast near me" on April 6, 2018 at
- 4 10:48 and 57 seconds a.m. UTC.
- 5 Q. The location?
- 6 A. Is on Melvin Drive in Baltimore.
- 7 Q. 184, what was searched and the date and time?
- 8 A. "Breakfast near me" again on April 6, 2018 at 10:49 and
- 9 30 seconds a.m. UTC.
- 10 Q. Location?
- 11 A. Washington Boulevard in Baltimore.
- 12 Q. Okay, now Exhibit 186. What was searched for and the date
- 13 and time?
- 14 | A. "Food near me," April 6, 2018 at 4:54 and 28 second p.m.
- 15 UTC.
- 16 Q. And showing you the second page, the location.
- 17 A. It's along essentially Route 295 which is the Baltimore
- 18 Washington Parkway.
- 19  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Where at?
- 20 A. It's near Maryland city.
- 21 Q. Okay. Showing you Exhibit 187. What was searched for and
- 22 the date and the time?
- 23 A. It's "pupuseria near me" on April 6, 2018, 5:00 and 16
- 24 seconds p.m. UTC.
- 25 Q. And where was that search conducted from?

VIII-116

It is also along Route 295 or Baltimore Washington 1 2 Parkway in the Maryland city area. 3 Okay. And 188. What was searched for and the date and the 4 time? 5 "Pupuseria Taqueria" on April 6, 2018 at 5:00 and 30 Α. 6 seconds p.m UTC. 7 And 189, what was searched and the date and time? Q. 8 "Pupuseria Taqueria food truck" April 6, 2018, 5:00 and 9 37 seconds p.m. UTC. 10 What was the location of that search? Q. 11 This is at the intersection essentially of Route 32 and Α. 12 Route 1, Washington Boulevard in Jessup. 13 And 190. Q. 14 Α. Would you like me to read it? 15 Q. Yes. "Martins Total Seasoning," April 6, 2018, 5:05 and 4616 17 p.m. UTC. 18 And showing you now I'm going to back up a little. But 19 182A --20 THE COURT: I thought you said 182A was not going to 21 be offered. 22 MR. MOOMAU: I would offer it at this time. 23 THE COURT: Okay. No issues I take it? 24 MR. HAWKS: No objection. 25 THE COURT: Okay, Exhibit 182A is in evidence.

VIII-117

## BY MR. MOOMAU:

- Q. Date of the search, time, and what was searched for?
- 3 A. Again, "food near me" on April 6, 2018, 2:46:01 a.m.
- 4 UTC.

- 5 \ Q. Location?
- 6 A. 650 Portland Street, Baltimore.
- 7 0. Now back to where we were, Exhibit 191. What was searched
- 8 for, the date and time?
- 9 A. "Martin's Total Seasoning food truck" April 6, 2018 at
- 10 5:05:54 seconds p.m. UTC.
- 11 Q. Where was that located?
- 12 A. Along Route 1 in Jessup, just south of the Life Storage
- in Jessup which at the time was EZ Storage.
- 14  $\parallel$  Q. And what was the time for that particular search?
- 15 A. April 6, 2018 at 5:05 p.m. UTC.
- 16  $\parallel$  Q. Now I'd like to jump to Exhibit 297. It's been admitted.
- 17 Are you familiar with Exhibit 297?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. I'd like to go to the date, April 6th. This particular
- 20 exhibit, 297, what is that?
- 21 A. These are the, I guess, logs from EZ Storage showing the
- 22 entry to the facility, as well as the Unit A-207 which was the
- locker rented by Noah Smothers.
- Q. Any of those times consistent with the Google location
- 25 data that you just testified about?

VIII-118

A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Which one is that?
- 3 A. The one kind of in the middle there. It says "granted
- 4 entry" on 4/6/2018 at 1:06 and 31 seconds p.m.
- 5 Q. Now showing you Exhibit 192. What is Exhibit 192?
- 6 A. It's a search term for "food near me" on April 6, 2018 at
- 7 5:32 and 27 seconds p.m. UTC.
- Q. Okay. Now going down to the location data for that
- 9 particular search, where is that at?
- 10 A. This is essentially at the intersection of Route 295 and
- 11 Baltimore Washington Parkway and Route 197 just south of the
- 12 Red Roof Inn in Laurel.
- 13 Q. Where the pin is, approximately how far would that be
- 14 | from the Bristolwood Court residence that was searched on June
- 15 6th of 2018?
- 16 A. About a mile away.
- 17 Q. And Sergeant Simms, were there -- there were cellular
- 18 | telephones, you've testified that there were cellular
- 19 telephones that were recovered and data extracted from them as
- 20 part of this case?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- 22 Q. And were there two particular phones in relation to Mr.
- 23 Taeyan Williams recovered?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- 25 MR. MOOMAU: Your Honor, I'd like to show Exhibit

VIII-119

522. It's been admitted. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 BY MR. MOOMAU: 4 Sir, what was the date and place where the two particular 5 telephones -- or do you remember when they were recovered 6 related to Taeyan Williams? 7 Yes, sir. Α. 8 When was that? Q. 9 July 30, 2018. Α. 10 Q. Where at? 11 At the North Central Regional Jail in Greenwood, West 12 Virginia. 13 Now I'd like to show you Exhibit 344. Let's go back one 14 to 343. Have you reviewed the extraction report for one of 15 those particular cell phones and iPhone? 16 Yes, sir. Α.

- 17 Q. And were there images recovered from that device?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. I'd like to show you Exhibit 356. Do you recognize this?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. And what is 356?
- 22 A. An image recovered from Taeyan Williams' cell phone.
- 23  $\parallel$  Q. Scroll down to -- was there a date and time as far as
- 24 creation, modification?
- 25 A. 11/25/2017 at 00:52 hours UTC.

- 1 Q. And showing you Exhibit 357. Do you recognize this item?
- 2 A. Yes, sir.
- 3 Q. And what was that?
- 4 A. It's another image found saved in the phone associated
- 5 with Taeyan Williams.
- 6 Q. What was the creation date for that?
- 7 A. It would be April 18, 2017, 22:41 hours UTC.
- 8 Q. And sir, in that device did you find any evidence of --
- 9 are you familiar with what Wickr is?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. Did you find any evidence of Wickr communication in the
- 12 device?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. And as far as the Wickr names for persons involved in the
- 15 communication, you remember that?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 Q. And what were the names?
- 18 A. Noah88 and taesowavey.
- 19 Q. Showing you Exhibit 362, do you recognize this particular
- 20 exhibit?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Now showing you Exhibit 363. Do you recognize 363?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. I didn't ask you, 362, where did you recognize it from,
- 25 what part of the phone was it in?

VIII-121

- A. In the images.
- Q. Okay, and what about 363?
- 3 A. The same.

- 4 Q. Okay, 363, can you just read the communication there?
- 5 A. "I'll be there late so if you guys don't wanna meet
- 6 tonight we can meet tomorrow since I'll be staying over." From
- 7 | the user taesowavey: "It's all good and I'll be up." From the
- 8 user Noah88: "Okay I'm bringing a lot to check out. Gorilla
- 9 Glue Cheeizel OG Cush Sour Chem White Widow and Mr. Nice. Got
- some White Grapes on me too if you need lows."
- 11 Q. Can you scroll down and see if we can get the date for
- 12 that particular communication or when it was saved? What was
- 13 | the date on that?
- 14  $\blacksquare$  A. It appeared to be saved on 11/26/2017.
- 15 Q. Now I'd like to show you what's been entered as 340B. Do
- 16 you recognize this document?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 | Q. And what is 340B?
- 19 A. These are an excerpt of text messages recovered from
- 20 Taeyan Williams' cell phone.
- 21 Q. And who are the people talking?
- 22 A. The user, the number assigned to the device and a contact
- 23 saved as Coxka.
- Q. And from the last two conversations it says "Yeah man I'm
- 25 chilling you in town?" Who is that from?

Simms - direct VIII-122

A. From Coxka.

- Q. And then the response to that from 681-404-1855?
- 3 A. It says "I'm in MD" or Maryland.
- 4 Q. Now moving onto Exhibit 158, second page. Going down to
- 5 line 11. Again, what is this particular exhibit?
- 6 A. These are the various locations that Noah Smothers stayed
- 7 in through the company Airbnb.
- 8 Q. And on November 25th did it indicate where he was
- 9 staying?

1

- 10 A. 11311 Wycombe Park Lane in Glenn Dale, Maryland.
- 11 Q. I'd like to show you now Exhibit 528. Are you familiar
- 12 where Glenn Dale is?
- 13 A. Roughly, it's in Prince George's County.
- 14 | Q. Approximately how far would it be from the Bristolwood
- 15 Court?
- 16 A. Probably about ten minutes.
- 17 Q. Now I'd like to show you Exhibit 364. What is Exhibit
- 18 364?
- 19 A. It's a screenshot of messages between a user taesowavey
- and Noah88.
- 21 Q. Showing you Exhibit 366. What is that?
- 22 A. Again, a screenshot of communications between Taesowavey
- and Noah88.
- 24 **THE COURT:** So Mr. Moomau, we should probably break
- 25 for lunch soon. Once you're done with this exhibit?

VIII-123

1 MR. MOOMAU: Can we do one more page from this 2 particular exhibit? 3 THE COURT: Sure, of course. 4 MR. MOOMAU: Thank you, Your Honor. 5 BY MR. MOOMAU: 6 Showing you 368. Do you recognize this document? 7 Yes, sir. Again, it's a screenshot of communications Α. 8 between Taesowavey and Noah88. 9 MR. MOOMAU: That's it, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Okay. So ladies and gentlemen, we'll 11 take the lunch break now. We'll see you back here in an hour. 12 Again, don't discuss the case, just enjoy the lunch break. 13 We'll see you back here in an hour at 1:50. Thank you very 14 much. 15 (The jury exited the courtroom at 12:51 p.m.) 16 THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated. Sergeant 17 Simms, you can go back to your regular seat. Mr. Moomau, how much longer with this witness would you 18 19 say? 20 MR. MOOMAU: At least an hour, maybe an 21 hour-and-a-half. We do have some summary recordings to play 22 through him. We have one jail call to play through him. 23 THE COURT: But you have this other witness coming 24 up as well, correct? 25 MR. MOOMAU: That's correct.

VIII-124

1 THE COURT: Okay. So I guess we'll just see everyone 2 back here at 1:50 and we'll continue. 3 Mr. Nieto, if for some reason you're delayed just let us 4 know. We'll stall for you if we need to. 5 MR. NIETO: Your Honor, I will do my best. I may be 6 in the actual hearing which may make it difficult to 7 communicate. 8 THE COURT: Yeah, right. What you can do, usually, I 9 mean, I'm assuming do what we would typically do is you could 10 let the courtroom deputy call Ms. Solomon if there is a delay, 11 let him or her know that. Thank you. 12 (Luncheon recess was taken from 12:52 to 2:01 p.m.) 13 THE COURT: Mr. Nieto, you're back no worse for the 14 wear it seems. Any reason we can't just call the jury in? 15 Okay, we'll do that. 16 MR. MOOMAU: We're ready, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Thank you. 18 MR. MOOMAU: Your Honor, there are two exhibits that 19 I will be moving in once the jury comes in. 20 THE COURT: Okay. 21 (Jury reentered the courtroom at 2:02 p.m.) 22 THE COURT: Thank you, everyone. Please be seated. 23 So we are ready to resume with the testimony of Sergeant 24 Simms. Go ahead, Mr. Moomau. 25 MR. MOOMAU: Thank you, Your Honor. At this time the

```
Government would move in Exhibits 357 and 554.
1
2
                 THE COURT: Okay. Without objection, Exhibit -- any
3
       issues?
 4
                 MR. HAWKS: None, Your Honor.
 5
                 THE COURT: Exhibit 357 and 554 are in evidence.
 6
                 MR. MOOMAU: Thank you.
7
       BY MR. MOOMAU:
8
            Sergeant Simms, I would like to show you what's been
9
       admitted as Exhibit 338. Do you recognize this exhibit?
10
       Α.
            Yes, sir.
            And just what is it, for the record?
11
       0.
12
       Α.
            The contact list from Taeyan Williams' phone.
13
            And there is one particular -- going to number 9, a
       Q.
14
       contact by the name of OG?
15
       Α.
            Yes, sir.
16
            And what's the number for that?
       Q.
17
       Α.
            It's plus 1-929-290-6897.
            I'd like to show -- as part of the investigation, did you
18
19
       take actions to identify the person in the contact named as
20
       OG?
21
       Α.
            Yes.
22
       Q.
            I'd like to show you Exhibit 344. Are you familiar with
       what is shown in Exhibit 344?
23
24
            Yes, sir.
       Α.
25
       Q.
            And what is it?
```

VIII-126

- A. It's a text message, a portion of text messages extracted from Taeyan Williams' phone.
  - Q. Between what persons?
- 4 A. The user of that phone and a contact by the name of OG.
- Q. So what I'd like to do is start on lines -- start with the first page. And could you tell us, like, the -- starting
- 7 with the group of SMS messages on lines 1 and 2. Can you read
- 8 those?

- 9 A. From OG and it says "Yo send the list." And then to OG

  10 it says "Gorilla Glue, Cheeizel, Sour Chem, OG."
- Q. Okay, now going back to the full list, starting with on
  December 6, 2017, that whole page. Can you read those and tell
- us the date?
- 14 A. It's from OG on 12/6/2017. It says "Need 20 for tomorrow.
- 6 Buba, 6 Chem, 5 Cheeizel, 3 OG." And again, from OG it says
- 17 Q. And going on after that?
- 18 A. From OG on 12/7/17: "Yo, what's good a go or not?"
- 19 Again from OG on 12/8/2017, "10 Buba, 5 chem, 3 OG, 2 gizzel."
- 20 To OG he said "he'll be there around 8." From OG, "okay send
- 21 the list to him." From OG, "how long? My folks here plus got
- 22 to make moves." To OG he said "12." That's on 12/9/2017. From
- OG "dam man you're killing me I told my man 9 cause you said 8
- 24 bad" -- I believe that's supposed to be communication -- "bro
- 25 not professional." And then from OG it says "how long" and

- 1 then to OG it just says "yo."
- 2 Q. And then continue on down through line 17.
- 3 A. From OG on 12/9/17: "How long? My folks still here.
- 4 What's good." "How long? My folks still here. What's good?"
- 5 Again from OG on 12/9/17: "Why you not answering your phone
- fag?" To OG same date, "said he'll be there in ten minutes."
- 7 Q. All right. Now sir, as part of the investigation did you
- 8 take actions or did the Government take actions to try to
- 9 determine maybe who they were waiting for when they were
- 10 referring to that conversation?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. And what's the date of that communication?
- 13 A. 12/9/2017.
- 14 | O. And is that UTC time?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 December?
- 18 A. In December I believe you would subtract five hours
- 19 because of Daylight Savings Time.
- 20  $\parallel$  Q. I'd like to show you Exhibit 158. Go to line 14, please.
- 21 And what is Exhibit 158?
- 22 A. Again, these are records from Airbnb in regards to Noah
- 23 Smothers showing that he rented a place at 501 East Baltimore
- 24 Street in Baltimore, Maryland on 1/9/2017.
- Q. If I could go back to Exhibit 344. And let's go to lines

```
1
       18 through 26. And these particular messages, who is this
2
       communication between?
 3
            Again, same as before, between OG and Taeyan Williams'
 4
       phone.
 5
       Q.
            And what's the date of that?
 6
       Α.
           12/14/2017.
7
            Can you read that communication between the user of this
       Q.
8
       particular phone and OG?
9
            Sure. To OG it says, "My girl dropping me off and might
10
       spend the night. That cool?" From OG: "Yeah that's cool." To
11
           "1500 OG a piece. 1600 Cheeizel. 14 Mr. Nice." To OG:
12
       "74 total." From OG: "She staying or not?" To OG: "Nah."
       From OG: "Okay." To OG: "I'm here." And then from OG: "Walk
13
14
       Lightning for me don't want him shit in the cage."
15
            Now going down to lines of the same exhibit which will be
16
       344, I want to go down to lines 29, 30 and 31. Could you read?
17
            Yes, sir. To OG on 1/8/2018 it says: "What's your date
18
       of birth?" Again, to OG:
                                      /76."
19
            And what's the response from OG after that?
       Q.
20
       Α.
            "Yeah."
21
            Now I'd like to take you to Exhibit 160. Do you recognize
22
       Exhibit 160?
```

- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. What is it?
- 25 A. A copy of a driving license record from Maryland Motor

- 1 Vehicle Administration.
- Q. And the date of birth?
- 3 A. /1976.
- 4 Q. And who is that for?
- 5 A. Scott Williams.
- 6 Q. All right. Now showing you Exhibit 345. Do you recognize
- 7 | Exhibit 345?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. What is it?
- 10 A. Again, it's chat messages between a user named OG and the
- 11 user Taeyan Williams' phone.
- 12 Q. What I'd like to do is start with the group of chats, the
- date there January 31, 2018. It's pretty much the whole first
- 14 page. Can you read that document just like you did with the
- 15 SMS messages? Starting at the top on 5:40:28. What was the
- 16 message and who is it from?
- 17 A. It's from the user OG. It says "Gucciforlife@gmail.com."
- 18 The next message says "it's not a valid e-mail."
- 19 Q. Who is that from?
- 20 A. From Taeyan Williams' phone to OG.
- Q. And below that?
- 22 | A. Then it says, "I need a e-mail to send the guarantor so I
- can move in." Again, that's to the OG number. Then it says, "I
- got the money handled already," again to the OG number. From
- 25 the OG number it says "one word no space."

VIII-130

1 Now on that same Exhibit 345 I'd like to go to the Q. 2 messaging that was on February 8 -- dated February 8, 2018. 3 Can you read this series of messages, please? 4 To the contact saved as OG it says, "what up?" Again to Α. "You drop that off to Brandon yet?" Again to OG, "You 5 6 weigh out the joint in the bag?" Once again to OG, "Was it 7 over or under?" And then from OG, "He left." And "No I check 8 later." 9 Now I'd like -- can you continue with the --Q. 10 From the user OG, 2/11/18 it says, "54 on the Gucci you Α. 11 got." To the contact OG, "Weigh out one for him. You at the 12 house?" The user OG responds, "I make it. 56. Just give it 13 to him." Then it's sent to OG "Aight, whatever." The user OG 14 says, "Where he at? About to leave." And then to the user OG, 15 "He coming now." 16 I'd like to go now to a series of messages on February Q. 17 17, 2018. Do you recognize this? 18 Α. Yes, sir. 19 And again, these messages are between who? Q. 20 Α. Taeyan Williams' phone and a contact saved as OG. 21 Can you please read that and the date? Q. 22 Α. Sure. To the contact OG, "Noah coming?" OG responds, 23 "Yeah he just left." OG also says, "Give him your half. He 24 coming to you." 25 And that last particular message was at what time on

VIII-131

1 February 17, 2018?

- 2 A. 4:11:04 a.m UTC.
- 3 Q. And to get to a normal time Eastern Standard Time would
- 4 you --
- 5 A. In February that should be subtracting five hours.
- 6 Q. And sir, did you review records to see in the record
- 7 whether or not Noah Smothers would have been in the area
- 8 during this particular time?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. I'd like to show you what's been admitted as Exhibit 151.
- 11 Do you recognize Exhibit 151?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- 13 O. And what is it?
- 14 A. Capital One banking records related to Noah Smothers.
- Q. I'd like to go to page 11. Focusing on February 16, 2017
- where it says "DC ticket payment" and below that down through
- 17 February 17, "Morgantown." What is shown on February 16, 2018?
- 18 A. One of the transactions says "DC ticket payment" for
- 19 \$100.
- 20 Q. And then on February 17th?
- 21 A. So there's an EZ Pass transaction for \$25 and then
- 22 Morgantown Parking Authority, Morgantown, West Virginia for
- 23 \$8.50.
- Q. And part of the time in February of 2018 where was Taeyan
- 25 Williams living?

VIII-132

- A. Morgantown, West Virginia.
- 2 Q. Sir, as part of the investigation in this case, were
- 3 records from Uber subpoenaed?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.

- 5 Q. For what particular username?
- 6 A. Records regarding Taeyan Williams.
- 7 Q. All right. I'd like to show you what's been admitted as
- 8 Exhibit 448. We'll scroll down to the second page, third page.
- 9 Do you remember the time period that the records were
- 10 subpoenaed for? Anyway, do you see the date there in the top
- 11 right-hand corner?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- 13 | 0. What's that?
- 14 A. April 10, 2018.
- 15 Q. Now scrolling down to the last document, what's that
- 16 date?
- 17 A. March 28, 2018.
- 18 Q. And on March 28, 2018 for Taeyan Williams, according to
- 19 the Uber records, what's that show?
- 20 A. Showing a trip from 6003 University Town Center Drive in
- 21 Morgantown, West Virginia to an address on Domain Drive,
- 22 Granville, West Virginia.
- 23 Q. And scrolling up, what's the date for this?
- 24 A. March 28, 2018.
- 25 Q. Same location?

Simms - direct VIII-133

- A. Same ending location.
- Q. Okay. In the Morgantown, West Virginia area?
- 3 A. Yes, sir.

- 4 Q. And scrolling up one, March 29th?
- 5 A. From 10304 Bristolwood Court in Laurel, Maryland to 5006A
- 6 Jackson Street, Hyattsville, Maryland.
- 7 \ Q. So what's the date on that?
- 8 A. March 29, 2018.
- 9 Q. So we're in Maryland now?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. Scroll on up, please. Up a little more. Okay. What's the
- 12 date there?
- 13 A. March 30, 2018.
- Q. And where is the Uber transportation to?
- 15 A. From 10303 Bristolwood Court, Laurel, Maryland to a
- 16 location in North Laurel, Maryland.
- 17 Q. And scrolling on up, same date, same area on March 30th?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. Okay, scrolling up. Same general area, Bristolwood Court,
- 20 March 30, 2018?
- 21 A. Correct, to 5420 Lynx Lane, Columbia, Maryland.
- 22  $\parallel$  Q. Do you recognize that address, that area?
- 23 A. Yes, sir, that area.
- Q. Scrolling on up. What area or where was the
- 25 transportation at in this exhibit? We're on page I think 22

VIII-134

1 or 24.

- 2 A. It's an address in Columbia, Maryland to 10303
- 3 Bristolwood Court in Laurel, Maryland.
- 4 Q. Scrolling up, what's the date there?
- 5 A. April 1, 2018.
- 6 O. From where to where?
- 7 A. Laurel, Maryland to Bladensburg, Maryland.
- 8 Q. And keep going up, please. Now looking at page 22. What's
- 9 this document show?
- 10 A. April 1, 2018 from Hyattsville, Maryland to College Park,
- 11 Maryland.
- 12 Q. Scroll up to page 21. Transportation from where to where?
- 13 A. Again on April 1, 2018 from College Park, Maryland to
- 14 Laurel, Maryland.
- 15 Q. And then let's go up to page 20. What's the date on that?
- 16 A. April 3, 2018.
- 17 | Q. And transportation from where to where?
- 18 A. From Laurel, Maryland to Greenbelt, Maryland.
- 19 Q. And continuing on up. Again on April 3rd, page 17.
- 20 A. From Greenbelt, Maryland to College Park, Maryland.
- 21 Q. And then we'll go up to page 18.
- 22 A. It's April 4, 2018 from College Park, Maryland to Laurel,
- 23 Maryland.
- 24 **Q.** Page 17.
- 25 A. April 6, 2018 from Laurel, Maryland to Columbia,

VIII-135

1 Maryland.

- Q. Okay. What was the time of that trip from Bristolwood
- 3 Court to Fox Cross Lane in Columbia, Maryland?
- 4 A. 3:59 start time, 4:37 end time.
- 5 Q. All right, scroll up. Again, what's the date of this?
- 6 A. April 6, 2018.
- 7 Q. And where is this transportation from and to?
- 8 A. From Tysons, Virginia to College Park, Maryland.
- 9 Q. And what's the time of that?
- 10 A. 9:16 p.m. starting and 9:53 p.m. ending.
- 11 Q. And then go up, please. Looking now at page 15. On page
- 12 | 15, what's the date of that?
- 13 A. April 7, 2018.
- 14 Q. From where to where?
- 15 A. From Bristolwood Court in Laurel address to Tysons,
- 16 Virginia.
- 17 Q. Same place, just the day before?
- 18 A. Generally, yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. And the date on that is April 7, 2018?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. Then scroll up to page 14. What's the transportation and
- 22 the times on that and the date?
- 23 A. April 7, 2018 at 10:07 p.m from Ring Road in Tysons,
- 24 Virginia ending at 10:44 p.m. at 10301 Bristolwood Court,
- 25 Laurel, Maryland.

VIII-136

1 You've been talking about the Bristolwood Court. Q. 2 recognize that address? 3 Α. Yes. 4 And whose address is that? Q. 5 The address where we executed a search warrant occupied Α. 6 by Scott Williams and Taeyan Williams. 7 What I'd like to do now is to go up to April 8th. Q. 8 MR. NIETO: Objection, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: What's the objection? 10 MR. NIETO: If I may very briefly, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Talk to counsel? 12 MR. NIETO: Yes. 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14 (Counsel conferred off the record.) 15 MR. NIETO: I'll withdraw my objection. 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 BY MR. MOOMAU: Sergeant, when I asked you about the Bristolwood Court 18 19 address you mentioned the residence where the search warrant 20 was executed for Scott and Taeyan Williams. Taeyan Williams 21 wasn't there when that was done on June 6th, correct? 22 Α. Correct, he was not there. 23 So I want to keep scrolling to April 8, 2018. Looking at 24 page 12. Let's go up one more. And one more, please. On this 25 particular page, page 11, transportation from where to where

VIII-137

on what time?

1

2

3

4

8

9

- A. April 8, 2018 at 2:31 a.m., transportation from 5005

  Jackson Street, Hyattsville, Maryland ending at 2:49 a.m. at

  10303 Bristolwood Court, Laurel, Maryland.
- Q. And scrolling up. Keep going. Okay, then on April 8th I guess later in the day in the afternoon, can you please tell us about this transportation?
  - A. It's April 8, 2018 starting at 2:10 p.m. from 10301

    Bristolwood Court, Laurel Maryland ending at 3:01 p.m., ending at 2698 Research Boulevard, Rockville, Maryland.
- 11 Q. And then scroll up to page 8. What's shown on this slide?
- A. On April 8, 2018 at 3:34 p.m. from that same address in Rockville, Maryland ending at 4:15 p.m. to 10303 Bristolwood
- 14 Court, Laurel, Maryland.
- Q. Now I want to scroll up to page 7. And what is shown on this particular page?
- A. This is on April 10, 2018. I'm not sure if it's a completed trip or not, but it has the address, an address in Westover, West Virginia as the originating location.
- Q. So by April 10th the transportation is back in West Virginia?
- 22 A. It appears to be, yes.
- Q. I want to scroll up to the top, sort of keep going to the account. I apologize. I want to do -- this is page 2 of the document, e-mail address, phone number listed on there.

Simms - direct VIII-138

A. Yes, sir.

- Q. What is that?
- 3 A. The name is Taeyan Williams with a phone number of plus
- $4 \quad 1-681-404-1855$  and an e-mail address of
- 5 Taeyanwilliams@gmail.com.
- 6 Q. Sir, are you familiar with an area or location called
- 7 Windsor Forest?
- 8 A. Windsor Forest Apartments, yes, sir.
- 9 Q. Where is that at?
- 10 A. Essentially in West Baltimore.
- 11 Q. And just for -- I'd like to show you an exhibit that is
- marked -- admitted as Exhibit 528. Do you recognize this?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. And what is Exhibit 528?
- 15 A. These would be driving directions from 10301 Bristolwood
- 16 Court eventually to the Windsor Forest Apartments.
- 17 Q. Of course somebody can take several different routes to
- get there, correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. So the purpose of this is to show general locations?
- 21 A. Correct, and a route that could be driven.
- 22  $\parallel$  Q. And that address, Windsor, what address is that relevant
- 23 to, Windsor Forest?
- 24  $\blacksquare$  A. That's a location where the victim's car was abandoned
- 25 at.

- Q. I'd like to show you what's been admitted as Exhibit 315.
- 2 I'd like to focus in on the license plate there. Do you
- 3 recognize this document?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- 5 Q. And what is it?
- A. It's the license plate reader image captured from the
- 7 grounds of the Windsor Forest Apartments.
- Q. And sir, as a member of the Maryland State Police, do you
- 9 have the ability to perform license plate queries?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. Just how do you go about doing that?
- 12 A. As a law enforcement officer you have access to
- databases, meters, and NCIC that allows you to query
- 14 | individual license plate sequences and states and territories
- in order to obtain records from the Motor Vehicle
- 16 Administration of that respective area.
- 17 \ Q. And do you do that as part of your regular law
- 18 enforcement duties?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And did you run a check for license plate number 5XY974?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. How many states?
- 23 A. All of the states, plus some additional territories and
- 24 tribal areas, I believe.
- 25 Q. What was the result of that?

VIII-140

- A. I found only one license plate that matched the vehicle description seen in this photo and that was through the state of Massachusetts.
- Q. Sir, as part of your work on this case did you obtain recordings of telephone calls made by Mr. Taeyan Williams?
- 6 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And from what facility did you obtain those recordings from?
- 9 A. They were phone calls made from the North Central
  10 Regional Jail in Greenwood, West Virginia.
- Q. Did you serve a subpoena on that facility to obtain that information?

Α.

13

- Q. And did you also get records from that facility?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
- 16  $\parallel$  Q. I'd like to show you what's been admitted as Exhibit 468.
- 17 It's a four-page document, but do you recognize it looking at
- 18 the first page?
- A. These are inmate records from the North Central Regional
- 20 Jail for Taeyan Williams.

Yes, sir.

- 21 Q. And it has a date there. What's that for?
- 22 A. Booking and release date.
- 23 Q. I'd also like to show you what's been admitted as Exhibit
- 24 | 534. Do you recognize this document?
- 25 A. Yes, sir.

- Q. What is it?
- 2 A. It's a list of various phone calls made under Taeyan
- 3 Williams' name from the facility.
- 4 Q. Looking at the very first call, what was the date on
- 5 that?
- 6 A. It would be 8/8/2018.
- 7 Q. And have you listened to that particular call?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. The number that the call was placed to?
- 10  $\blacksquare$  A. The dialed number is 301-404-3782.
- 11 Q. When you listened to that particular call did you
- 12 recognize any of the voices on that call?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. How were you able to do that?
- 15 A. Just from my prior interactions.
- 16 Q. Interactions with who?
- 17 A. Individuals associated with this case.
- 18 Q. You can by names --
- 19 A. Taeyan Williams.
- 20  $\parallel$  Q. And who else is a party to that phone call?
- 21 A. I don't recall at this time.
- 22 Q. Okay. Do you remember the date that Mr. Scott Williams
- 23 was placed under arrest?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- 25 Q. As a result of the search warrant at Bristolwood?

VIII-142

A. Yes, sir.

1

- Q. And what date was that?
- 3 A. June 6, 2018.
- 4 Q. And at some point was he released on bail?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- 6 Q. This particular call that's been admitted as Exhibit 535
- 7 also captioned ID 337 -- 37, have you had an opportunity to
- 8 listen to that?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 | Q. Now do you recognize -- when you listened to it, did you
- 11 recognize the voices on the recording?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- 13 Q. Who was it?
- 14 A. Again, Taeyan Williams and I believe Scott Williams.
- Q. Okay. Was a transcript prepared of that call?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 Q. And was anything done with the transcript as far as the
- 18 call?
- 19 A. It was inserted into the call as captions.
- 20  $\parallel$  Q. Was a transcript as well as the caption call accurate?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- 22 MR. MOOMAU: Your Honor, at this time I would
- 23 request permission to play ID 37 which is the call we just
- 24 identified.
- 25 **THE COURT:** What's the actual exhibit number this is

VIII-143

1 associated with? 2 MR. MOOMAU: The actual exhibit number would be 535. 3 THE COURT: And this is Taeyan Williams and Scott 4 Williams, according to the witness? 5 MR. MOOMAU: Yes, it is. 6 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. 7 MR. MOOMAU: We will scroll it forward to skip 8 through the recorded parts, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Thank you. 10 (Audiotape was played.) 11 BY MR. MOOMAU: 12 Q. Do you recognize the voices on there? 13 Α. Yes, sir. 14 Q. Who is that? 15 Α. Taeyan Williams and Scott Williams. 16 (Audiotape was played.) 17 BY MR. MOOMAU: 18 And Sergeant Simms, on the exhibit that you just watched 19 the captions, was "SW" Scott Williams, "TW" Taeyan Williams? 20 Α. That's correct. 21 There was a lot of conversation about a phone. Where was 22 that phone at the time they were talking about the phone? 23 I believe at the time of the call the police already had Α. 24 custody of the phone.

Nadine M. Bachmann, RMR, CRR - Federal Official Court Reporter 101 W. Lombard Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21201 nadine bachmann@mdd.uscourts.gov

Sir, I want to ask you some questions about the EZ

- 1 Storage facility. Again, what was the address of that?
- 2 A. EZ Storage was 8255 Washington Boulevard, Jessup,
- 3 Maryland.
- 4 Q. I'd like to show you an exhibit admitted as 302A. Are you
- 5 familiar with this photograph?
- 6 A. Yes, sir.
- 7 \ Q. What was the date on that?
- 8 A. April 7, 2018.
- 9 Q. And the time?
- 10 A. 9:06 and 35 seconds p.m.
- 11 Q. Do you notice anything about the trunk of the vehicle?
- 12 A. Yes, sir. It appears to be up.
- 13 Q. And 302B, do you recognize this particular picture?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. What's the date on that?
- 16 A. April 8, 2018.
- 17 Q. I'd like to show you what's been admitted as Exhibit 444.
- 18 | Giving you a chance to look at it, do you recognize what this
- 19 document is?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. What is it?
- 22 A. Records from Enterprise regarding I guess the locations
- or transactions regarding the Nissan Altima.
- 24 | Q. Which Nissan Altima is this?
- 25 A. The one with the license plate 5XY984 from Massachusetts

- 1 that was rented by Scott Williams.
- Q. And on some particular day did you rent out that car?
- 3 A. Yes, sir.
- 4 Q. And what date was that?
- 5 A. It was on June 7, 2018.
- 6 Q. Showing you what's been admitted as 543. Do you recognize
- 7 this picture?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. What's this?
- 10 A. It's a photograph I took of that vehicle when I rented
- 11 it.
- 12 Q. And 541, do you recognize that?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. Did you make -- take any action after you -- tell us
- about the picture in 541.
- 16  $\blacksquare$  A. So when I rented the car, I briefly inspected the vehicle
- and I noticed that as you can see here, the screws to the
- 18 | front license plate were only half screwed back in.
- 19 Q. Did you take any items in addition to the vehicle into
- 20 your possession then?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- 22 Q. What was that? Did you take the screws and the license
- 23 plate?
- 24 A. Yes, sir. I removed them from the vehicle.
- 25 Q. Sir, why did you rent the particular vehicle?

VIII-146

- 1 I wanted to compare it to the Nissan Altima seen in the 2 videos at the EZ Storage facility.
- 3 And did you prepare an exhibit, summary-type exhibit that 4 included recordings from the EZ Storage, data from the EZ 5 Storage, as well as the vehicle that you rented out so you 6 could compare it to the other vehicle that was shown in the
- 7 video recordings?
- 8 Yes, sir.
- 9 And just in your own words, I know I asked you a long 10 question there, but what all did you include in that?
- 11 In the presentation?
- 12 Ο. Yes.
- A number of videos from different entries to the EZ 13 14 Storage facility using Noah Smothers' front gate code, as well 15 as the times that his unit was accessed. In addition, the 16 video of myself driving the car through there for comparison, 17 as well as the actual entry data for the front gate and the unit itself.
- 19 And is that marked as Exhibit 303?
- 20 Α. It may be.
- 21 Q. Okay.

- 22 MR. MOOMAU: Your Honor, at this point I would like 23 to play for the Court and the jury the exhibit that has been 24 admitted as 303.
- 25 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.

VIII-147

## (Videotape was played.)

- Q. What date is this, Sergeant Simms?
  - A. April 5, 2018 at 1:09 p.m.
- 4 Q. And what are we seeing here?
- 5 A. This is a Penske Rental van approaching the gate of the
- 6 EZ Storage facility and entering the code for Noah Smothers as
- 7 shown on the records.
- 8 Q. Is that the record that's also shown on the screen?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.

1

- 10 Q. And what's shown in this slide?
- 11 A. It's just a few minutes later, that same Penske Rental
- van approaching the building where Noah Smothers' storage unit
- 13 was located.
- 14 Q. And showing now?
- 15 A. Same date, opposite view of the last view showing that
- same van pulling up to the side of Building A.
- 17 Q. And was this zoomed?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. All right. And what's being shown there?
- 20  $\blacksquare$  A. The driver of that van is getting out, opening the rear
- of the van and eventually he'll bring boxes into the building.
- 22  $\parallel$  Q. And right there at the bottom in the white and the black,
- 23 what's that from?
- 24 A. It's showing that Unit A-207, that the door to that unit
- 25 was opened on 4/5/18 at 1:11 p.m.

Simms - direct VIII-148

- 1 Q. Next slide. Now tell us what you did here.
- 2 A. So this is just a screenshot of essentially each box that
- 3 the driver unloads from the van and brings into Building A.
- 4 Q. And how were you able to determine that?
- 5 A. I watched the video and counted.
- 6 Q. Okay. And showing now?
- 7 A. Same thing.
- 8 Q. How many trips or boxes were you able to determine was
- 9 loaded, unloaded from the van?
- 10 A. It appeared to be 15.
- 11 Q. And what's being shown in this slide?
- 12 A. Showing the door to Unit A-207 was closed on that same
- date at 1:21 p.m. and the driver getting back in the vehicle.
- 14 Q. And what are we looking at here, sir?
- 15 A. This is that same van leaving the storage facility.
- 16  $\parallel$  Q. Now again, the next group, what date is this, starting at
- 17 what time?
- 18 A. April 5, 2018 at 5:36 p.m.
- 19 Q. Do you recognize the vehicle pulling in?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. Where do you recognize that?
- 22  $\parallel$  A. That's the victim's Kia Sportage that was found abandoned
- 23 in Baltimore City.
- Q. Now sir, showing you this next slide, what does this
- 25 show?

- A. Again, these are screenshots taken from the video that show Noah Smothers removing five boxes and a bag from his
- 3 locker, contemporaneous to that vehicle arriving in the last
- 4 video.
- 5 Q. Approximately what time?
- 6 A. Between 5:49 p.m. and 6:01 p.m.
- 7 Q. Next. What's shown now?
- A. Noah Smothers in the Kia Sportage exiting the storage facility.
- 10 Q. And now being shown what's the date and time?
- 11 A. This is the next morning, April 6, 2018 at 7:50 a.m.
- 12 Q. Shown there at the bottom, where is that from?
- A. That's the logs from EZ Storage showing his PIN entered
- 14 to enter the front gate.
- 15 Q. Now what is shown on the screen now?
- A. Again, I took screenshots from that video showing Noah
- 17 Smothers leaving his unit with two boxes.
- 18 | Q. All right. Next, please. Is that what you were referring
- 19 to?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. And we can move that forward to the next slide. What are
- 22 we looking at now, sir?
- 23 A. This is Noah in his vehicle exiting the EZ Storage
- 24 facility.
- Q. Okay, let's move to the next slide, please. What date and

VIII-150

1 time here?

2

- A. April 6, 2018 at 1:07 p.m.
- 3 Q. Whose vehicle is that?
- 4 A. Noah Smothers' rented car.
- 5 Q. Now what are we looking at on the screen?
- 6 A. These are screenshots of Noah Smothers exiting his
- 7 storage unit with two boxes.
- 8 Q. And what time was that and the date?
  - A. It was 4/6/18 at 1:16 and 1:18 p.m.
- 10 Q. Okay. Next slide, please. You can advance that to the
- 11 next slide. And that car beside the Sportage, was that the one
- 12 that followed the Kia Sportage in, kind of piggybacked?
- 13 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. Okay. Date and time here?
- 15 A. April 6, 2018 at 8:37 p.m.
- 16 Q. What area?
- 17 A. This is looking along the side of Building A towards the
- entry to Noah Smothers' unit or the door that would lead to
- 19 his unit.
- 20 Q. Was the door accessed at this time?
- 21 A. It was not.
- 22 Q. And now the time and date now?
- 23 A. April 7, 2018 at 9:05 p.m.
- 24 Q. Did you identify a still picture of this earlier?
- 25 A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Going forward now to April 8th. What's being shown here?
- 2 A. This is the silver Nissan Altima arriving at the front
- gate and entering Noah Smothers' PIN to gain access.
- 4 Q. This slide that you're being shown now is just a
- 5 different view?
- 6 A. Yeah. Essentially same video, just different view.
- 7 \ Q. What's going on in this slide?
- 8 A. It's tough to see because of the glare, but it appears
- 9 that a vehicle pulls up, there's some disturbances in the
- 10 light that looks like somebody walking in front of the
- vehicle. And there's a log on the bottom of the unit A-207's
- door being opened and closed.
- 13 Q. Now shown on the bottom, what's that?
- 14  $\blacksquare$  A. Again, the unit A-207 is opened and closed.
- 15 Q. Now what are we looking at now, Sergeant Simms?
- 16 A. This is a comparison, two videos. One on April 8, 2018
- and one when I drove the vehicle through on June 7, 2018.
- 18 \ Q. And which vehicle -- shown on the right is you?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. Same car?
- 21 A. Appears to be, yes.
- 22 Q. And on the left?
- 23 A. That's the video that we just watched from April 8, 2018.
- Q. Sir, I wanted to show you something I didn't actually
- show you on Exhibit 302B. I want to go to page 3 of 10. You

- 1 recognize this picture?
- 2 A. Yes, sir.
- 3 Q. And I want to advance it. And what's the date of this?
- 4 A. April 8, 2018.
- 5 Q. Still shot taken from one of the video recordings you
- 6 just talked about?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. We'll go forward to page 4 now. Same?
- 9 A. Same thing, yes, sir.
- 10 Q. Page 5?
- 11 A. Same thing.
- 12 Q. Okay. Page 6. Now, do you see anything in the vehicle?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. And we're going to go through to page 7. First of
- all, does the vehicle appear to have a front license plate on
- 16 | it?
- 17 A. It does not.
- 18 Q. Okay. Do you recognize any --
- 19 A. It appears to be a cardboard box.
- 20  $\parallel$  Q. And what about in the back and on the side?
- 21 A. Appears to be bags.
- 22 Q. Okay. Next page. Can you describe that?
- 23 A. Again, just looks to be bags of some sort.
- Q. Sir, I want to switch now to some DNA work that was done.
- 25 Did you assist in the preparation of a PowerPoint or slideshow

VIII-153

the location of swabs on various items recovered during the 1 2 Bristolwood search warrant? 3 Α. Yes, sir. 4 And do you remember what items those were? Q. 5 Yes, there were a number of items. Α. 6 What were they? Q. 7 Firearms, bags, weapons. Α. 8 And were you present when those items were actually 9 swabbed for the presence of DNA and/or actually blood? 10 Some of them, yes. Α. 11 And have you prepared a summary exhibit that in addition 12 to the items or the matters shown in Exhibit 148 that has been 13 admitted actually show the location of any -- of the DNA 14 results? 15 Yes. I indicated on the presentation where swabs were 16 taken and what the results were of those swabs. 17 MR. MOOMAU: At this time I would like to show the exhibit -- or the witness what has been admitted as Exhibit 18 19 450. 20 THE COURT: 450? 21 MR. MOOMAU: Yes. 22 THE COURT: Okay. 23 BY MR. MOOMAU: 24 You recognize the first page? 25 Yes, sir.

VIII-154

Q. What is it?

report as well.

1

10

13

14

- 2 A. It's a presentation that I created.
- Q. Okay. If I go to page 2 of Exhibit 450 and just tell us what this shows.
- A. For this first box, for example, it's showing the I guess unique identifier for the swab that was taken of that area by crime scene technicians. The second line would then show what the exhibit number is for that swab on the DNA report. And then a brief synopsis of what the results were from the DNA
- Q. All this information regarding DNA is from the actual DNA reports?
  - A. The first line would be from the crime scene technician's report and the subsequent information would be from the DNA report.
- Q. Showing you page -- what are the items shown in page 2?
- 17 A. Two knives found in the residence.
- 18 Q. Showing you page 3, what item is this?
- A. Sig Sauer handgun located in the closet to the crawl space at the Bristolwood Court residence.
- 21 Q. Is this an actual -- tell us what's on this page.
- A. This is a photograph of that weapon with the arrows
  pointing to the various areas where swabs were taken from the
  weapon and the results of those swabs.
- Q. Now does the last box indicate where, I guess, the DNA

VIII-155

analyst found DNA consistent with that of the deduced known or

2 Noah Smothers?

- 3 A. That's correct.
  - Q. What are those particular pieces?
- 5 A. Just mechanisms that help the user operate a firearm.
- 6 Q. Next slide, please. Tell us about this.
- 7 A. Same thing, photograph of that weapon showing the various
- 8 swabs, the DNA exhibit related to the swabs and the
- 9 corresponding results.
- 10 Q. And for the record, is that page 5?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. And going on to page 6?
- 13 A. Same thing showing the muzzle end of the firearm with the
- 14 | slide to the rear showing swabs from that firearm, which DNA
- exhibit it is and the results from those testing.
- 16  $\blacksquare$  Q. And both those locations, were those arrows showing what
- 17 was found there?
- 18 A. The DNA reported that it was consistent with the DNA of
- 19 the deduced known of Noah Smothers for both.
- 20  $\parallel$  Q. Going to page 7. What are we looking at here?
- 21 A. Again, this is an indication of a swab or swabs that were
- 22  $\parallel$  taken of that location, the corresponding DNA exhibit number,
- 23 the DNA report showing that blood was indicated in that area
- and that the results was that the DNA was consistent with the
- 25 deduced known of Noah Smothers.

Simms - direct VIII-156

- Q. All right. Page 8.
- 2 A. It's a magazine from that same Sig Sauer handgun and the
- 3 associated swab.

- 4 Q. And the DNA results?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. Page 9.
- A. The round of ammunition related to that firearm and the same thing, swabs, DNA exhibit and the results.
- 9 Q. Page 10.
- A. The cartridge removed from the chamber of that Sig Sauer
- 11 handgun and the swab associated with it.
- 12 Q. Now what's shown on the presentation?
- 13 A. The Beretta 21A that was recovered from the primary
- 14 | bedroom closet at the Bristolwood Court address in Laurel.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- A. Same things, swabs or swab, the corresponding DNA exhibit
- and the results on the DNA report for that swab.
- 18 Q. Page 13?
- 19 A. The magazine for that firearm.
- 20 Q. Page 14?
- 21 A. The rounds associated with that firearm. This is the
- 22 Bryco/Jennings 38. It was located in the primary bedroom in
- 23 the dresser of the Bristolwood Court, Laurel address. This is
- 24 the swab taken from that gun, corresponding DNA exhibit, and
- 25 the results from that swab.

- 1 Q. Again, that's page 16 you're referring to?
- 2 A. That is correct.
- 3 Q. Okay. Page 17?
- 4 A. The magazine from that firearm.
- 5 Q. Page 18?
- 6 A. The rounds related to that firearm.
- 7 Q. Page 19?
- 8 A. This is the Century Arms rifle that was located in the
- 9 primary bedroom closet of the Bristolwood Court, Laurel,
- 10 Maryland address.
- 11 0. 20?
- 12 A. The various swabs taken from that weapon, the
- corresponding DNA exhibits and the results.
- 14 Q. Page 21?
- 15 A. These are duffle bags located in the shed of the
- 16 | Bristolwood Court, Laurel, Maryland address. And again, the
- 17 swabs, corresponding DNA exhibits, and the results.
- 18 Q. Okay. Page 23?
- 19 A. Duffle bags located in the crawl space of the Bristolwood
- 20 Court, Laurel, Maryland address. Again, the corresponding
- 21 swabs, DNA exhibit, and the results.
- 22 Q. Okay. Page 25.
- 23 A. An additional swab from another bag.
- 24 Q. Page 26 and 27?
- 25 A. This is a depiction of where swabs were taken from the

VIII-158

1 license plate area of the Massachusetts registration, 5XY974. 2 Again, showing the swabs, where they were taken, the DNA 3 exhibits and the results. 4 And that's slide 27? Q. 5 Α. Yes, sir. 6 All right. So the Sig Sauer that was just shown, as part Q. 7 of the investigation of the case did you obtain a handgun just 8 like that? 9 Yes, sir. It was the same make and model, but a 10 different firearm. Where was that obtained from? 11 0. That was obtained from the ATF, the Alcohol, Tobacco, and 12 Α. 13 Firearms agency. 14 The reason? 0. 15 Just as an exemplar gun so that I didn't have to continue 16 handling the actual weapon. 17 You didn't want to disturb any evidence that was still on Q. the --18 19 MR. HAWKS: Objection, leading.

**THE COURT:** Sustained.

## BY MR. MOOMAU:

20

21

- Q. Why didn't you want to use the evidence Sig Sauer?
- 23 A. Because of the DNA evidence located on it previously.
- Q. Are you familiar with how to take that particular model
- of firearm apart and put it back together?

Simms - direct VIII-159

- A. Yes, sir. I'm familiar.
- Q. And was a video recording prepared of you doing that?
- 3 A. That's correct.

1

12

- MR. MOOMAU: At this time I'd like to show the

  witness what has been admitted as Exhibit 459. You can just

  tell us what's going on.
- 7 THE WITNESS: This is a video showing me
  8 disassembling the firearm that I had received from the ATF
  9 that's the same make and model as the firearm recovered from
  10 the residence.

## 11 BY MR. MOOMAU:

- Q. And what's the reason for doing this?
- A. I'm just showing the various parts of the firearm because
- in some of the previous photos that we've shown, the weapon is
- in a disassembled state.
- 16 Q. Okay, all right. And was that you taking it apart?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. That's you with the gloves on?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. Sir, did you also take actual pictures of the firearm?
- 21 A. Correct, of the replica or the exemplar gun, rather.
- 22  $\parallel$  Q. I'd like to show you Exhibit 453. Showing you now what is
- 23 453. Do you recognize that?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- 25 Q. What is 453?

3

4

5

6

Simms - direct

VIII-160

1 That's one of the photographs I took of that exemplar Α. 2 gun.

- And are you able to point or circle on there the locations shown on the video or the slide presentation where DNA was found?
- Sure. There was some DNA found on the barrel itself, but 7 also on the slide that it would be in between where that 8 barrel rests and the slide, as well as in that general area of 9 the recoil spring.
- 10 Any other -- you had identified other locations on the 11 firearm, not in the barrel area?
- 12 Α. There were a number of areas where swabs were taken that 13 this is a difficult angle to show this.
- 14 To see it, all right. Going to Exhibit 454. What are we 15 looking at here, sir?
- 16 This is a photograph depicting the firearm partially Α. 17 disassembled. It is the slide and barrel and recoil spring together, separated from the receiver of the firearm. 18
- 19 And does this show like within the internal parts of the 20 firearm where DNA of the deduced known was found?
- 21 Some of the levers on the receiver of the firearm were in 22 some areas, yes.
- 23 Okay. Showing you 455. What is shown on 455? Q.
- 24 It's another photograph just one step further into the 25 disassembly process of the various parts of that firearm.

VIII-161

- A. Again, just one additional step with the barrel removed from the slide.
- Q. Are you able to show on here within the internal workings of the firearm, locations of the deduced known that was shown in the previous exhibit?
- $7 \parallel$  A. It was in this area on the muzzle end of this slide.
- 8 Q. Now 457. What is shown in 457?
- 9 A. It's the same thing, just with the slide turned on its 10 side.
- 11 Q. And 458?
- 12 A. It's the firearm raised.
- Q. And sir, were you present when the Kia Sportage was processed for the presence of presumptive blood, as well as
- 16 A. I was.

DNA?

15

- 17 **THE COURT:** So Mr. Moomau, I think we might need to
  18 take the afternoon break now if you're moving into another
  19 area.
- 20 MR. MOOMAU: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 21 THE COURT: So we'll take a 15-minute break. Ladies
  22 and gentlemen, again don't discuss the case among yourselves.
  23 Enjoy the break. We'll see you back here in 15 minutes.
  24 Thank you.
  - (Jury exited the courtroom at 3:39 p.m.)

VIII-162

1 THE COURT: Thank you, everyone. Please be seated. 2 Sergeant Simms, you can return to your regular seat. So what I 3 am cognizant of is we're not sitting tomorrow, we're coming 4 back on Monday but I want to be able to identify what the 5 right schedule is for the jury. One of the things I try to 6 avoid is a situation where we have them come in for a very 7 short period of time and then we can't move beyond that. And 8 also understanding when we're going to do instructions and 9 closing. Let's start by finding out how much more do you have 10 on direct, Mr. Moomau? 11 MR. MOOMAU: Your Honor, we have one more 12 PowerPoint-type slide presentation that I would say 13 half-an-hour more, maybe not that -- might be just 20 minutes. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Does defense counsel have any idea 15 how long you'll be with this witness? 16 MR. NIETO: I'm a bad gauge of this, but I would 17 suspect maybe a half-hour for Taeyan Williams. THE COURT: Mr. Hawks? 18 19 MR. HAWKS: Your Honor's asking about Sergeant 20 Simms? 21 THE COURT: Just Sergeant Simms, yes. 22 MR. HAWKS: 15 minutes or less. 23 THE COURT: Okay, so we might finish today, maybe 24 not depending on all these things. And then there's only one 25 other witness for the Government; is that correct?

VIII-163

1 MS. GROSSI: That's correct, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: How long would that witness be? 3 MS. GROSSI: Probably 45 minutes to an hour. 4 THE COURT: Okay, on direct? 5 MS. GROSSI: That's correct. 6 THE COURT: Does the defense know anything about 7 that witness? Is it going to be a no questions or lengthy 8 cross? 9 MR. GUILLAUME: I think it would be about 20 to 25 10 minutes, maybe less depending on what else is answered by 11 Sergeant Simms. But 20 to 25 minutes for Taeyan Williams for 12 the last witness. 13 THE COURT: Okay. And do you agree with that or do 14 you have a different number for you, Mr. Hawks? 15 MR. HAWKS: Your Honor, I anticipate it would be 16 shorter. 17 THE COURT: Okay. And then at this point how much do we know about who the defense is bringing in and how long 18 19 those cases will be? 20 MR. GUILLAUME: Your Honor, we have one witness 21 anticipated for Monday. But I've talked to Mr. Nieto during 22 the break. We may be able to get the answers we're seeking 23 through Sergeant Simms. And if we don't, then the witness 24 would only take about five to ten minutes. 25 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Hawks?

USCA4 Appeal: 23-4568 Doc: 39-4 Filed: 03/08/2024 Pg: 322 of 378

Simms - direct VIII-164

MR. HAWKS: Your Honor, we are exploring with Mr. Hanlon and the team a stipulation. If that fails I anticipate certainly less than an hour, probably half-hour, but could be an hour.

thought actually we might finish the Government's case today. It doesn't look like we're going to do that. So what I want to avoid is having the jury come in for let's say an hour or so and then say look, we aren't ready for anything else. I mean, we can't make them come in for a period of time that's sort of shorter than their commute. It sounds as if if we finish Sergeant Simms today or maybe it takes a little longer on Monday and then we add in this last Government witness, even with the defense case we're probably going to be done in the morning. Does that sound right?

MR. GUILLAUME: Sounds about right, Your Honor. By lunchtime I would imagine.

THE COURT: There are sometime scenarios, I know this sometimes happens with defense cases, where we might finish even earlier than that like you said if there's stipulations and the like. So is there a chance we would be done even before lunch?

MR. GUILLAUME: I think so, Your Honor. Because our witness at maximum is ten minutes and that's a stretch. I don't think it would be that long at all, if we can call him.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-4568 Doc: 39-4 Filed: 03/08/2024 Pg: 323 of 378

Simms - direct

VIII-165

THE COURT: Right. So I guess the tricky part is we're not really done with our jury instructions. I think we're close. There's still a couple things I think the Government was going to provide to me which I don't think we have yet.

One suggestion I have, on the one hand if we get a full half-day of testimony I would be fine sending them home and doing the jury charge conference and lock that all down in the afternoon, do closings the following morning. Again, my concern perhaps is if we finish let's say at 10:30 in the morning, I don't want to delay them with a charge conference and so forth. I think one possibility is we do a charge conference on Monday morning, have the jury come in a little bit later, say at like 10 a.m. and that way if we really run out of stuff to do, we could move to jury instructions at a minimum on Monday, if not closing arguments. I obviously want to be in a position to do that.

So does anybody have a view on both the likelihood of what our schedule will be and whether that's a good approach or whether you prefer something else?

MR. GUILLAUME: Your Honor, I can speak for Taeyan Williams. If possible, I know this doesn't always work out, but if we could do the closings at the beginning of the day all at once and then they have the case by the afternoon or the mid -- by the lunch break essentially, if it's three hours

Simms - direct

VIII-166

-- hour per defendant and hour for the Government plus rebuttal that would take us to around lunchtime. And that way they would have almost a full day or half a day.

THE COURT: You're talking about on Tuesday?

MR. GUILLAUME: Tuesday.

THE COURT: One of the problems with this is always when you add that in with the jury instructions it's hard to do it without a lunch break. So one possibility which I know some judges hate to do, it doesn't bother me that much because I usually give -- I will give the jurors the instructions anyway, just to instruct the day before or before lunch or what have you. I don't mind separating that a little bit.

Mr. Hawks, you were going to say?

MR. HAWKS: Your Honor, the schedule that you proposed that we come in later -- or we come in on time but the jury comes in later on Monday I think would probably be the best, mitigate the risk that they come in early and then need to leave.

The other thing we could do is whenever this witness is concluded even if we have time, adjourn and push that to Monday and that would ensure that there's enough happening on Monday that they're not here for too brief a period.

THE COURT: How does the Government see this?

MS. GROSSI: All of those scenarios sound good to the Government, Your Honor.

Simms - direct

VIII-167

THE COURT: Is there any sense that -- Mr. Guillaume is proposing that it sounds like closings occurring on Tuesday no matter what or if we finish really early on Monday is there any reason we couldn't do that after lunch, for example?

MR. GUILLAUME: I would be prepared to close whenever, Your Honor. My preference would be to close, have everyone do it on Tuesday and have the jury out for the remainder of the day to start deliberating on the case. But I can do anything, Monday or Tuesday.

MR. HAWKS: Your Honor, inspired by that can-do spirit, I can as well.

THE COURT: Okay, well then I guess the Government is fine with that too, right?

MS. GROSSI: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay, so let me just see where we end up. I just didn't want to have to have a big conference while the jury is waiting to see what their schedule is. It will either be come in -- I might have them come in a little bit later on Monday because either way that charge conference has to happen. And we could if we finish early do it after, but that sort of precludes us from moving into at least the instructions, if not the arguments. And again, I think there's at least some advantage as Mr. Guillaume said of getting to a point where we can either start the arguments right after lunch on Monday or first thing in the morning on

VIII-168

Tuesday without -- certainly without breaking it up. I 1 2 wouldn't break it up between two days, for sure. 3 So we'll see you back here in 15 minutes and then we'll 4 see where we are at the end of the day. Thank you. 5 (Recess was taken from 3:46 to 4:00 p.m.) 6 THE COURT: Ready for the jury? 7 MS. GROSSI: Your Honor, can I just ask one question 8 before the jury comes in? We do have our last witness here. 9 He drove up from South Carolina. Do I have permission to 10 discharge him for the day or should I ask him to sit here 11 until 5? 12 THE COURT: You said you have another half-hour, Mr. 13 Moomau? 14 MR. MOOMAU: It's not going to take that long, Your 15 Honor. 16 THE COURT: I mean, are we -- I feel bad in the 17 sense that like an extra 20 minutes here isn't going to make 18 the difference. The issue is he came up on a Thursday and we 19 need him back on Monday. I thought I heard between the two of 20 you you're talking 45 minutes, two sides together? 21 MR. GUILLAUME: For the last witness, Your Honor? 22 THE COURT: Sergeant Simms, just on cross. 23 MR. NIETO: Like I said, I anticipate the cross 24 would be approximately 30 minutes, approximately. 25 THE COURT: Okay, I think we can probably release

VIII-169

```
1
       him. Does anybody disagree with that? Okay.
2
                 MS. GROSSI: Okay, thank you, Your Honor. May I walk
3
       out to just tell him that?
 4
                 THE COURT:
                            All right.
 5
                 MS. GROSSI: Thank you.
 6
                 (Jury reentered the courtroom at 4:03 p.m.)
7
                 THE COURT: Thank you, everyone. Please be seated.
8
       Ladies and gentlemen, we're ready to resume with Sergeant
 9
       Simms' testimony. Mr. Moomau.
10
                 MR. MOOMAU: Thank you, Your Honor.
11
       BY MR. MOOMAU:
12
            Sir, did you prepare a PowerPoint or slide presentation
13
       showing the location of swabs that were taken for the presence
14
       of blood and DNA in the Kia Sportage that had been rented by
15
       Mr. Smothers?
16
            Yes, sir, I did.
       Α.
17
            Were you present when that vehicle was processed?
       Q.
            Yes, sir.
18
       Α.
19
            Did you then prepare a supplemental PowerPoint or slide
20
       presentation showing the results of the DNA analysis?
21
            Yes, sir.
       Α.
22
                 MR. MOOMAU: Your Honor, at this time we would ask
       to be able to show what's been admitted as Exhibit 451.
23
24
                 THE COURT: Okay.
25
       BY MR. MOOMAU:
```

VIII-170

- Q. What are we looking at here in the first page?
- 2 A. Just identifying the vehicle that was searched.
- 3 Q. Okay. In the page 1 or page 2?
- 4 A. Yes, sir. Again, just where swabs were taken, the
- 5 corresponding DNA exhibit, and the results from that testing.
- 6 Q. Page 3?

- 7 A. These are just swabs taken from the vehicle on their
- 8 respective locations.
- 9 Q. Page 4.
- 10 A. A swab from the water bottle with the corresponding DNA
- 11 exhibit and the results.
- 12 | Q. And what was the result of that?
- 13  $\blacksquare$  A. That the DNA was consistent with that of the deduced
- 14 known of Noah Smothers.
- 15 Q. Page 5.
- 16 A. Various swabs taken from the vehicle, corresponding DNA
- exhibit, and the results from that testing.
- 18 Q. Page 6.
- 19 A. These are swabs taken from the vehicle.
- 20 Q. Page 7.
- 21 A. These are a number of swabs, the corresponding DNA
- 22 exhibit and the results.
- 23 Q. Okay. And as far as the DNA for the third box down?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- 25 Q. Where that's pointing to, what were the DNA results

VIII-171

there?

1

6

11

- A. The results indicated that blood was indicated and that the DNA was consistent with that of the deduced known of Noah
- 4 Smothers.
- 5 Q. Page 8.
  - A. It's that same slide just on its own.

the deduced known of Noah Smothers.

- 7 Q. Page 9.
- A. Two or three swabs taken from those areas along with the corresponding DNA exhibit, as well as the results indicating that blood was indicated and that the DNA was consistent with
- 12 Q. Page 10.
- 13 A. Same thing with the one slide.
- 14 Q. Page 11.
- A. Various swabs taken from this portion of the vehicle,
  including the top box which shows swabs that were taken, the
  corresponding DNA exhibit and results that blood was indicated
  and that the DNA recovered was consistent with the DNA of the
- 19 deduced known of Noah Smothers.
- 20 Q. Page 12.
- 21 A. Again, the same as before, but just the top box isolated.
- 22 Q. Page 13.
- 23 A. Showing a swab from the vehicle.
- 24 Q. Page 14.
- 25 A. This is a swab from the door of the vehicle showing the

Simms - direct VIII-172

1 corresponding DNA exhibit and the results showing that blood

- 2 was indicated and that the DNA was consistent with the deduced
- 3 known of Noah Smothers.
- 4 Q. And page 15.
- 5 A. Showing swabs from that portion of the vehicle.
- 6 Q. And page 16.
- 7 A. Again, swabs from that portion of the vehicle.
- 8 Q. Sir, I'd like to show you what has been admitted as
- 9 Exhibit 91. You recognize this document?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. And are you familiar with the various amount or strains
- 12 -- you had testified about some pictures, what type of
- marijuana was recovered from the home, correct?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. And have you studied this list about what was recovered
- 16 and maybe what might be shown on the list and what, of that,
- 17 was recovered?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. And just looking at the writing, the "me, Tae and Team"
- 20  $\parallel$  just starting on the first page. Go to page 2, page 3, page
- 21 5-- or 4, page 5, page 6. The handwriting in the right-hand
- 22 column says what on the various pages?
- 23 A. There's three different words, "me," "Tae" and "Team."
- Q. And from the various strands, wherever it was marked
- 25 "team," was any of that found in the house?

Simms - direct/cross

VIII-173

1 Α. It was not. 2 And where it said "Tae," were any strands of that type 3 named, the named "Tae, blue goo," was any of that found in the 4 house? 5 It was not. Α. 6 So what was the name besides what was found in the house? Ο. 7 Everywhere that it's marked "me," we found those strains Α. 8 inside of the residence. 9 MR. MOOMAU: That's all on direct, Your Honor. 10 you. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Who wants to go first. Mr. Nieto? 12 MR. NIETO: Thank you, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: For Taeyan Williams. 14 CROSS-EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. NIETO: 16 Good afternoon, Sergeant Simms. Q. 17 Α. Good afternoon. Now I think it's fair to say, sir, that you would agree 18 19 this was an important and lengthy investigation? 20 Α. Yes, sir. 21 And obviously it's an investigation that you took very 22 seriously? 23 Yes, sir. Α. 24 And I assume everybody on the prosecutorial team; is that

25

fair to say?

A. Yes, sir.

1

6

- Q. And as part of your role as the investigator, you're seeking to find evidence of the crime, right?
- A. Seeking to find out what happened which would relate to evidence, yes, sir.
  - Q. And as part of that investigation you're going to follow up on leads as they develop, right?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. And as best you can to try to verify whatever information you receive?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And so this particular case, it poses presumably some unique challenges; is that fair to say?
- 14 A. In various aspects, yes.
- Q. I mean, one of the main factors in this case is that Noah

  Smothers utilized an encrypted phone; is that fair?
- 17 A. That was one challenge, yes.
- Q. I mean, because that obviously means he was operating in
- a clandestine fashion, right? He was trying to be secretive;
- 20 is that fair?
- 21 A. I suppose.
- 22 Q. So there is movement and activity involving Noah Smothers
- 23 that are simply -- that we simply don't know about because
- 24 that phone cannot be tracked; is that correct, sir?
- 25 A. Can't be tracked to my knowledge, that's correct.

- Q. Correct. As part of your investigation?
- 2 A. Correct, yes, sir.

- 3 Q. Okay. And so not only is this encrypted phone like that,
- 4 I believe the testimony I think presumably your understanding
- 5 | will be that Noah Smothers could change the caller ID number
- 6 that would appear on the phone that he was calling. Is that
- 7 your understanding, sir?
- 8 A. That was my understanding, yes.
- 9 Q. And, of course, that can create havoc for investigators
- 10 such as yourself when you're trying to determine whether or
- 11 | not all these phone records are accurate. Right?
- 12 A. Which phone records are accurate?
- 13 Q. Fair point. So the encrypted phone as far as you
- 14 understand doesn't ping off of cell phone towers the way a
- non-encrypted phone would do?
- 16 A. Generally that's my understanding, correct.
- 17 Q. And so if you use that phone as, for example, not
- 18 utilizing the regular non-encrypted phone, he can make phone
- 19 calls from the encrypted phone that we simply don't know
- 20 about, right?
- 21 A. I guess that's a possibility, yes, sir.
- 22 Q. I mean, right?
- 23 A. I don't know whether he was making those calls or not,
- 24 but I guess it's possible, yes.
- 25 Q. Right. And I guess that's the issue.

A. Okay.

- Q. In your investigation you did not reveal or learn any
- 3 call logs from the encrypted phone that Noah Smothers had?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. Right. So we don't know if he was making calls, and at
- 6 the same time we don't know if he wasn't making calls, right?
- 7 A. That's accurate.
- 8 Q. We simply don't know?
- 9 A. That's true.
- 10 Q. And so obviously that can make things a little more
- 11 difficult for you because of that lack of information?
- 12 A. For that phone, yes.
- 13 Q. Yes, sir. And I believe Noah Smothers had two encrypted
- 14 phones; is that correct?
- 15 A. At the same time, at various -- can you clarify?
- 16  $\blacksquare$  Q. That's a fair question. Is it your understanding that
- 17 Noah Smothers had more than one kiphone in his possession
- 18 during your period of investigation?
- 19 A. I was not aware of that. I don't have information to
- 20 support that.
- 21 Q. Okay. Now again, as we said, that Noah Smothers could use
- 22 through this encrypted phone, right, he could call somebody
- and then that -- the recipient's phone would reflect someone
- 24 else was calling?
- 25 A. Again, that was what was relayed to me, but I don't know.

Q. And sir, obviously as part of your investigation you met with Connor Cox before he testified, right?

A. Yes.

3

9

10

11

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. But you were here when Mr. Cox relayed that story about the time Noah Smothers called him from the encrypted phone but it came up on Connor Cox's phone as Connor's mother?
- 7 A. That's correct. It was relayed to me, but I haven't interacted with a phone like that, so --
  - Q. That's the point of my challenge is that this kind of encrypted phone is not commonplace in your investigations, right?
- 12 A. Correct.
- THE COURT: Mr. Nieto, can you move the microphone closer to you?
- 15 MR. NIETO: Absolutely. I apologize, Your Honor.
- 16 BY MR. NIETO:
  - Q. I don't know if you know that, that's why I'm posing the question this way. In that Connor Cox example, if Noah Smothers calls somebody and then uses a phone number for someone else to come up on the caller ID, the phone records for the recipient of that call might be in question; is that fair?
  - A. Are you saying what the records would reflect from the phone carrier of the recipient?
- 25 Q. Yes, sir.

- A. I don't know the answer to that.
- Q. No, completely fair question. And again, we don't know,
- 3 right?

- 4 A. All right.
- 5 Q. All right? Is that a yes or no?
- 6 A. Yes, sir. I don't know what the answer to that would be.
- 7 Q. Sure. I mean, this type of encrypted phone, a phone that
- 8 I understand costs in excess of \$3,800 or \$3,700?
- 9 A. It was in that range, yes, sir.
- 10 Q. Right. So, you know, this is a phone geared specifically
- 11 to prevent anyone from knowing what the user of the phone is
- 12 doing, correct?
- 13 A. Generally, yes.
- Q. Right. Ergo the encryption, correct?
- 15 A. I mean, the encryption would be on the actual device. I
- don't know how that would translate to records from individual
- 17 companies. But yes, encryption is just -- to my understanding,
- 18  $\parallel$  just a way to secure device in that location, but, yeah.
- 19 Q. All right. So then understanding and knowing the kiphone
- 20 | identification for Noah Smothers' phone, you were then able to
- 21 pull his phone records from the encrypted phone to determine
- 22 who he was calling?
- 23 A. I was not.
- 24 Q. Right.
- 25 A. Because I was not able to identify the number associated

with that phone.

- Q. I see. So again, I guess we really don't know, right?
- 3 A. Don't know who he was contacting, is that what you mean?
- 4 Q. Yes.

1

- 5 A. On that device.
- 6 Q. On that device.
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. So again, as I'm suggesting in the same vein, if Noah
- 9 Smothers were to call me from that encrypted phone, we
- 10 wouldn't know in my phone records whether it would come up as
- 11 Noah Smothers' encrypted phone or as the number that he was
- using to come up on my phone, if that makes sense.
- 13 A. Correct. I do not know the answer to that question.
- 14 | Q. Right, we don't know. We just don't know. All right. But,
- of course -- and I think we had seen it in Exhibit 479, in the
- 16 contact section of the iCloud for Noah Smothers' encrypted
- phone, he has both Taeyan Williams' and Scott Williams' cell
- 18 phone numbers saved, right?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- 20  $\parallel$  Q. And, in fact, specifically the number 929-290-6897, that
- 21 was on the phone saved under "MW"?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 | Q. That's your understanding, that was presumably Scott
- 24 Williams' phone?
- 25 A. Yes.

Q. And in the same vein, again 681-404-1855, that was a

- 2 saved number in that iCloud contacts under "TA," Ta or Tae,
- and your understanding is that's Taeyan Williams' phone?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- 5 Q. So the encrypted phones also can create these additional
- 6 issues I think is what we said because we have these patches
- 7 of time in which the phone cannot provide us any information
- 8 about its location?
- 9 A. About Noah Smothers' location?
- 10 Q. Yes, sir.
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. Right. And so your painstaking endeavor to recreate Noah
- 13 Smothers' movements in the beginning stages of April, 2018 are
- 14  $\parallel$  done primarily through the use of his non-encrypted phone,
- 15 correct?
- A. Correct, as well as the results from Google and video and
- other things that we piece it together with.
- 18 Q. Absolutely, absolutely. And so the Google searches about
- 19 which I think you had testified presumably were done on his
- 20 phone?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 | Q. Right, okay. And again, that's the non-encrypted phone?
- 23 A. To my understanding, yes, sir.
- Q. Yes, sir, all right. And again, the manufacturing of this
- 25 type of encrypted phone is presumably to help thwart law

enforcement's investigation into the activities of the user of the phone, right?

- A. I don't know that I'm necessary qualified to answer what the manufacturing intended purpose is.
- Q. Well, let's put it this way: Noah Smothers thought it appropriate to spend almost \$4,000 on a phone that he seemingly used for his drug distribution purposes; is that correct?
- A. To my understanding, yes, sir.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. Right. And with the full knowledge that this phone was encrypted and could come up on people's caller IDs as a different number, presumably could not be tracked, right?
  - A. That's my understanding.
  - Q. In fact, I think we had looked at Exhibit 170 which had a variety of different -- I think it was a Note section again from Noah's phone that had the eBay. Do you remember that? It had a bunch of different websites or accounts perhaps?
  - A. It was from his AOL account, yes, sir.
- Q. Yes, sir. Yes, sir. And in fact, one of them I think we had seen was "hide me." Do you remember seeing that, sir?
- 21 A. Yes, sir. I believe it was hide.me, yes, sir.
- Q. Hide.me. And of course, that is -- again, it's another service that someone can pay for with a monthly fee to hide the IP address from whence that phone is searching the web; did you see that?

A. I saw the hide.me. Unfortunately, I'm not familiar with that service.

- Q. But presumably as part of your investigation you followed up on that, right? You wanted to see in Noah Smothers' Note section of the AOL account what these subscriptions could be?
- A. I did not check that one particular one.
- 7 Q. But you do acknowledge that the title was hide.me?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.

3

4

5

- 9 Q. Okay. Now in furtherance of the investigation you checked
  10 with the neighborhood, the Bristolwood neighborhood? You
  11 checked with the neighbors near Scott Williams' home?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Because obviously -- with regards to that April weekend?
- 14 A. That's -- yes, sir.
- Q. And you were checking to see whether or not anyone had seen or heard anything, right?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. I believe some neighbors might have had a Ring camera or some video surveillance of sorts?
- A. Yes, sir. It was not available for that time frame, but they did have a ring camera, yes, sir.
- Q. So again, despite your best efforts there was no investigative value if you will on that end of the investigation?
- 25 A. Correct.

Q. Then you had an opportunity to meet with Alfred Musa, right?

A. Yes, sir.

- 4 Q. And that happened on multiple occasions?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Right. And I'm assuming you sought to verify some of the information that he provided you?
- 8 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 9 Q. Okay. And I think as you said on direct, he had mentioned the Red Roof Inn. And so you had gone to the Red Roof Inn in
- 11 Laurel to see the people who had rented rooms for the weekend
- of April 6th through April 8th of 2018?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. You had also looked at other dates as well for
- 15 cross-referencing purposes?
- 16 A. I looked at other dates specific to the parties involved
- in this investigation, but yes.
- 18 Q. And I'm sorry, of course. Specific to this investigation
- 19 to be sure, yes?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. So when you reviewed the roster of those names, again,
- 22 | you did not find anything of true evidentiary value to your
- 23 investigation?
- 24 A. It wasn't obvious to me that any of those names matched,
- 25 correct.

Simms - cross

VIII-184

1 Q. And so there was nothing obvious to you through your

2 multi-year investigation that would connect any of those

people renting those rooms with Taeyan Williams, Scott

- 4 | Williams, or Noah Smothers, correct?
- 5 A. Yes.

- 6 Q. In addition, you also went to the Red Roof Inn in Laurel
- 7 to check on potential surveillance footage?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Because obviously as I understand it, you would
- 10 presumably want to see if there was a video of three men
- escorting a 6 foot 6 guy who had been pistol whipped and
- 12 bloodied either through the lobby, in the parking lot to the
- 13 Kia Sportage parked outside, right?
- 14 A. Yes, I wanted to see if there was any video surveillance
- 15 available of the incident, correct.
- 16  $\parallel$  Q. Of course. So there are cameras at the hotel, right?
- 17 A. To my knowledge, yes, sir.
- 18 Q. But again, through no fault of your own, the preservation
- of the video had elapsed. The time period had elapsed?
- 20  $\blacksquare$  A. Correct. By the time I got there, that video was no
- 21 longer available.
- 22  $\parallel$  Q. So again, you couldn't verify that information at the Red
- 23 Roof Inn, right?
- A. Via video surveillance, right. Yes, sir.
- Q. And I think Mr. Musa had also told you that while in the

jail, Scott Williams was talking on a contraband phone. Do you remember him saying that?

A. Yes, sir.

3

7

- Q. And, in fact, I believe what he had instructed or had relayed to you was that he was communicating with his partner, the other person that was allegedly involved in all of this
- 8 A. Yes, sir. He said that.
- 9 Q. But Mr. Musa was able to identify specifically a location in the phone that he said Scott Williams had used to
- 11 communicate with this individual, right?

situation in early April of 2018. Right?

- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And of course, as is your charge, you went to the jail to obtain that phone and review it for evidentiary value,
- 15 correct?
- A. Not specifically. I didn't go to the jail. I just received the records from that phone.
- 18 Q. So metaphorically you obtained the --
- 19 A. I obtained the records, yes, sir.
- 20 Q. And you did your due diligence. But again, there was no number or contact in that phone that had any significance or any evidentiary value to you with regards to Scott Williams,
- 23 Taeyan Williams, or Noah Smothers?
- A. Correct. I looked at the numbers and none were abundantly obvious to me as being related.

1 Q. Right, all right. Now we had also through this trial we

- 2 have watched the video from the Windsor Forest Apartment
- 3 Complex, the early hours of April 7, 2018. You know the video
- 4 about which I'm speaking?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And in this video we see Noah Smothers' Kia Sportage and
- 7 then we see the Nissan Altima pulling in behind it as it
- 8 enters the parking lot?
- 9 A. It's Noah Smothers' vehicle.
- 10 Q. The rental vehicle?
- 11 A. Yes, sir. I thought you said that it was him.
- 12 Q. Oh, allow me to rephrase. My apologies. In this video you
- see Noah Smothers' rented Kia Sportage entering into the
- 14 parking lot followed by a Nissan Altima?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. Okay. Now in this video obviously you are looking, again,
- 17 | for something of evidentiary value, specifically to try to
- identify the individuals on that video, right?
- 19 A. Yes, sir. And the vehicles.
- 20 Q. Absolutely. And so when we look at these videos, right,
- 21 what we see is that one of them has a red hoodie, right? Do
- 22 you remember that hoodie?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. It's a zip-up in the front?
- 25 A. That's correct.

Q. It doesn't have any designs or logos on the front or the back, correct?

- A. It doesn't appear to from the video.
- Q. From the video it doesn't appear to have any of that,
- 5 correct?

- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 0. And then the other individual has what looks like either
- 8 a dark gray, olive, or beige-colored hoodie similar in style
- 9 as the red one, correct?
- 10 A. Yes, sir. It appeared to be gray to me, but similar,
- 11 yes.
- 12 Q. Exactly. And of course, again, no logo or salient or
- anything that jumped out in terms of the clothes, it was just
- sort of uniform, one color. Is that your recollection?
- 15 A. That's my recollection, yes.
- 16  $\parallel$  Q. Okay. And so obviously we've watched the video footage
- 17 from that particular dropoff, many, many, many times during
- 18 this trial, right?
- 19 A. Okay.
- 20 Q. Is that fair to say?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And we watched -- we had -- forgive me, I forget we had a
- car expert come in to identify the make and models of those
- vehicles, right? Based on that same video?
- 25 A. Yes, sir.

1 Q. And we also had an expert in height I guess coming in as

- 2 to opine to the height of the individuals?
- 3 A. Yes, sir.
- 4 Q. And I think -- again, if you know, as part of your
- 5 investigation, do you know the average height of a U.S. male
- 6 in this country?
- 7 A. Not off the top of my head, no, sir.
- 8 Q. Do you suspect that it would be over or under 6 feet?
- 9 A. I'm over 6 foot, I don't know.
- 10 Q. Well, do you consider your height to be average?
- 11 A. I would say it's slightly above average.
- 12 Q. Slightly above average. So again, with regards to this
- witness, establishing that the individuals are below 6 feet,
- 14 you didn't do any additional research on the statistics for
- 15 that to try to narrow the suspect pool, right?
- 16 A. I did not.
- 17 Q. Okay. And obviously other than this average height,
- 18 another as we said, another visible investigative lead was the
- 19 colors of the clothes?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. And so then you and other law enforcement, through search
- 22 | warrants and arrest, were able to search the Bristolwood
- address, for example, for articles of clothing to match those
- 24 descriptions, right?
- 25 A. Correct.

Q. You would also search various locations in West Virginia

2 that had a connection to Taeyan Williams, again to see, among

3 other things, but to keep an eye out for articles of clothing

4 that my match that description?

- A. Not me personally, but other investigators, correct.
- Q. But as the case agent you're kept in the loop as that qoes on?
- 8 A. Absolutely.

- 9 Q. Now you did not find a matching red hoodie or a matching 10 gray or dark gray hoodie anywhere, did you?
- 11 A. Not specifically, no.
- 12 Q. Right. Now there was a couple of photos that had been
- introduced that had been Taeyan -- from Taeyan Williams'
- 14 phone, right? And I'm presuming you had reviewed those?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And then as part of the preparation for trial they had
- been organized and prepared; is that right?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. Now there had been one specifically that we had seen
- 20 | earlier and that was Exhibit 353. What I'll do is if you
- 21 remember this one, this was we'll call it a selfie of Taeyan
- 22  $\parallel$  in a light gray hoodie. Do you know the exhibit about which
- 23 I'm speaking? Can you see it in front of you?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. Now this is, of course, not the gray hoodie that we

see in that video, is it?

- A. Doesn't appear to be.
- 3 Q. No, of course because again, we can see that it has the
- 4 black and white photo of the woman on the front, as well as an
- 5 in color gold chain which would be inconsistent with what we
- 6 had seen in that video; is that correct?
- 7 A. I did not see that image in the video, that's correct.
- 8 Q. Now additionally, there was another selfie image that had
- 9 been introduced into evidence that was Exhibit 361. Do you
- 10 remember that exhibit, sir?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.

1

- 12 Q. Now in all fairness, in all fairness, Sergeant Simms,
- 13 this particular exhibit was not previewed to the jury during
- 14 the trial. But this was submitted, was it not?
- 15 A. If you say so, yes, sir.
- 17 red sweatshirt that has a zip-up in front, right?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. But again, we can feel pretty confident that this is not
- 20  $\parallel$  the red hoodie that we see in that video either; isn't that
- 21 correct?
- 22 A. Correct. It has no hood.
- 23 Q. It has no hood, exactly. So it would be obviously
- 24 ridiculous to suggest that this particular image had anything
- 25 to do with that Windsor Mill video, right?

A. I don't know "ridiculous," but it doesn't appear to be the same hoodie.

- Q. All right. Now we had also seen some photos taken from Taeyan Williams' phone of conversations that he had with Noah Smothers with regards to drug purchases. Do you remember those, sir?
- 7 A. I'm sorry, can you repeat that?
- Q. Absolutely, my apologies. Now we also had seen through
  the exhibits from Taeyan Williams' phones, screenshots of

  Wickr conversations between him and presumably Noah Smothers?
- 11 A. That's correct.

3

4

5

- Q. Okay, all right. Now in those that we had seen, I believe
  we had -- there was maybe four or five, if not more of
  detailed Wickr conversations between Taeyan Williams and Noah
  Smothers clearly with regards to the marijuana distribution
- 16 business; is that fair?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Now again, these Wickr conversations are to be deleted, right? They automatically delete after a certain period of
- 20 time. Is that your understanding?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. So as we can see here, because these messages can be fleeting, sometimes people will take a screenshot so they can remember. Is that fair?
- 25 A. That's fair.

Q. That's what we see here on Taeyan Williams' phone, do we

- 2 not?
- 3 A. Presumably, yes.
- 4 Q. Yes. Now during your direct testimony today we were
- 5 listening to the jail call which I believe was Exhibit 535.
- 6 That was the jail call between Scott Williams and Taeyan
- 7 Williams. Do you remember listening to that, sir?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. And in the course Taeyan Williams was in jail in West
- 10 Virginia at the time?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 | Q. And Scott Williams was not incarcerated?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. Now, of course, this conversation, this is subsequent to
- 15 the search and recovery of a variety of marijuana products
- 16 | that they were alleging or charging Taeyan Williams of being
- in possession of. Do you remember that?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. Right. And I think we had actually watched a video during
- 20  $\parallel$  the trial of them opening the suitcase or where we saw
- 21 marijuana and cash from West Virginia. Do you remember that,
- 22 sir?
- 23 A. From West Virginia, yes, sir.
- Q. Yes, sir. And, in fact, Taeyan Williams was in custody in
- 25 West Virginia because he had been charged with the possession

with intent to distribute those drugs, was he not?

- A. That was my understanding, yes, sir.
- Q. Yes, sir. And so he was convicted of that offense. That's
- 4 your understanding; is that right?
- 5 A. I believe so, yes.
- Q. And so when we listen to that call, when we listen to
- 7 that call, right, it's your testimony, it's your understanding
- 8 that it was Taeyan Williams' voice that we hear saying "See,
- 9 you're going to leave me in the dark again and not let me know
- 10 what's going on." Do you remember that line?
- 11 A. Something to that effect, yes, sir. I do remember that
- 12 part, though.

- Q. And it is Taeyan Williams' voice that expresses repeated
- concern about the photos on his phone, correct?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
- 16 Q. And we had seen the bulk of these photos at this trial;
- 17 is that fair?
- 18 A. Bulk of photos from his --
- 19 Q. From his phone?
- 20 A. From the extraction? I wouldn't say "bulk." I think
- 21 there were 50,000 photos on the phone.
- 22  $\parallel$  Q. Completely fair. Bulk is a poor adjective. My apologies.
- 23 A. But there were a lot of photos.
- Q. Right, but we did see and your investigative purpose was
- 25 we saw a variety of photos of conversations relating to drug

distribution, right?

1

- A. Yes, sir. There were a number.
- 3 Q. There were screenshots of conversation between Noah
- 4 | Smothers and Taeyan Williams very clearly discussing marijuana
- and the variety of different strains that Noah Smothers might
- 6 have been selling, right?
- 7 A. That's accurate.
- 8 Q. In fact, I believe we had even seen actual photos of
- 9 marijuana that Taeyan Williams had, Wickr photos that he had
- saved as photos on his phone?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. And when Taeyan Williams -- we had also heard in that
- call reference to charges here in Maryland. Do you remember
- 14 that, sir?
- 15 A. He referenced them. Yes, sir.
- 16  $\blacksquare$  Q. Yes, sir. And so when Taeyan Williams was charged and
- indicted in December of 2018, his sole charge at that time was
- conspiracy to distribute drugs; is that true?
- 19 A. I don't know if that was the sole charge, but I do
- 20 believe that's one of the charges. I'm not confident in that.
- 21 Q. Now we had seen through Exhibit 91 that was -- we'll call
- 22 | that -- sorry, I don't have it up, we'll call that the
- 23 invoice, the EQL invoice. You know about which I'm speaking,
- 24 yes?
- 25 A. From under the mattress?

Q. Yes, sir.

1

- A. Yes, sir.
- 3 Q. Now this invoice contains presumably all the EQL
- 4 inventory for the 16 boxes of weed that apparently Noah had
- 5 received via the shipment, right?
- 6 A. Yes, sir.
- 7 | Q. All right. And according to that manifest and the
- 8 handwritten notes we see the name "Tae" next to two particular
- 9 boxes, right?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. And I think Mr. Moomau had asked you a few questions
- 12 about that earlier, correct?
- 13 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 14  $\blacksquare$  Q. And the contents per that ledger suggest that Blue Goo
- and Lemon Ice, those two strains were ostensively earmarked
- 16 for Tae?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 \ Q. But those strains were never recovered, were they?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. Neither in Maryland nor in West Virginia?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. Right? In West Virginia, indeed, they had in fact
- 23 recovered marijuana from Taeyan Williams' premises that
- connected him with Noah Smothers. Do you remember those?
- 25 A. Prior to this investigation, yes, sir.

1 Q. Yes, sir. In fact, it was Mr. Nice and White Widow?

A. Correct.

- 3 Q. And I believe we had actually seen, one of the exhibits
- 4 is a photo of that what looks like a vacuum bag of marijuana
- 5 labeled accordingly by the name Mr. Nice?
- 6 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. Now, of course, Mr. Nice and White Widow, those
- 8 strains are not listed on Exhibit 91, were they?
- 9 A. They were not, because those were recovered prior to the
- 10 shipment.
- 11 Q. Right, they were referenced in previous Wickr screenshot
- 12 conversations between Noah Smothers and Taeyan Williams,
- 13 right?
- 14 A. Those same strain names were referenced, yes. I don't
- 15 know which.
- 16 Q. Right? Again, we don't know, right?
- 17 A. Well --
- 18  $\parallel$  Q. But we do know, we do know that those strains were
- 19 referenced in those photos that were earmarked -- not
- 20 earmarked, sorry, that were date-stamped November or December
- 21 of 2017, right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. So that would be four months before this April, 2018
- 24 shipment?
- 25 A. Correct.

Q. Okay. Additionally, in those December of 2017 messages,

2 those photos that were saved on Taeyan Williams' phone, we

- 3 also see the strains OG, Cush and Sour Kemp. Those are
- 4 referenced, are they not?
- 5 A. I believe so, yes, sir.
- 6 Q. And even when Oscar Diaz testified he stated those were
- 7 the strains that he remembered Taeyan Williams selling him in
- 8 the early stages of 2018?
- 9 A. I don't recall the exact strains that he -- but he may
- 10 have.
- 11 Q. Okay. And, of course, those would all precede April of
- 12 2018, right?
- 13 A. Likely, yes, sir.
- 14 | Q. Likely, okay. Now you had testified earlier about some
- 15 Uber records. Do you remember that? Right? Specifically
- 16 pertaining to Taeyan Williams' travel?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. In the early stages of 2018?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- 20 | Q. Right? Okay. Now you had seen in those Uber records as
- 21 I'm sure you know as we've talked about at trial, Oscar Diaz
- 22 drove Taeyan Williams down to Tysons Corner on the evening of
- 23 April 6th. Do you remember that, sir?
- 24 A. It's not reflected in the Uber records, but yes, I'm
- 25 aware that he drove him down there.

Q. That was a completely compound question. I'm not suggesting Uber records, but Oscar had testified that that's where he had taken Taeyan on that evening, correct?

- A. Yes, Tysons Corner Mall.
- Q. Then we heard from Brandon Drummond that he was in communication with Taeyan Williams and then we see an Uber receipt with Taeyan Williams leaving Tysons Corner and then traveling to College Park. Do you remember that, sir?
- A. Yes, sir.

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. And the address to where the Uber records show he was going was 5007 or 5005 Jackson Street in Hyattsville. Do you remember that?
- 13 A. On that particular trip?
- 14 Q. Sorry?
- 15 A. For that particular trip to College Park?
- Q. Yes, sir. I mean, again, let me take a step back. It's your understanding as part of the investigation that on the evening of April 6, 2018 Taeyan Williams when leaving Tysons

  Corner went to College Park, to the University of Maryland?
- 20 A. It's my understanding he went to College Park, yes, sir.
- Q. And of course, in that Uber receipt there is an address that says 5007 Jackson Street in Hyattsville?
- 23 A. Can you tell me the date of that, please?
- 24 | Q. I'm sorry?
- 25 A. I don't know the date specifically of that.

1 Q. Absolutely. Court's indulgence for a minute. Let me ask

2 you this, sir. That particular address, that is the address

- 3 for Mr. Drummond's fraternity house, isn't it?
- 4 A. The address in College Park I believe is, not the
- 5 Hyattsville address.
- Q. Okay. You're 100 percent correct. The College Park
- 7 address. You know what -- and I'm sorry. I've got my addresses
- 8 conflicted. Forgive me, forgive me. It's not you, you're not
- 9 crazy. So the address about which I'm speaking is 4603 Knox
- 10 Road in College Park.
- 11 A. That sounds correct.
- 12 Q. So sorry about that. Yes, that is the address for Mr.
- 13 Drummond's fraternity?
- 14 A. It appears to be in the general area, yes, sir.
- 15 Q. Actually, that's --
- 16 A. It may be the exact address.
- 17 Q. So did you follow up on that? Did you investigate where
- 18 he was going that evening?
- 19 A. I had from the Uber records that he went to that
- 20 location.
- 21 Q. Absolutely. And so then you must have looked up that
- 22 | address on Google Maps or what have you to see where it is he
- could have been going on that evening?
- 24 A. That's correct.
- 25 Q. So it's your understanding that yes, he was at the

1 fraternity house in College Park at the University of

- 2 Maryland?
- 3 A. According to those Uber records, yes.
- Q. Absolutely, according to the Uber records that's where he
- 5 was dropped off, right?
- 6 A. Yes, sir.
- 7 Q. All right. Now as part of your investigation, you also
- 8 reviewed Noah Smothers' Google searches on April 6, 2018,
- 9 right?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. And these were an important part of the investigation
- 12 because it helps provide you GPS coordinates for his phone?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. The Google one specifically, right?
- 15 A. I'm sorry?
- 16 Q. Fair. Poor question.
- 17 A. Yes, the Google searches, yes.
- 18 Q. Because when someone uses their phone in terms of a phone
- 19 call or a text, that will ping off of a cell phone tower which
- 20 may give you a general sense from where the call is coming.
- 21 Is that your understanding of cell phone records with towers?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- 23  $\blacksquare$  Q. But the GPS coordinates are a little bit different,
- 24 aren't they?
- 25 A. Yes.

Q. You actually get a latitude and longitude, you get
coordinates and you can plug them in just as we saw on the
exhibits in Google and you get a little pin as to exactly

- 4 where that is, correct?
- 5 A. Correct.
- Q. Now we had seen Exhibit 554, right? You remember this exhibit, yes?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. Okay. And so this is as you had said, this is an aerial view of the Red Roof Inn, but importantly as we can see here towards the bottom left there is a yellow pushpin on this map,
- 12 is there not?
- 13 A. Yes.

9

10

- Q. And that is the coordinates for Noah Smothers' Google search at approximately 1:30 on April 6th of 2018?
- 16 A. Approximately, yes, sir.
- Q. Yes, sir. And now this is, of course, Noah Smothers
  making a Google search on the non-encrypted phone. That's how
  you're able to obtain these coordinates, correct?
- A. The search warrant was to Google itself. Whether that's logged into either phone, I'm not entirely sure.
- Q. Is it your understanding that he was using his encrypted phone to Google these things or was he using his non-encrypted regular phone?
- 25 A. Those records don't specifically, I don't believe tell

1 you which physical device it's being used on.

- 2 Q. So we don't know that?
- 3 A. It's associated with his Gmail account.
- Q. Okay. So we don't know which phone it was that he was
- 5 using to do that Google search?
- 6 A. Not to my recollection.
- 7 Q. Okay. Now this is, of course, in relative proximity to
- 8 the Red Roof Inn, right?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. It's also relatively in close proximity to Scott
- 11 | Williams' home over on Bristolwood; isn't that correct?
- 12 A. Yes, sir. It's about a mile, mile-and-a-half away.
- 13 Q. It's also relatively in close proximity to a variety of
- 14 restaurants, isn't that true?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
- 16 Q. All right. Now this specifically, the yellow push pin
- 17 that we see at the bottom left of this exhibit, right, this
- 18  $\parallel$  pushpin is at the end of an off ramp off of 295, correct?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- 20  $\parallel$  Q. So if you were traveling southbound on the Baltimore
- 21 Washington Parkway and took this exit, that would be the
- 22 intersection at the end of that exit, right?
- 23 A. Correct.
- Q. And at that intersection there is a light, a traffic
- 25 light, right?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Because this other road which I believe is Route 197 is a
- fairly large thoroughfare; is that fair to say, sir?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.

- 5 Q. Right. And again, we can look at the picture. I mean, we
- 6 can see that that particular road is divided by what looks
- 7 like a median, right?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. So at the end of that off ramp, for example, if you
- 10 wanted to go left you would have to cross lanes of traffic,
- 11 right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Ergo the traffic light, presumably?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- 15 Q. Now you can also go to the right off that off ramp and
- 16 head north towards the Red Roof Inn?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18  $\parallel$  Q. Additionally, what we can also see is that there is a
- 19 Shell gas station right there as well, right?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. And then just a little bit further north we can see a
- 22 Walgreens, right?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- Q. And then immediately to the right of that there is a
- 25 strip mall area at that location, right?

A. Correct.

- Q. All right. And there are stores and there are restaurants
- 3 there; isn't that true?
- 4 A. It appears to be, yes, sir.
- 5 Q. Now I'm going to assume, sir, but please don't let me put
- 6 words in your mouth, as part of your investigation did you go
- 7 to this area to investigate, to try to determine what perhaps
- 8 Noah Smothers was doing at 1:32 on April 6th of 2018?
- 9 A. Other than the Red Roof Inn, no, sir.
- 10 Q. Are you aware, sir, that in between on this exhibit where
- we see the Shell gas station and then that yellow pin that
- 12 says "Five Sisters," that corner of that building, of the dark
- gray building where Five Sisters is, is it your understanding
- 14 that there is actually a Dunkin' Donuts right on the corner of
- 15 that strip mall?
- 16 A. I was not aware of that. No, sir.
- 17 Q. So you have not investigated that area?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. But, of course, Dunkin' Donuts, they sell coffee, donuts
- 20 | obviously, breakfast sandwiches throughout the day, that kind
- 21 of stuff? Are you familiar --
- 22 A. Yes, sir. I'm familiar with Dunkin' Donuts.
- 23 Q. It's a fairly popular location?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- 25 Q. It's so popular, in fact, that people often times will

1 buy gift cards from Dunkin' Donuts to give as gifts?

A. Yes, sir.

- 3 Q. In fact, I think we had seen a Dunkin' Donuts gift card
- 4 in the Kia Sportage when it was recovered, did we not, sir?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- 6 Q. And, in fact, the gift card had the name "Noah" written
- 7 on it, didn't it?
- 8 A. It did.
- 9 Q. And across the street still in that same strip mall there
- is a Salvadorian restaurant named Angel Las Delicias
- 11 Restaurant. Are you familiar with that?
- 12 A. I am not.
- 13 O. You're not.
- 14 A. Can I add something about that Dunkin' Donuts gift card
- 15 that you referenced?
- 16 Q. Feel free.
- 17 A. There was a receipt, I believe, in that folded thing with
- 18 the gift card that predated this time. So I did not have any
- 19 reason to believe that it was purchased at this Dunkin'
- 20 Donuts.
- 21 Q. Absolutely. But did you have any reason to determine
- 22 whether it was used at that location?
- 23 A. I did not check that.
- Q. Did not check, right. And because -- and again, the
- 25 reason why I'm asking these questions about the restaurants is

1 because the Google search that Noah Smothers made at 1:32

- where he is at that intersection, do you remember what it was?
- 3 A. I believe it was "food near me."
- 4 Q. "Food near me."
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- 6 Q. He was actively looking for food, correct?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. Right. And, in fact, that was a common refrain in his
- 9 Google searches throughout that weekend?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. Right? By all accounts Noah Smothers did not live in
- 12 Maryland, right?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. So presumably he was not as familiar with the restaurants
- and where everything is?
- 16 A. Presumably, yes, sir.
- 17 Q. Presumably that also might be one of the reasons why he
- 18 took a screenshot on his phone of the EZ Storage unit so that
- 19 he would have an address and a location to remember?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. Because he's not from Maryland?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 Q. But we didn't, of course, see anything like that with
- 24 regards to the Red Roof Inn in any of the forensic reports
- 25 from any of his phones, did we?

A. As far as a screenshot of that location? That's correct,
we did not.

- Q. All right. But that day, that day starting earlier he was googling "food near me"?
- 5 A. Correct.

3

- Q. We had seen those exhibits during direct testimony. Do you remember that, sir?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. One of them was Martin's Seasoning. Do you remember that?
- 10 A. Martin's Total Seasoning.
- 11 Q. That's a food truck, isn't it?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And he had also looked up "Pupusería" and "taqueria"?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- 15 Q. You know a taqueria is where they sell tacos, right? And
- Pupusería is where they sell pupusas and that's a Salvadorian
- 17 cuisine, isn't it?
- 18 A. I'm not aware of the exact nationality, but it could be,
- 19 yes.
- 20 Q. Right. Now if somebody wanted, if somebody were coming
- 21 southbound on 295 and wanted to go to Scott Williams' house on
- 22 Bristolwood Court, right?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. This is the exact same off-ramp they would take, isn't
- 25 it?

- A. It is one of the off-ramps, yes, sir.
- Q. Right. It's the closest and most sensible off-ramp to
- 3 take if you're coming down 295 and you want to go to Mr.
- 4 Williams' home?

- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- 6 Q. Right? And so again, I think we had established that
- 7 there is a traffic light at that intersection between 197 and
- 8 the off-ramp, correct?
- 9 A. Yes, sir. There is.
- 10 Q. Okay. Now if somebody wants to go left -- and by that I
- mean if somebody wants to go south, they would need a green
- 12 light, correct?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. If it were red, if that traffic light were red they would
- 15 have to stop and idle until that light turned green so that
- 16 they could go left?
- 17 A. Go left? You said right and then left?
- 18 Q. My apologies, sir. We're just talking about going left.
- 19 So if somebody takes this off-ramp and wishes to go south down
- 20  $\parallel$  197, wants to take a left at that light, they have to wait for
- 21 that light to be green?
- 22 A. Yes, sir. Once it's green they can go.
- 23 Q. Absolutely. But if that light is red then they have to
- 24 sit and idle until that light turns green before they can turn
- 25 left?

A. Correct.

1

2

- Q. Now if you wanted to go right you could just sort of look over your shoulder until there's a path and enough space and
- 4 then you could just drive in, right?
- 5 A. As long as the cars weren't backed up, yes, sir.
- Q. But if you wanted to go left you had to sit and idle, right?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And when we idle in our cars, right, that could be, could be an opportunity to do a Google search for food near you. Is
- 11 that fair to say, maybe?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. But again, right, we simply don't know, do we?
- 14 A. Don't know what?
- Q. We don't know when Noah Smothers does that Google search right there for "food near me" which direction he goes.
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. Correct. Nothing further, Your Honor. Thank you.
- 19 **THE COURT:** Okay. Mr. Hawks, how much do you have?
- 20 MR. HAWKS: Your Honor, I think the safest course is
- 21 to pick this up on Monday.
- THE COURT: Okay, that's fair. It's 4:53. I think
  the jury has had a long day and so we will suspend for the
  day.
- Now as I mentioned to you earlier, for better or for

VIII-210

worse, we're not sitting on Friday, so I guess I leave that to you as to what that means to you whether that's a good thing or bad thing depending on what else you have to do in your life, but we're taking Friday off. We usually try to sit four days a week so that other things can happen in court on that fifth day, otherwise as you can image things get backed up. So we'll see you again on Monday.

Two things I would say: First off as I said previously, but particularly going into a weekend, it's been a long four days this week and eight straight business days together. So now this is a good break, but also I just want to caution you, don't discuss the case among yourselves on the way in or out of court. Don't discuss it with anyone else because you'll be interacting with people outside this system over the next three days. Don't do any outside research. Don't visit any locations that have been mentioned, anything like that. Again, no homework over the weekend. Just take care of other business, relax. We will see you back here on Monday.

The other thing I wanted to tell you is although it's always hard to predict, we are at the stage of this case where there's some things I need to discuss with the lawyers on Monday. And rather than have you wait and not knowing how long that will take, I'm going to ask you to arrive ready to go by 10 a.m. on Monday, not 9. That gives us that time to try to sort out those things we need to discuss and hopefully be able

to start with you on time at 10. Obviously since it's a later 1 2 start we ask you to try to be ready to go at 10 if we're ready 3 at that point, but that's the only difference. We still would 4 potentially go as late as 5 again, depending on the situation, 5 but you have that extra hour on Monday. 6 So we'll see you back ready to go Monday morning at 10 7 a.m. and enjoy the weekend. Thank you very much. 8 (Jury was excused at 4:56 p.m.) 9 THE COURT: Sergeant Simms, you can go back to your 10 Everyone else can be seated. I just wanted to see how 11 we did there in terms of timing. Mr. Hawks, remind me again --12 it may have shifted based on what you heard -- how much time 13 do you think you're going to need with this witness? 14 MR. HAWKS: Your Honor, it's sitting right around 15 ten minutes. 16 THE COURT: Okay, any anticipated redirect at this 17 point, Mr. Moomau? 18 MR. MOOMAU: At this point there would be none. I 19 don't plan on doing any, but we have to see what Mr. Hawks 20 brings out. 21 THE COURT: And then I thought I heard, I think Ms. 22 Grossi you have the last witness; is that right? 23 MS. GROSSI: That's correct, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: So we're talking I think you said about 25 45 minutes on direct? Or half-an-hour?

VIII-212

1 MS. GROSSI: 45 to an hour, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Okay. And then I know you said this,

3 but just remind me again. Do you have a sense with that 4

witness how long you'll be on the defense side?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GUILLAUME: I think based on the areas we hit on will be 10 or 15 minutes. I also think we will not -- I have to talk to him again, but I don't think we will call a witness in our case.

THE COURT: You would not?

MR. GUILLAUME: Would not.

THE COURT: Mr. Hawks, Mr. Crawley, with respect to the final Government witness?

MR. HAWKS: Your Honor, we feel exceptionally confident it will not exceed 15 minutes.

THE COURT: Okay. So then what it's really going to come down to so even if we start at 10, so again I'd ask everyone to be here ready to go at 9. I'm going to again say because it seems to be a thing, I know this is the way often times is I'd still like to see everyone here at 8:45 if only because the marshals need to know, they need that time to get organized and certainly if there's any discussions you want to have with your attorneys, with counsel and the clients, they need to be able to do that before 9. So let's shoot for 8:45. We'll plan to start no later than 9 with the rest of the charge conference. And then if we're allocating at most an

hour for that because we told the jury we'd start at 10, we 1 2 would pick it up with Sergeant Simms, the cross-examination 3 from Scott Williams at 10. And then based on what we're 4 hearing, the Government's case should be done well before noon 5 or like somewhere in the 11:30, 11:45 range. And it doesn't 6 look like we're going to have witnesses from Taeyan Williams. 7 So from Scott Williams, really when we're done with all the 8 evidence is somewhat driven by what happens with your 9 witnesses. And at this point in terms of -- less about how 10 many, more about how long, is it pretty clear or is it still 11 up in the air? 12 MR. HAWKS: Your Honor, it's still up in the air. 13 There's still a possibility of a stipulation and if not, an 14 hour would probably be a conservative guess. 15 THE COURT: But you're saying it also could be 16 nothing if there's stipulations on certain things? 17 MR. HAWKS: Or the three minutes it takes to read it 18 in. 19 THE COURT: I'm sorry, what was that you just said? 20 MR. HAWKS: Or the three minutes it takes to read it 21 in. 22 THE COURT: I see. So two things: First off, with 23 the charge conference, I will review what we have so far. At 24 this point I think we're pretty close to being able to make 25 some of the sort of pretty easy decisions in terms of things

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

VIII-214

we add or subtract based on what's happened. I know we're going to add an instruction about transcripts that might not have been there before. At this point there might be things to take out. I think I would keep in the demonstrative aid instruction if only because it's sort of the transcripts, but I'm not sure if there's anything else like that. I'm not sure if the charts and summaries exhibit whether that covers some of these videos or otherwise, but I guess I'd be inclined to keep that unless someone tells me not to. But those kinds of decisions about what we've gotten so far we can try to make. And so what I'll try to do is if there's obvious things like that we might try to go through and perhaps send you a revised version sometime tomorrow, but I'd also like to try to get these things if the Government -- I think at least the Government has told me you would get me, so something on this aiding and abetting piece, the willfulness, the 924(c) aiding and abetting. Ideally if we could get that -- could we get that tomorrow by midday or something so I can fold that in? If not, I'll send you what I have, but we'll have to tweak that based on what you give me later.

MR. HANLON: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So we'll try to do that. I'm not going to promise you'll get something before then, it might just be Monday morning. But if we can give you a provisional revised version to look at so you'll be ready to comment on it, if not

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

VIII-215

I don't think there's that many things to change here so I imagine we can just comment on them at 9 on Monday.

Just again, if you see anything in there that you have a comment on, plan to have it -- plan to raise it, but ideally talk with each other. If everyone agrees that something should be added or subtracted it's going to be a lot easier and we can plan to discuss those at 9 on Monday.

And then in terms of whether we would move to instructions only, instructions and closing, I think I'm going to have to say it's a moving target because it somewhat depends on how long the Scott Williams case is. So I'm going to ask everyone given Mr. Guillaume's supreme confidence that he'll be ready on Monday, I'd ask everyone to be ready to go with closings on Monday afternoon, if necessary. Again, we might do that, we might do that Tuesday morning. I might do the instructions on Monday afternoon first and then be able to start closings first thing on Tuesday. But my suspicion would be that if there really is not much to be put on by Scott Williams because of stipulations we would be able to do those things, so be ready for that. To some degree if you talk among yourselves, if you hear that the Scott Williams case is going to be very short you should plan on that and if you hear it's going to be very long, then maybe you can at least have a greater likelihood that we won't get that far. So you all may know better than I do.

VIII-216

I'd ask everyone to be sort of free-flowing with the communication just as a matter of professional courtesy on that so that once you know, Mr. Hawks, you should let everybody know. And again, not just for that planning, but as we've said we've had the Government providing the witnesses and potentially the exhibits at issue and so to the extent you are planning to offer anything on Monday, the Government particularly, but also I should know that as soon as possible. So try to do that if you can.

MR. HAWKS: Understood, sir.

THE COURT: Okay. Two other things just to be aware of. One is although we still have a little bit of time, again if the Government is planning to rest on Monday I would ask everybody just to check the exhibit list. Again, I'm not trying to play gotcha with the change in the protocol on that, so if there's anything that should have been offered that wasn't that could probably be done beforehand. Hopefully everyone will be flexible on that. Same would obviously be true with defense exhibits.

And then the one other thing I just wanted to highlight for everybody since there's three days here to work with and I'm sure during trial there might be other things to do, but this is a little bit of a breathing point is with this number of exhibits, it is not at all unusual for us to get a request from the jury to have the exhibit list or an index or

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

VIII-217

something like that. As a practical matter, again, since the jury is effectively my client, I prefer to be able to give them things that help them do their job which would include some sort of list of the exhibits. That's not evidence and I would tell them it's not, but it is helpful.

One of the things I've run into before is when that request comes in in the middle of deliberation the parties --I can't imagine anybody would disagree with the idea they should have a list, but sometimes there's debates about what the items are called and are they prejudicial and things like that. And that can really make it difficult. So I'd ask you all to consider and perhaps meet and confer on whether there is an agreed upon exhibit list, including everyone's, both sides' exhibits that we could provide to the jury either affirmatively up front or if asked that's neutral, that doesn't prejudice anybody, but that just helps the jury find what they're looking for. So I'd ask you to at least consider that. We can talk about that Monday morning if there's any issues there, but I've seen that enough times. And then afterwards you talk to them and if they didn't get the list, they're still not understanding why they couldn't get a list. They're saying "You're just making it difficult for us." And so I just wanted to throw that out.

Okay, is there anything we should discuss before we break for the week?

25

1 MR. MOOMAU: Your Honor, yes. Sergeant Simms is 2 still on the stand and we're not -- under the rule, 3 cross-examination has started, we're not supposed to talk to 4 him and I'm not going to. However, as far as like getting 5 ready for closing and being able to get evidence and -- it 6 causes a big problem for us. We would be on our honor not to 7 discuss his testimony with him, but I think there have been 8 six lawyers on this case. I was the third. Sergeant Simms has 9 been with it from the beginning. And whenever we need to know 10 something for sure, we always go to him. 11 THE COURT: No, I understand that. And Mr. Hawks, 12 anything on this? 13 MR. HAWKS: Your Honor, Mr. William Moomau's 14 reputation and position as an officer of the court is enough 15 for Scott Williams if he needs to talk to him to prepare, but 16 not to respond to a cross-examination that he does know is 17 coming and I trust him to do that. 18 THE COURT: Any issues with this from the Taeyan 19 Williams team? 20 MR. NIETO: Your Honor, again. Not to echo 21 necessarily the same sentiments, but yes, absolutely. I trust 22 these prosecutors with their honor and respect. 23 That's very generous of everyone, but THE COURT:

cross-examination. When you have someone who is effectively a

frankly it is -- the rule of not talking is about the

VIII-219

party witness, whether it's a defendant or case agent who is, I mean, obviously it requires, you know, the lawyers to follow the rules, but when you're talking about things outside of the testimony, whether it's just logistics for closing or anything else, it's not -- we're not making an exception by allowing those discussions, we're just all understanding that it is as you said, somewhat on the honor system that they follow that rule and only talk about things outside of the cross-examination. And I'm confident that the Government will follow that rule and it sounds like defense counsel is too.

So Mr. Moomau and your team just again, stay away from discussing cross-examination or even the redirect that might come up, but if you need to talk to Sergeant Simms for other parts of the case that still need to happen between now and the end, you can do that.

MR. MOOMAU: Thank you.

THE COURT: Anything else from either side? Okay, so we will see you all back at 8:45 on Monday. Have a good weekend. Thank you.

(Proceeding concluded at 5:07 p.m.)

USCA4 Appeal: 23-4568 Doc: 39-4 Filed: 03/08/2024 Pg: 378 of 378

VIII-220

1	CERTIFICATE OF OFFICIAL REPORTER
2	
3	
4	
5	I, Nadine M. Bachmann, Certified Realtime Reporter
6	and Registered Merit Reporter, in and for the United States
7	District Court for the District of Maryland, do hereby
8	certify, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. $\S$ 753, that the foregoing is a
9	true and correct transcript of the stenographically-reported
10	proceedings held in the above-entitled matter and that the
11	transcript page format is in conformance with the regulations
12	of the Judicial Conference of the United States.
13	
14	Dated this 16th day of December, 2023.
15	
16	-S-
17	
18	NADINE M. BACHMANN, CRR, RMR FEDERAL OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
19	FEDERAL OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	